CUP 2022-0023 Correspondence (in no particular order)

From: Dave George <<u>davidlarkingeorge@gmail.com</u>>
Sent: Tuesday, November 28, 2023 11:15:21 AM
To: Keith Blackburn <<u>Keith.Blackburn@carlsbadca.gov</u>>
Subject: Proposed cell phone tower at Poinsettia Park

Dear Keith,

I am writing to you as a concerned citizen and Carlsbad resident. The proposed cell phone tower at Poinsettia Park will have a major negative effect on the community. As a city that prides itself on their public parks it makes no sense as to why you would put a cell phone tower in the middle of the best park in Carlsbad. I understand there are legal concerns about where to place new towers, but is this really the best place? Surely there must be better options. I urge you to use your voice and help protect the citizens of Carlsbad.

Respectfully,

David George 1032 Beacon Bay Dr. Carlsbad CA, 92011 619-252-5956 (cell)

From: Kimberly < kdhuston2003@yahoo.com > Sent: Tuesday, November 28, 2023 10:02 PM

To: Priya Bhat-Patel < Priya.Bhat-Patel@carlsbadca.gov >; Eric Lardy < Eric.Lardy@carlsbadca.gov >; Kyle Van Leeuwen < Kyle.VanLeeuwen@carlsbadca.gov >; josephlstine@gmail.com; Kevin Sabellico < kevin@kevinsabellico.org >; petermerz@yahoo.com; atelierx@aol.com; Scott Chadwick < Scott.Chadwick@carlsbadca.gov >; Manager Internet Email < Manager@CarlsbadCA.gov >

Subject: Cell Tower Structure at Poinsettia Park

Hello,

I am writing you today regarding the City of Carlsbad's plan to consider allowing cell towers in and around our schools and parks. Specifically Poinsettia Park, of which my home is next to. Has someone lost their minds it's even come to this? I could give several reasons why this a bad idea and purely irresponsible but I'll share just a few of what I know and learned.

- 1. This installation is inconsistent with Policy 64 which lists parks and residential areas as the least preferred sites. For some reason there seems to be a disconnect or flat out disregard for the purpose of the policy. Especially when there are plenty of other areas perfectly suitable and away from our parks, schools, and homes. This would be in the back yards of my neighborhood. HELLO?
- 2. AT&T's alternative analysis was sparse/incomplete and AT&T needs to continue looking for alternative sites. There are plenty of other sites in and around the area. We should not be so easily influenced by big corp.. There is nothing wrong with saying NOT THERE, sorry.
- 3. It interferes with the City's quality of life in our community for which residents consistently rank parks & open space as their top priority in past surveys. We need to be more respectful of how we utilize our public parks. Industrializing is not the answer.
- 4. The potential home devaluation of up to 15%. People are entitled to feel the way want about RF in and near cellular equipment. Big corporation can't change that. These cell towers will affect the sale of homes along the park. People do consider a home near power lines or cellular towers over a home further away from what they feel can be hazardous. It's not for any of us to say they are uneducated

about it. It's how they feel and it does affect whose house gets sold over who has to lower their price to offset the fear.

I'm not against cell structures, just not in our parks or near our homes or schools. There are plenty of industrial areas, open fields, and golf courses that would be sufficient for AT&T or any other carrier. The city needs to think of the people and be more responsible about this subject. Kindly Consider other locations. Please require AT&T to find another location.

Thank you.

Kimberly Desmarais

From: Kyle Van Leeuwen < Kyle. Van Leeuwen@carlsbadca.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2023 3:18 PM

To: Kyle Van Leeuwen < Kyle. Van Leeuwen@carlsbadca.gov>

Subject: FW: proposed WCF at Poinsettia Park

Dear Kevin,

I'm sorry we were not able to meet yesterday but I totally understand you cannot compromise yourself before the Planning Commission meeting. In preparation for that meeting, please allow me to share my thoughts. Despite the FCC significantly diminishing the City's decision-making power, city leaders DO have options and they can take back their power. Many cities in California and the USA are struggling with this issue and are slowly taking back control by revising their ordinances and policies on WCFs. I sent Jeff Murphy an article (listed below for your reference) with many CA cities, several with similar demographics as Carlsbad, and a sample ordinance as a guide for cities to revise their policies (it's geared for small cells but it does include the larger WCF). Basically, these cities are clarifying and stating: no WCFs in parks or near homes, schools, and daycares, tightening up set backs to 500 ft for small cells to 1,500 for towers (the well-accepted "safe" distance is 1/4 mile/400 meters/1320 ft), AND under-grounding the supporting fuel tanks (the proposed one is 103 gal. of diesel fuel) which already is included in City Council Policy 64. Revising and tightening up CC Policy 64 will prevent these from being install in future parks and near homes.

