Tammy Cloud-McMinn

Fro	m:
_	

Teri Jacobs <tjacobs86@pacbell.net>

Sent:

Monday, April 8, 2024 12:15 PM

To:

City Clerk

Subject:

Legislative Subcommittee; 4/9 Agenda Item 3

All Receive - Agenda Item # 3
For the Information of the:
CITY COUNCIL
Date 4/824 CA ___ CC___

CM ___ ACM ___ DCM (3)

Legislative Subcommittee,

Regarding Windsor Pointe (WP):

What exactly is the subsidy reserve fund for? If money is pulled out for security concerns that was meant to offset of subsidize rents how will facility deal with budget shortfalls after the 20 year funding ends? WP is designated to be a NPLH a facility for 55 years; however, funded for 20. Also regarding security...staff is available 40 hours a week Mon-Fri. The added security doesn't address the weekend days and or gaps in hours from staff to when security guards begin and end their shifts.

"Develop advocacy strategy within 60 days to lobby for full funding of supportive housing at the state and federal levels." Why now? Our neighborhoods and families need immediate action. These housing starts should have been fully funded and staffed prior to opening. Where was the vetting to make sure that services would be sufficient? How will Proposition 1 affect any future funding?

"Advocate for policy changes in placement referral process." Residents knew this wasn't possible. How did staff and elected officials not know? All of the rhetoric was extremely vague when it came to referrals. If NPLH programs were vetted properly it should have been widely known who would be considered for placements.

"Advocate for allowing Veteran's Benefits to exceed Maximum Income Limits." How in the world could this key component been so grossly overlooked! Veterans were used as pawns to garner compassion.

Reading through the agenda packet it was very clear that neighbors concerns are not being heard. There are asks for more money, more services for mental/behavioral health. Why isn't crime and drug addiction addressed?

Residents at Windsor Pointe have numerous services available to them. They don't have to accept any of them. Permanent Supportive Housing does not require participation in any programs. Why aren't we including a stipulation that requires participation in proposals. What is the incentive to do better when you have been given permanent housing?

Finally, it appears that there still isn't a viable visitor's protocol in place. It was made very clear at the 3/12 meeting that it needed to be done. NOW!

While I appreciate the work that Jason Haber and Councilmembers Acosta and Burkholder are doing the community is still concerned about the safety of their neighborhoods and families.

This facility has been in operation for 2 years. That is more than enough time for issues to have been addressed and rectified. This is the wrong facility in the wrong location.

Regards, Teri Jacobs Carlsbad Resident; Dist 1 831 840-4725 tjacobs86@pacbell.net

Sent from my iPad

CAUTION: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.