#### **SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary**

### Cities and Counties Not Currently Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions

This determination represents Housing Element Annual Progress Report (APR) data received as of June 1, 2022. The following 38 jurisdictions have met their prorated Lower (Very-Low and Low) and Above-Moderate Income Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) for the Reporting Period and submitted their latest APR (2021).

These jurisdictions are not currently subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining), but the jurisdictions are still encouraged to promote streamlining. All other cities and counties beyond these 38 are subject to at least some form of SB 35 streamlining, as indicated on the following pages.

For more detail on the proration methodology or background data see the SB 35 Determination Methodology.

	JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION
1	ATHERTON	20	MILL VALLEY
2	BELL	21	MONTE SERENO
3	BELLFLOWER	22	NEWPORT BEACH
4	BEVERLY HILLS	23	NORWALK
5	BUENA PARK	24	PLUMAS COUNTY
6	CALISTOGA	25	ROHNERT PARK
7	CARPINTERIA	26	ROLLING HILLS ESTATES
8	CORTE MADERA	27	SAINT HELENA
9		28	SAN BERNARDINO
	EL CERRITO		COUNTY
10	FOSTER CITY	29	SANTA ANA
11	FOUNTAIN VALLEY	30	SANTA CLARA COUNTY
12	GUADALUPE	31	SANTA MONICA
13	HILLSBOROUGH	32	SIERRA COUNTY
14	INDUSTRY	33	SOLVANG
15	LA HABRA	34	SONOMA COUNTY
16	LA QUINTA	35	UKIAH
17	LAGUNA NIGUEL	36	VILLA PARK
18	MENDOCINO COUNTY	37	WESTMINSTER
19	MENLO PARK	38	WOODSIDE

# SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary Cities and Counties Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions When Proposed Developments Include ≥10% Affordability

These 263 jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA and/or have not submitted the latest Housing Element Annual Progress Report (APR) (2021) and therefore are subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017)

streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 10% affordability.

	JURISDICTION	•	unts with at least 10% afford  JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION
1	ADELANTO	37	CITRUS HEIGHTS	73	FERNDALE
2	ALAMEDA COUNTY	38	CLAYTON	74	FILLMORE
3	ALISO VIEJO	39	CLEARLAKE	75	FIREBAUGH
4	ALTURAS	40	CLOVERDALE	76	FORT JONES
5	AMADOR	41	COACHELLA	77	FORTUNA
6	AMADOR COUNTY	42	COLMA	78	FRESNO COUNTY
7	APPLE VALLEY	43	COLTON	79	GLENN COUNTY
8	ARCADIA	44	COLUSA	80	GONZALES
9	ARCATA	45	COLUSA COUNTY	81	GRASS VALLEY
10	ARROYO GRANDE	46	COMMERCE	82	GREENFIELD
11	ARVIN	47	COMPTON	83	GRIDLEY
12	AUBURN	48	CONCORD	84	GUSTINE
13	AVALON	49	CORCORAN	85	HALF MOON BAY
14	AVENAL	50	CORNING	86	HANFORD
15	AZUSA	51	COSTA MESA	87	HAWAIIAN GARDENS
16	BAKERSFIELD	52	CRESCENT CITY	88	HAYWARD
17	BANNING	53	CUDAHY	89	HESPERIA
18	BARSTOW	54	DEL NORTE COUNTY	90	HIGHLAND
19	BEAUMONT	55	DEL REY OAKS	91	HOLTVILLE
20	BELVEDERE	56	DELANO	92	HUGHSON
21	BENICIA	57	DESERT HOT SPRINGS	93	HUMBOLDT COUNTY
22	BIGGS	58	DIAMOND BAR	94	HUNTINGTON BEACH
23	BISHOP	59	DORRIS	95	HUNTINGTON PARK
24	BLUE LAKE	60	DOS PALOS	96	HURON
25	BLYTHE	61	DUNSMUIR	97	IMPERIAL
26	BRADBURY	62	EAST PALO ALTO	98	IMPERIAL COUNTY
27	BRAWLEY	63	EL CAJON	99	INGLEWOOD
28	BURBANK	64	EL CENTRO	100	INYO COUNTY
29	BUTTE COUNTY	65	EL MONTE	101	IRWINDALE
30	CALAVERAS COUNTY	66	ESCALON	102	ISLETON
31	CALEXICO	67	ESCONDIDO	103	KERMAN
32	CALIFORNIA CITY	68	ETNA	104	KERN COUNTY
33	CALIPATRIA	69	EUREKA	105	KINGS COUNTY
34	CARSON	70	EXETER	106	KINGSBURG
35	CERES	71	FAIRFAX	107	LA HABRA HEIGHTS
36	CHOWCHILLA	72	FARMERSVILLE	108	LA MIRADA

# SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary Cities and Counties Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions When Proposed Developments Include ≥10% Affordability

These 263 jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA and/or have not submitted the latest APR (2021) and therefore are subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments

with at least 10% affordability.

	JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION
109	LA PUENTE	144	MORRO BAY	179	RICHMOND
110	LAKE COUNTY	145	MOUNT SHASTA	180	RIDGECREST
111	LAKE ELSINORE	146	NATIONAL CITY	181	RIO DELL
112	LAKEPORT	147	NEEDLES	182	RIPON
113	LAKEWOOD	148	NEVADA CITY	183	RIVERBANK
114	LANCASTER	149	NEVADA COUNTY	184	RIVERSIDE
115	LASSEN COUNTY	150	NEWMAN	185	RIVERSIDE COUNTY
116	LAWNDALE	151	NORCO	186	ROLLING HILLS
117	LEMON GROVE	152	NOVATO	187	ROSS
118	LEMOORE	153	OCEANSIDE	188	SACRAMENTO
119	LINCOLN	154	OJAI	189	SACRAMENTO COUNTY
120	LINDSAY	155	ORANGE COVE	190	SALINAS
121	LIVINGSTON	156	ORLAND	191	SAN BERNARDINO
122	LOMA LINDA	157	OROVILLE	192	SAN BRUNO
123	LOMPOC	158	OXNARD	193	SAN DIEGO COUNTY
124	LOOMIS	159	PACIFICA	194	SAN DIMAS
125	LOS ANGELES COUNTY	160	PALMDALE	195	SAN FERNANDO
126	LOS GATOS	161	PARLIER	196	SAN GABRIEL
127	LYNWOOD	162	PASO ROBLES	197	SAN JACINTO
128	MADERA	163	PATTERSON	198	SAN JOAQUIN
129	MADERA COUNTY	164	PERRIS	199	SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY
130	MARICOPA	165	PICO RIVERA	200	SAN JUAN BAUTISTA
131	MARTINEZ	166	PINOLE	201	SAN LEANDRO
132	MARYSVILLE	167	PLACERVILLE	202	SAN MARINO
133	MAYWOOD	168	PLEASANT HILL	203	SAN MATEO COUNTY
134	MCFARLAND	169	POMONA	204	SAN PABLO
135	MENDOTA	170	PORTERVILLE	205	SAN RAFAEL
136	MERCED COUNTY	171	PORTOLA	206	SAND CITY
137	MILLBRAE	172	POWAY	207	SANGER
138	MODESTO	173	RANCHO CORDOVA	208	SANTA CLARITA
139	MONTAGUE	174	RED BLUFF	209	SANTA CRUZ COUNTY
140	MONTEBELLO	175	REDLANDS	210	SANTA MARIA
141	MONTEREY	176	REDONDO BEACH	211	SANTA PAULA
142	MONTEREY PARK	177	REEDLEY	212	SANTA ROSA
143	MORENO VALLEY	178	RIALTO	213	SANTEE

#### **SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary** Cities and Counties Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions When Proposed Developments Include ≥10% Affordability