We need you to take action NOW against the proposed WCF at Poinsettia Park. Clearly these things are hazardous and don't belong 210 ft from our homes nor around children playing in parks. The Fire Fighter's Union was very savvy and passed CA AB57 in 2015 to not allow them anywhere near their stations siting health reasons, mainly brain damage (listed below for your reference). There are two things that can be done NOW to avoid a [public relations and health] catastrophe:

- 1) Planning Commission can request the applicant to revise their Alternatives Analysis because it is sparse, lacking detail, and many alternatives were omitted simply put, more analysis is needed. Also, CC Policy 64, page 6A 1 & 2 are not being interpreted correctly by staff parks and residential zones appear on BOTH lists inversely BUT just because they are listed as a land use doesn't make it okay to place a WCF there as they are listed in order (a historical precedence of interpretation is not grounds for correctness).
- 2) City Council can deny a land lease agreement at Poinsettia Park siting a plethora of reasons...quality of life, safety, aesthetics and incompatibility, etc.

Kevin, I hope you will do the right thing for Carlsbad. In talking to park users, it amazing how much they trust "the City" to not ruin our park by putting a WCF there, but when told it already happened at Calaveras (under a weaker version of CC Policy 64), they are incredulous. I've already had thyroid cancer — a type of cancer that has been proven to be linked to excess radiation.

My best, Nora George **Sent:** Wednesday, November 29, 2023 11:07 AM **To:** Scott Chadwick < <u>Scott.Chadwick@carlsbadca.gov</u>>

Subject: Proposed AT&T Cell Tower

Dear City Manager Chadwick,

I oppose the placement of a cell transmission tower which is proposed by AT&T to be placed at Poinsettia Park and (a second one proposed by Verizon). These towers would be an incompatible addition to Poinsettia park which is one of the most beautiful and popular parks in Carlsbad; it is home to the largest tennis complex in the city and is used by children, adults and families on an almost continuous basis.

The installation of a transmission tower at the park does not agree with City Council Policy 64 which lists in its review and approval guidelines that parks and residential areas are among the least preferred sites for such installations and also lists them again as the most discouraged locations. This proposed AT&T transmission tower is not compatible with Policy 64. The transmission tower also does not fit with the City's quality of life goals in our **community planning** where residents **consistently** rank parks and open spaces among their top priorities. The addition of one or more cell towers at Poinsettia Park completely contradicts that goal. Poinsettia park is in a heavily developed housing area and is very close to an elementary school. It would be disruptive to the existing surrounding neighborhoods and the school. The skyline presence of the transmission tower and public "perception" of the dangers of the tower will bring about potential home devaluation of area homes of up to 15%. There are sites that AT&T can explore which do not have this effect.

AT&T's alternative analysis study was not as comprehensive as it could have been. AT&T needs to continue looking for alternative sites further away from parks, surrounding neighborhoods and nearby schools such as the elementary school in this area.

For the sake of users of this very valuable park, homeowners in the very densely developed surrounding area and school children at the elementary school, I request that the Planning Commission and the City of Carlsbad deny AT&T's application (and Verizon's subsequent application) to place a cell tower at the park.

Thank you for your consideration and for your vote to deny this application.

Sincerely,

Laurenn Barker

From: Laurenn Barker <artlark17@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2023 11:10 AM
To: Eric Lardy < Eric.Lardy@carlsbadca.gov>

Subject: Proposedd AT&T cell tower

Dear Mr. Lardy,

I oppose the placement of a cell transmission tower which is proposed by AT&T to be placed at Poinsettia Park and (a second one proposed by Verizon). These towers would be an incompatible

addition to Poinsettia park which is one of the most beautiful and popular parks in Carlsbad; it is home to the largest tennis complex in the city and is used by children, adults and families on an almost continuous basis.

The installation of a transmission tower at the park does not agree with City Council Policy 64 which lists in its review and approval guidelines that parks and residential areas are among the least preferred sites for such installations and also lists them again as the most discouraged locations. This proposed AT&T transmission tower is not compatible with Policy 64. The transmission tower also does not fit with the City's quality of life goals in our **community planning** where residents **consistently** rank parks and open spaces among their top priorities. The addition of one or more cell towers at Poinsettia Park completely contradicts that goal. Poinsettia park is in a heavily developed housing area and is very close to an elementary school. It would be disruptive to the existing surrounding neighborhoods and the school. The skyline presence of the transmission tower and public "perception" of the dangers of the tower will bring about potential home devaluation of area homes of up to 15%. There are sites that AT&T can explore which do not have this effect.

AT&T's alternative analysis study was not as comprehensive as it could have been. AT&T needs to continue looking for alternative sites further away from parks, surrounding neighborhoods and nearby schools such as the elementary school in this area.

For the sake of users of this very valuable park, homeowners in the very densely developed surrounding area and school children at the elementary school, I request that the Planning Commission and the City of Carlsbad deny AT&T's application (and Verizon's subsequent application) to place a cell tower at the park.

Thank you for your consideration and for your vote to deny this application.

Sincerely,

Laurenn Barker

From: Laurenn Barker <artlark17@yahoo.com> Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2023 10:46 AM

To: Cynthia Vigeland < Cynthia. Vigeland@carlsbadca.gov > **Subject:** Proposed letter to the Planning Commissioners

Dear Planning Commissioner,

I oppose the placement of a cell transmission tower which is proposed by AT&T to be placed at Poinsettia Park and (a second one proposed by Verizon). These towers would be an incompatible addition to Poinsettia park which is one of the most beautiful and popular parks in Carlsbad; it is home to the largest tennis complex in the city and is used by children, adults and families on an almost continuous basis.

The installation of a transmission tower at the park does not agree with City Council Policy 64 which lists in its review and approval guidelines that parks and residential areas are among the least preferred sites for such installations and also lists them again as the most discouraged locations. This proposed AT&T transmission tower is not compatible with Policy 64. The transmission tower also does not fit with the City's quality of life goals in our **community planning** where residents **consistently** rank parks and open spaces among their top priorities. The addition of one or more cell towers at Poinsettia Park completely contradicts that goal. Poinsettia park is in a heavily developed housing area and is very close to an elementary school. It would be disruptive to the existing surrounding neighborhoods and the school. The skyline presence

of the transmission tower and public "perception" of the dangers of the tower will bring about potential home devaluation of area homes of up to 15%. There are sites that AT&T can explore which do not have this effect.

AT&T's alternative analysis study was not as comprehensive as it could have been. AT&T needs to continue looking for alternative sites further away from parks, surrounding neighborhoods and nearby schools such as the elementary school in this area.

For the sake of users of this very valuable park, homeowners in the very densely developed surrounding area and school children at the elementary school, I request that the Planning Commission and the City of Carlsbad deny AT&T's application (and Verizon's subsequent application) to place a cell tower at the park.

Thank you for your consideration and for your vote to deny this application.

Sincerely,

Laurenn Barker

From: Kyle Van Leeuwen < Kyle. Van Leeuwen@carlsbadca.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2023 3:22 PM

To: Kyle Van Leeuwen < Kyle. Van Leeuwen @ carlsbadca.gov > **Subject:** FW: There's got to be a way to relocate this WCF ...

Date: Tue, Nov 28, 2023 at 12:30 PM

Subject: There's got to be a way to relocate this WCF .. To: Priya Bhat-Patel <Priya.Bhat-Patel@carlsbadca.gov>

Hi Priya - Here are some recap notes from having spoken to Eric Lardy and having just met with Jeff Murphy with another couple of my neighbors. Please help find something creative to get some wisdom and sanity on the planning process. Thx

I BELIEVE WE CAN AGREE THAT OUR ELECTED OFFICIALS .. THE CITY COUNCIL, SETS POLICY. OUR CITY PROFESSIONAL STAFF THEN TAKES THOSE POLICIES AND IMPLEMENTS THEM FAIRLY AND EQUITABLY ACROSS THE CITY.

COUNCIL POLICY #64 IS <u>NOT CURRENTLY BEING INTERPRETED CORRECTLY</u> BY OUR PLANNING STAFF. WE BELIEVE THAT <u>THE INTENT AND SPIRIT</u> OF THE POLICY <u>IS NOT</u> TO LOCATE WIRELESS CELLULAR FACILITIES WITHIN 200-300 FEET OF RESIDENTIAL HOMES AND IN THE MIDST OF OUR PUBLIC PARKS. THIS CURRENT SITE IS ALSO ADJACENT TO PROTECTED OPEN SPACE WHERE FEDERALLY ENDANGERED BIRDS SUCH AS THE COASTAL CALIFORNIA GNATCATCHER NESTS.

WHEN THERE ARE QUESTIONS WITH POLICY INTERPRETATION, THE RIGHT THING TO DO IS TO SLOW DOWN AND GO BACK TO THE POLICY MAKERS .. AND CLARIFY THE INTENT AND SPIRIT OF THE POLICY .. EVEN TO THE POINT OF RE-WRITING THE POLICY <u>BEFORE</u> ANY APPLICATION REQUESTS ARE APPROVED.