These 263 jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA and/or have not submitted the latest APR (2021) and therefore are subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments

with a	t least 10% affordability.		
	JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION
214	SARATOGA	249	WEST SACRAMENTO
215	SAUSALITO	250	WESTLAKE VILLAGE
216	SEASIDE	251	WESTMORLAND
217	SEBASTOPOL	252	WHEATLAND
218	SELMA	253	WILDOMAR
219	SHAFTER	254	WILLIAMS
220	SHASTA COUNTY	255	WILLITS
221	SHASTA LAKE	256	WILLOWS
222	SIGNAL HILL	257	WINDSOR
223	SISKIYOU COUNTY	258	WOODLAKE
224	SOLANA BEACH	259	YOLO COUNTY
225	SONORA	260	YREKA
226	SOUTH GATE	261	YUBA CITY
227	SOUTH LAKE TAHOE	262	YUCAIPA
228	STANISLAUS COUNTY	263	YUCCA VALLEY
229	STOCKTON		
230	SUISUN CITY		
231	SUTTER COUNTY		
232	TAFT		
233	TEHACHAPI		
234	TEHAMA		
235	TEHAMA COUNTY		
236	TORRANCE		
237	TULARE COUNTY		
238	TULELAKE		
239	TUOLUMNE COUNTY		
240	TURLOCK		
241	TWENTYNINE PALMS		
242	VALLEJO		
243	VENTURA COUNTY		
244	VICTORVILLE		
245	VISALIA		
246	WATERFORD		
247	WEED		
248	WEST HOLLYWOOD		

# SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary Cities and Counties Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions When Proposed Developments Include ≥ 50% Affordability

These 238 jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Lower income RHNA (Very Low and Low income) and are therefore subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 50% affordability. If the jurisdiction also has insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA, then they are subject to the more inclusive streamlining for developments with at least 50%

affordability.

anor	dability. JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION
1	AGOURA HILLS	36	CHINO HILLS	71	FREMONT
2	ALAMEDA	37	CHULA VISTA	72	FRESNO
3	ALBANY	38	CLAREMONT	73	FULLERTON
4	ALHAMBRA	39	CLOVIS	74	GALT
5	ALPINE COUNTY	40	COALINGA	75	GARDEN GROVE
6	AMERICAN CANYON	41	COLFAX	76	GARDENA
7	ANAHEIM	42	CONTRA COSTA COUNTY	77	GILROY
8	ANDERSON	43	CORONA	78	GLENDALE
9	ANGELS CAMP	44	CORONADO	79	GLENDORA
10	ANTIOCH	45	COTATI	80	GOLETA
11	ARTESIA	46	COVINA	81	GRAND TERRACE
12	ATASCADERO	47	CULVER CITY	82	GROVER BEACH
13	ATWATER	48	CUPERTINO	83	HAWTHORNE
14	BALDWIN PARK	49	CYPRESS	84	HEALDSBURG
15	BELL GARDENS	50	DALY CITY	85	HEMET
16	BELMONT	51	DANA POINT	86	HERCULES
17	BERKELEY	52	DANVILLE	87	HERMOSA BEACH
18	BIG BEAR LAKE	53	DAVIS	88	HIDDEN HILLS
19	BREA	54	DEL MAR	89	HOLLISTER
20	BRENTWOOD	55	DINUBA	90	IMPERIAL BEACH
21	BRISBANE	56	DIXON	91	INDIAN WELLS
22	BUELLTON	57	DOWNEY	92	INDIO
23	BURLINGAME	58	DUARTE	93	IONE
24	CALABASAS	59	DUBLIN	94	IRVINE
25	CALIMESA	60	EASTVALE	95	JACKSON
26	CAMARILLO	61	EL DORADO COUNTY	96	JURUPA VALLEY
27	CAMPBELL	62	EL SEGUNDO	97	KING CITY
28	CANYON LAKE	63	ELK GROVE	98	LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE
29	CAPITOLA	64	EMERYVILLE	99	LA MESA
30	CARLSBAD	65	ENCINITAS	100	LA PALMA
31	CARMEL	66	FAIRFIELD	101	LA VERNE
32	CATHEDRAL	67	FOLSOM	102	LAFAYETTE
33	CERRITOS	68	FONTANA	103	LAGUNA BEACH
34	CHICO	69	FORT BRAGG	104	LAGUNA HILLS
35	CHINO	70	FOWLER	105	LAGUNA WOODS

# SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary Cities and Counties Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions When Proposed Developments Include ≥ 50% Affordability

These 238 jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Lower income RHNA (Very Low and Low income) and are therefore subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 50% affordability. If the jurisdiction also has insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA, then they are subject to the more inclusive streamlining for developments with at least 50%

affordability.

	JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION		JURISDICTION
106	LAKE FOREST	139	MOUNTAIN VIEW	172	RANCHO PALOS VERDES
107	LARKSPUR	140	MURRIETA	173	RANCHO SANTA MARGARITA
108	LATHROP	141	NAPA	174	REDDING
109	LIVE OAK	142	NAPA COUNTY	175	REDWOOD CITY
110	LIVERMORE	143	NEWARK	176	RIO VISTA
111	LODI	144	OAKDALE	177	ROCKLIN
112	LOMITA	145	OAKLAND	178	ROSEMEAD
113	LONG BEACH	146	OAKLEY	179	ROSEVILLE
114	LOS ALAMITOS	147	ONTARIO	180	SAN ANSELMO
115	LOS ALTOS	148	ORANGE	181	SAN BENITO COUNTY
116	LOS ALTOS HILLS	149	ORANGE COUNTY	182	SAN CARLOS
117	LOS ANGELES	150	ORINDA	183	SAN CLEMENTE
118	LOS BANOS	151	PACIFIC GROVE	184	SAN DIEGO
119	LOYALTON	152	PALM DESERT	185	SAN FRANCISCO
120	MALIBU	153	PALM SPRINGS	186	SAN JOSE
121	MAMMOTH LAKES	154	PALO ALTO	187	SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO
	_		PALOS VERDES		
122	MANHATTAN BEACH				SAN LUIS OBISPO
123	MANTECA	156	PARADISE	189	SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY
124	MARIN COUNTY	157	PARAMOUNT	190	SAN MARCOS
125	MARINA	158	PASADENA	191	SAN MATEO
126	MARIPOSA COUNTY	159	PETALUMA	192	SAN RAMON
127	MENIFEE	160	PIEDMONT	193	SANTA BARBARA
128	MERCED	161	PISMO BEACH	194	SANTA BARBARA COUNTY
129	MILPITAS	162	PITTSBURG	195	SANTA CLARA
130	MISSION VIEJO	163	PLACENTIA	196	SANTA CRUZ
131	MODOC COUNTY	164	PLACER COUNTY	197	SANTA FE SPRINGS
132	MONO COUNTY	165	PLEASANTON	198	SCOTTS VALLEY
133	MONROVIA	166	PLYMOUTH	199	SEAL BEACH
134	MONTCLAIR	167	POINT ARENA	200	SIERRA MADRE
105	MONTEREY	160		204	SIMI VALLEY
135	COUNTY	168	PORT HUENEME	201	SIMI VALLEY
136	MOORPARK	169	PORTOLA VALLEY	202	SOLANO COUNTY
137	MORAGA	170	RANCHO CUCAMONGA		SOLEDAD
138	MORGAN HILL	171	RANCHO MIRAGE	204	SONOMA

#### **SB 35 Statewide Determination Summary**

### Cities and Counties Subject to SB 35 Streamlining Provisions When Proposed Developments Include ≥ 50% Affordability

These 238 jurisdictions have insufficient progress toward their Lower income RHNA (Very-Low and Low income) and are therefore subject to the streamlined ministerial approval process (SB 35 (Chapter 366, Statutes of 2017) streamlining) for proposed developments with at least 50% affordability. If the jurisdiction also has insufficient progress toward their Above Moderate income RHNA, then they are subject to the more inclusive streamlining for developments with at least 10% affordability.