THIS IS WHAT WE ARE ASKING .. SLOW IT DOWN .. ENGAGE WITH THE POLICY MAKERS.

TABLE THIS CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT REQUEST AND CIRCLE BACK TO IT ONCE QUESTIONS OF INTERPRETATION GET CLARIFIED.

IN MEETINGS WITH BOTH JEFF MURPHY AND ERIC LARDY, WE HAVE BEEN TOLD THAT THE APPROVAL PROCESS MUST CONTINUE .. THAT POLICY #64 IS POORLY WRITTEN AND CAN BE TIGHTENED .. THAT FOR NOW, IT'S ALL THAT THEY HAVE AND THEY SUPPORT HOW THEIR STAFF IS INTERPRETING THE POLICY REGARDING WHERE WIRELESS CELLULAR FACILITIES CAN BE SITUATED.

WE ARE ASKING (1) SEND THIS APPLICATION BACK TO THE APPLICANT AND ASK THEM TO CONDUCT A MORE THOROUGH ALTERNATIVE SITE ANALYSIS .. OUTSIDE OF THE PARK AND WITH A GREATER SETBACK FROM HOMES .. (2) ASK THE CITY COUNCIL TO AMEND/CLARIFY THE CONFUSING LANGUAGE IN POLICY #64 .. WHERE IT HAS THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT THINKING THAT JUST BECAUSE PARKS/OPEN SPACE AND HOMES ARE ON BOTH THE BOTTOM OF THE PREFERRED LIST .. AND THE TOP OF THE DISCOURAGED LIST .. JUST BECAUSE IT IS LISTED "ON THE PAGE" .. THAT IT'S FAIR GAME IF THE APPLICANT HAS CONCLUDED THAT THERE IS A NEED AND THERE IS NOWHERE ELSE TO PLACE THE WIRELESS COMMUNICATION FACILITY

PLEASE SEND THIS APPLICATION BACK TO THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT. WE MUST FIND A WAY TO GET THE POLICY CLARIFIED .. THAT IT IS NOT OK TO PUT WIRELESS COMMUNICATION FACILITIES IN OUR PARKS AND ANY LOCATION NEEDS TO HAVE A MINIMUM OF 750 FEET SETBACK FROM EXISTING OR FUTURE RESIDENTIAL HOMES

4 WCFS @ CALAVERA HILLS PARK .. NEAR THE ENTRANCE .. FIRST STARTED IN 2004 .. ONCE YOU SAY YES TO THE FIRST, IT'S NOT POSSIBLE TO SAY NO TO EVERYONE ELSE. THIS IS NOT GOOD PLANNING AND NOT WHAT CARLSBAD IS PROUD OF.

EVEN THE TEMPORARY WCFS AT THE FUTURE ROBERTSON RANCH PARK IS LOCATED AWAY FROM HOMES AND IS ON THE EDGE OF THE PARK .. NEAR THE CANNON AND EL CAMINO INTERSECTION..

Frank Sung www.ficm.org (m) 760-213-9036

Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2023 1:19:33 PM

To: Melanie Burkholder < Melanie. Burkholder@carlsbadca.gov >

Subject: Proposed WCF at Poinsettia Park

Dear Council Member Burkholder,

I'm extremely concerned about the proposed WCF at Poinsettia Park. Despite the FCC significantly diminishing the City's decision-making power, city leaders DO have options and they can take back their power. Many cities in California and the USA are struggling with this issue and are slowly taking back control by revising their ordinances and policies on WCFs. I sent Jeff Murphy an article (listed below for your reference) with many CA cities, several with similar demographics as Carlsbad, and a sample ordinance as a guide for cities to revise their policies (it's geared for small cells but it does include the larger WCF). Basically, these cities are clarifying and stating: no WCFs in parks or near homes, schools, and daycares, tightening up set backs to 500 ft for small cells to 1,500 for towers (the well-accepted "safe" distance is 1/4 mile/400 meters/1320 ft), AND under-grounding the supporting fuel tanks (the proposed one is 103 gal. of diesel fuel) which already is included in City Council Policy 64. Revising and tightening up CC Policy 64 will prevent these from being install in future parks and near homes.

We need you to take action NOW against the proposed WCF at Poinsettia Park. Clearly these things are hazardous and don't belong 210 ft from our homes nor around children playing in parks. The Fire Fighter's Union was very savvy and passed CA AB57 in 2015 to not allow them anywhere near their stations siting health reasons, mainly brain damage

(listed below for your reference). There are two things that can be done NOW to avoid a [public relations and health] catastrophe:

- 1) Planning Commission can request the applicant to revise their Alternatives Analysis because it is sparse, lacking detail, and many alternatives were omitted simply put, more analysis is needed. Also, CC Policy 64, page 6A 1 & 2 are not being interpreted correctly by staff parks and residential zones appear on BOTH lists inversely BUT just because they are listed as a land use doesn't make it okay to place a WCF there as they are listed in order (a historical precedence of interpretation is not grounds for correctness).
- 2) City Council can deny a land lease agreement at Poinsettia Park siting a plethora of reasons...quality of life, safety, aesthetics and incompatibility, etc.

Melanie, I hope you will do the right thing for Carlsbad. In talking to park users, it amazing how much they trust "the City" to not ruin our park by putting a WCF there, but when told it already happened at Calaveras (under a weaker version of CC Policy 64), they are incredulous. I've already had thyroid cancer — a type of cancer that has been proven to be linked to excess radiation.

My best, Nora George (760)930-0065

From: Nora George < norageorge 7@gmail.com >

Date: Wed, Nov 29, 2023 at 1:13 PM Subject: proposed WCF at Poinsettia Park

To: < kevin@kevinsabellico.org>

Dear Kevin,

I'm sorry we were not able to meet yesterday but I totally understand you cannot compromise yourself before the Planning Commission meeting. In preparation for that meeting, please allow me to share my thoughts. Despite the FCC significantly diminishing the City's decision-making power, city leaders DO have options and they can take back their power. Many cities in California and the USA are struggling with this issue and are slowly taking back control by revising their ordinances and policies on WCFs. I sent Jeff Murphy an article (listed below for your reference) with many CA cities, several with similar demographics as Carlsbad, and a sample ordinance as a guide for cities to revise their policies (it's geared for small cells but it does include the larger WCF). Basically, these cities are clarifying and stating: no WCFs in parks or near homes, schools, and daycares, tightening up set backs to 500 ft for small cells to 1,500 for towers (the well-accepted "safe" distance is 1/4 mile/400 meters/1320 ft), AND under-grounding the supporting fuel tanks (the proposed one is 103 gal. of diesel fuel) which already is included in City Council Policy 64. Revising and tightening up CC Policy 64 will prevent these from being install in future parks and near homes.

We need you to take action NOW against the proposed WCF at Poinsettia Park. Clearly these things are hazardous and don't belong 210 ft from our homes nor around children playing in parks. The Fire Fighter's Union was very savvy and passed CA AB57 in 2015 to not allow them anywhere near their stations siting health reasons, mainly brain damage (listed below for your reference). There are two things that can be done NOW to avoid a [public relations and health] catastrophe:

- 1) Planning Commission can request the applicant to revise their Alternatives Analysis because it is sparse, lacking detail, and many alternatives were omitted simply put, more analysis is needed. Also, CC Policy 64, page 6A 1 & 2 are not being interpreted correctly by staff parks and residential zones appear on BOTH lists inversely BUT just because they are listed as a land use doesn't make it okay to place a WCF there as they are listed in order (a historical precedence of interpretation is not grounds for correctness).
- 2) City Council can deny a land lease agreement at Poinsettia Park siting a plethora of reasons...quality of life, safety, aesthetics and incompatibility, etc.

Kevin, I hope you will do the right thing for Carlsbad. In talking to park users, it amazing how much they trust "the City" to not ruin our park by putting a WCF there, but when told it already happened at Calaveras (under a weaker version of

CC Policy 64), they are incredulous. I've already had thyroid cancer — a type of cancer that has been proven to be linked to excess radiation.

My best, Nora George (760)930-0065

From: Nora George <norageorge7@gmail.com> Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2023 1:18 PM

To: Cynthia Vigeland < Cynthia. Vigeland@carlsbadca.gov>

Subject: Pls forward to all Planning Commissioners

Dear Planning Commissioner,

I'm extremely concerned about the proposed WCF at Poinsettia Park. Despite the FCC significantly diminishing the City's decision-making power, city leaders DO have options and they can take back their power. Many cities in California and the USA are struggling with this issue and are slowly taking back control by revising their ordinances and policies on WCFs. I sent Jeff Murphy an article (listed below for your reference) with many CA cities, several with similar demographics as Carlsbad, and a sample ordinance as a guide for cities to revise their policies (it's geared for small cells but it does include the larger WCF). Basically, these cities are clarifying and stating: no WCFs in parks or near homes, schools, and daycares, tightening up set backs to 500 ft for small cells to 1,500 for towers (the well-accepted "safe" distance is 1/4 mile/400 meters/1320 ft), AND under-grounding the supporting fuel tanks (the proposed one is 103 gal. of diesel fuel) which already is included in City Council Policy 64. Revising and tightening up CC Policy 64 will prevent these from being install in future parks and near homes.