**JURISDICTION** 

235 WOODLAND236 YORBA LINDA

237 YOUNTVILLE 238 YUBA COUNTY

205 SOUTH EL MONTE 206 SOUTH PASADENA SOUTH SAN 207 FRANCISCO 208 STANTON 209 SUNNYVALE 210 SUSANVILLE 211 SUTTER CREEK 212 TEMECULA 213 TEMPLE CITY 214 THOUSAND OAKS 215 TIBURON 216 TRACY 217 TRINIDAD 218 TRINITY COUNTY 219 TRUCKEE 220 TULARE	
SOUTH SAN 207 FRANCISCO 208 STANTON 209 SUNNYVALE 210 SUSANVILLE 211 SUTTER CREEK 212 TEMECULA 213 TEMPLE CITY 214 THOUSAND OAKS 215 TIBURON 216 TRACY 217 TRINIDAD 218 TRINITY COUNTY 219 TRUCKEE 220 TULARE	
207 FRANCISCO 208 STANTON 209 SUNNYVALE 210 SUSANVILLE 211 SUTTER CREEK 212 TEMECULA 213 TEMPLE CITY 214 THOUSAND OAKS 215 TIBURON 216 TRACY 217 TRINIDAD 218 TRINITY COUNTY 219 TRUCKEE 220 TULARE	
208 STANTON 209 SUNNYVALE 210 SUSANVILLE 211 SUTTER CREEK 212 TEMECULA 213 TEMPLE CITY 214 THOUSAND OAKS 215 TIBURON 216 TRACY 217 TRINIDAD 218 TRINITY COUNTY 219 TRUCKEE 220 TULARE	
209 SUNNYVALE 210 SUSANVILLE 211 SUTTER CREEK 212 TEMECULA 213 TEMPLE CITY 214 THOUSAND OAKS 215 TIBURON 216 TRACY 217 TRINIDAD 218 TRINITY COUNTY 219 TRUCKEE 220 TULARE	
210 SUSANVILLE 211 SUTTER CREEK 212 TEMECULA 213 TEMPLE CITY 214 THOUSAND OAKS 215 TIBURON 216 TRACY 217 TRINIDAD 218 TRINITY COUNTY 219 TRUCKEE 220 TULARE	
211 SUTTER CREEK 212 TEMECULA 213 TEMPLE CITY 214 THOUSAND OAKS 215 TIBURON 216 TRACY 217 TRINIDAD 218 TRINITY COUNTY 219 TRUCKEE 220 TULARE	
212 TEMECULA 213 TEMPLE CITY 214 THOUSAND OAKS 215 TIBURON 216 TRACY 217 TRINIDAD 218 TRINITY COUNTY 219 TRUCKEE 220 TULARE	
213 TEMPLE CITY 214 THOUSAND OAKS 215 TIBURON 216 TRACY 217 TRINIDAD 218 TRINITY COUNTY 219 TRUCKEE 220 TULARE	
214 THOUSAND OAKS 215 TIBURON 216 TRACY 217 TRINIDAD 218 TRINITY COUNTY 219 TRUCKEE 220 TULARE	
215 TIBURON 216 TRACY 217 TRINIDAD 218 TRINITY COUNTY 219 TRUCKEE 220 TULARE	
216 TRACY 217 TRINIDAD 218 TRINITY COUNTY 219 TRUCKEE 220 TULARE	
217 TRINIDAD 218 TRINITY COUNTY 219 TRUCKEE 220 TULARE	
<ul><li>218 TRINITY COUNTY</li><li>219 TRUCKEE</li><li>220 TULARE</li></ul>	
219 TRUCKEE 220 TULARE	
219 TRUCKEE 220 TULARE	
OOA THOTIN	
221 TUSTIN	
222 UNION CITY	
223 UPLAND	
224 VACAVILLE	
225 VENTURA	
226 VERNON	
227 VISTA	
228 WALNUT	
229 WALNUT CREEK	
230 WASCO	
231 WATSONVILLE	
232 WEST COVINA	
233 WHITTIER	
234 WINTERS	