We need you to take action NOW against the proposed WCF at Poinsettia Park. Clearly these things are hazardous and don't belong 210 ft from our homes nor around children playing in parks. The Fire Fighter's Union was very savvy and passed CA AB57 in 2015 to not allow them anywhere near their stations siting health reasons, mainly brain damage (listed below for your reference). There are two things that can be done NOW to avoid a [public relations and health] catastrophe:

- 1) Planning Commission can request the applicant to revise their Alternatives Analysis because it is sparse, lacking detail, and many alternatives were omitted simply put, more analysis is needed. Also, CC Policy 64, page 6A 1 & 2 are not being interpreted correctly by staff parks and residential zones appear on BOTH lists inversely BUT just because they are listed as a land use doesn't make it okay to place a WCF there as they are listed in order (a historical precedence of interpretation is not grounds for correctness).
- 2) City Council can deny a land lease agreement at Poinsettia Park siting a plethora of reasons...quality of life, safety, aesthetics and incompatibility, etc.

As an esteemed Planning Commissioner, I hope you will do the right thing for Carlsbad. In talking to park users, it amazing how much they trust "the City" to not ruin our park by putting a WCF there, but when told it already happened at Calaveras (under a weaker version of CC Policy 64), they are incredulous. I've already had thyroid cancer — a type of cancer that has been proven to be linked to excess radiation.

My best, Nora George (760)930-0065 From: Dave George < davidlarkingeorge@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, November 28, 2023 11:25 AM

To: Kyle Van Leeuwen < Kyle.VanLeeuwen@carlsbadca.gov > Subject: Proposed cell phone tower at Poinsettia Park

Dear Kyle,

I am writing to you as a concerned citizen and Carlsbad resident. The proposed cell phone tower at Poinsettia Park will have a major negative effect on the community. As a city that prides itself on their public parks it makes no sense as to why you would put a cell phone tower in the middle of the best park in Carlsbad. I understand there are legal concerns about where to place new towers, but is this really the best place? Surely there must be better options. I urge you to use your voice and help protect the citizens of Carlsbad.

Respectfully,

David George 1032 Beacon Bay Dr. Carlsbad CA, 92011 619-252-5956 (cell)

From: Robert Hampton < rihampton07@gmail.com > Sent: Monday, November 27, 2023 8:02:00 PM

To: Priya Bhat-Patel < Priya.Bhat-Patel@carlsbadca.gov>

Subject: No Cell towers in our parks

Dear Priya,

I have been fortunate enough to live at 1026 Beacon Bay Dr. for the last 26 years. Up to this point the city has done a fantastic job creating spaces or families to enjoy beautiful parks and open spaces. My house shares a fence line with Poinsettia Park. I have enjoyed hearing parents cheer on their childern and seeing families and friends enjoy the parks many features. We often walk through the park with friends and visitors. We have a wonderful community that is something to be proud of. People travel to Carlsbad from out of the area to listen to concerts and play in tournaments. I am so disappointed that the city is considering allowing a private corporation use Carlsbad park space for their own convenience and profit at citizens' expense. I have spent some time at the park sharing this plan with concerned citizens. I have personally collected over 100 signatures in the 2 times I have gone out. There are many better options for the placement of these towers. I know you have the ability to have these towers placed away from parks, homes and schools. Keep Carlsbad the wonderful city it is by choosing to protect our parks over allowing corporations to place industrial structures in our protected spaces.

т	h	เล	n	レ	٠,	^		
-1	ш	ıa	H	ĸ	v	()	u	١.

Robert Hampton

From: Dave George < davidlarkingeorge@gmail.com>

Date: Tue, Nov 28, 2023 at 11:11 AM

Subject: cell phone tower at Poinsettia Park

To: kevin@kevinsabellico.org <kevin@kevinsabellico.org>

Dear Kevin

I am writing to you as a concerned citizen and Carlsbad resident. The proposed cell phone tower at Poinsettia Park will have a major negative effect on the community. As a city that prides itself on their public parks it makes no sense as to why you would put a cell phone tower in the middle of the best park in Carlsbad. I understand there are legal concerns about where to place new towers, but is this really the best place? Surely there must be better options. I urge you to use your voice and help protect the citizens of Carlsbad.

Respectfully,

David George 1032 Beacon Bay Dr. Carlsbad CA, 92011 619-252-5956 (cell)

From: Robert Hampton < rihampton07@gmail.com > Sent: Monday, November 27, 2023 8:02:00 PM

To: Priya Bhat-Patel < Priya.Bhat-Patel@carlsbadca.gov>

Subject: No Cell towers in our parks

Dear Priya,

I have been fortunate enough to live at 1026 Beacon Bay Dr. for the last 26 years. Up to this point the city has done a fantastic job creating spaces or families to enjoy beautiful parks and open spaces. My house shares a fence line with Poinsettia Park. I have enjoyed hearing parents cheer on their childern and seeing families and friends enjoy the parks many features. We often walk through the park with friends and visitors. We have a wonderful community that is something to be proud of. People travel to Carlsbad from out of the area to listen to concerts and play in tournaments. I am so disappointed that the city is considering allowing a private corporation use Carlsbad park space for their own convenience and profit at citizens' expense. I have spent some time at the park sharing this plan with concerned citizens. I have personally collected over 100 signatures in the 2 times I have gone out. There are many better options for the placement of these towers. I know you have the ability to have these towers placed away from parks, homes and schools. Keep Carlsbad the wonderful city it is by choosing to protect our parks over allowing corporations to place industrial structures in our protected spaces.

Thank you,

Robert Hampton

From: Suz Rezin < sent: Monday, November 27, 2023 10:43 AM

To: Scott Chadwick <<u>Scott.Chadwick@carlsbadca.gov</u>>; Eric Lardy <<u>Eric.Lardy@carlsbadca.gov</u>>; Kyle Van Leeuwen <<u>Kyle.VanLeeuwen@carlsbadca.gov</u>>; josephlstine@gmail.com; Kevin Sabellico <<u>kevin@kevinsabellico.org</u>>; atelierx@aol.com; petermerz@yahoo.com; Keith Blackburn <<u>Keith.Blackburn@carlsbadca.gov</u>>; Melanie Burkholder <<u>Melanie.Burkholder@carlsbadca.gov</u>>; Carolyn Luna <<u>Carolyn.Luna@carlsbadca.gov</u>>; Priya Bhat-Patel <<u>Priya.Bhat-Patel@carlsbadca.gov</u>>; Teresa Acosta <<u>Teresa.Acosta@carlsbadca.gov</u>>

Subject: Proposed cell towers at Poinsettia Park

Good Morning All,

I live in the neighborhood of Greystone Cove adjacent to Poinsettia Park and am extremely upset about a cell tower going up right next to our homes. The Park is heavily used by all of us in the community including alot of children. It would definitely affect our enjoyment of the Park and would interfere with our quality of life. It is so disturbing to have an industrial site within a beautiful park.

Additionally the installation at the park is inconsistent with City Council Policy 64 and AT&T 's alternative analysis was incomplete and they should look for other alternatives.

Once AT&T gets their tower then all the other companies will latch on to it and build fuel barrels next to it. Kids fly rockets and fireworks at the park. It sets up a dangerous situation.

My home value will drop because who wants to live next to a cell tower,

We can't have corporations bullying citizens for their own gain. Power and Money has turned so detrimental for the ordinary citizen, PLEASE PROTECT US.

PLEASE MAKE AT&T LOOK FOR OTHER SITES AWAY FROM RESIDENTIAL AREAS.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Susan Rezin 1071 Beacon Bay Dr Carlsbad CA 92011 (760) 438-4135

From: Robert Devich < rdevich@carlsbadusd.net Sent: Sunday, November 26, 2023 7:13:21 PM

To: Priya Bhat-Patel < Priya.Bhat-Patel@carlsbadca.gov >; Cathy Devich < cdevich@carlsbadusd.net >

Subject: Cell Tower

Hi Priya....

Having a child who battled cancer, we learned a lot regarding precautions as it relates to healthy lifestyles. If your house was within 100-200 yards to the proposed tower, my guess is that you would oppose it for similar reasons.

My wife and I voted for you and believe you are doing exceptional work as our area city council representative. Please fight for our safety within our south Cbad boundaries.

Thank you-

Robert Devich

Principal

Poinsettia Elementary School

2445 Mica Road Carlsbad, CA 92009 (760) 331-6500 (760) 930-6005 Fax

From: Robert Hampton <thesouthhamptons@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, November 27, 2023 8:40 PM **To:** Eric Lardy < Eric.Lardy@carlsbadca.gov> **Subject:** Parks are for citizens not corporations

Dear Eric,

I want to ask you to please stop the placement of cell towers in Poinsettia Park. Living in the beautiful city of Carlsbad is such a gift. We have so much for proud of here, and our parks are a big part of that. I could not be more frustrated thinking about our public spaces, meant for our citizens and visitors to enjoy, being used to help large corporations. They want our park because it is easy, cheaper and more convenient for them. Corporate profits shouldn't be prioritized over the citizens of our city. There are better spots they can use that won't adversely effect the people enjoying our parks, or living and going to school so close to the tower. I find it alarming that based on Policy 64 this site is even being considered. I look forward to hearing that you were successful in getting this tower placed in a different location.

Thank you,
Anne Hampton

From: Kathy Gartland < kathygartland@msn.com Sent: Sunday, November 26, 2023 7:50 AM

To: Kyle Van Leeuwen < <u>Kyle.VanLeeuwen@carlsbadca.gov</u>>

Subject: No Cell Phone Tower in Poinsettia Park

Hello Mr. VanLeeuwen,

I'm a homeowner in the Poinsettia Park community and writing to let you know that I oppose the location of a cell phone tower in Poinsettia Park. The current proposed location is ill advised and I hope the city of Carlsbad can do better and find a different location.

Regards,

Kathy Gartland 1020 Beacon Bay Dr. Carlsbad, CA



November 30, 2023

Scott Chadwick
City Manager
City of Carlsbad
1200 Carlsbad Village Drive
Carlsbad, CA 92008

RE: CUP2022-0023 / CDP 2022-0070 (DEV2022-0206)
Conditional Use Permit for Wireless Telecom Facility

Dear Mr. Chadwick:

On behalf of the residents of 112 single family homes located on the south side of Poinsettia Park, we respectfully oppose this proposed wireless telecom facility to be located in Poinsettia Park. We further ask that you recommend to the Planning Commission to request additional analysis at the upcoming December 6, 2023 planning commission meeting.

The applicant has updated its site analysis and concluded there are no other feasible site locations for this facility. We disagree.

Council Policy 64 - Location Guidelines

The spirit of Policy 64 (P64) aims to craft a balance between the Carlsbad lifestyle and allowing the installation of wireless facilities. It creates a ranking order to locate these facilities to favor commercial and industrial areas with residential and parks listed as least favorable.

MD7, the agent for AT&T has evaluated eight potential sites in their May 14, 2023 alternative site analysis report. While they have looked at each category of site preferences per P64 we feel there are other potential sites that were overlooked or omitted as the easier installation was a residential park.

Public Opposition

The surrounding neighbors join us in opposing this facility. Radiation hazards are the first concern followed by the blight of commercial antennas in a beautiful park.

Following P64 guidelines, we cannot argue the potential radiation hazards although there is more and more research studies documenting harmful effects of long term radiation exposure.

AT&T has incorporated 'stealth' shielding to help disguise the antennas on the light pole. The resulting design is a large cylinder wrapping around the pole. There is nothing of this shape or design within the park and is incongruent with the tall trees and other landscape elements.

Planning Commission Action

We strongly urge the Planning Commission to return this application to AT&T and request they formally review other potential sites listed below.

It is the responsibility of the city of Carlsbad to not only listen and respond to the concerns of its residents, but to follow the *intent* of its own policies as outlined in P64.

Alternative Sites for New Wireless Cell Facility

- 1. Water Tank, West side East of Black Rail Rd. and Triton Ave.
- 2. CalTrans Maintenance Facility 6050 Paseo Del Norte.
- 3. Inside Windmill, Windmill Food Hall 890 Palomar Airport Rd.
- 4. AltaMira RV lot, east side 6600 Paseo del Norte. Located on Poinsettia Park property.
- 5. Power transmission tower (wooden) north side of AltaMira RV lot.
- Carlsbad Fire Station #4 6885 Batiguitos Dr.
- 7. Poinsettia Park, New location away from homes.

AT&T's site analysis is sparse and lacking in supporting data. The city has a higher obligation to its residents' concerns over expediting a commercial vendor's schedule. We are not against a new wireless cell facility. We are simply reflecting the same concerns the city has already considered while developing its P64. These facilities just don't belong in parks or residential settings.

This commercial venture does not care about the surrounding neighborhoods and residents. The 'stealth' design is an eyesore and a blight on a beautiful park. We are relying on the city of Carlsbad to look out for our best interests. Thank you for reconsidering this application and delaying its vote.

Sincerely,

Board of Directors
Poinsettia Cove Maintenance Corporation