Section 6409(a) Modification Worksheet For Wireless Towers on Private Property

Purpose:

This worksheet serves to assist the City of Carlsbad to determine whether a proposed collocation or modification to an existing wireless tower on private property qualifies as an eligible facilities request and/or would cause a substantial change as defined by the FCC.

Instructions:

Applicants must complete this worksheet and submit it with an application for a Section 6409(a) modification to collocate or modify transmission equipment on an existing wireless tower on private property submitted for approval pursuant to Section 6409(a) of the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012 (47 U.S.C. § 1455(a)).

Any proposed modification submitted for approval pursuant to Section 6409(a) must answer two general questions: (1) is the proposed modification an eligible facilities request and if so, (2) will it cause a substantial change? Section 1 addresses the eligible facilities request question and Sections 2 through 7 address the substantial change questions.

In general, any "NO" answer in Section 1, or any "YES" answer in Sections 2 through 7 will disqualify the proposed modification from Section 6409(a).

The City may deem any application without a completed worksheet incomplete. If a response to any question requires additional space, applicants may attach additional pages to this worksheet so long as the responses are clearly labeled with the number that corresponds with the question. Questions about this worksheet should be submitted to the Planning Division at planning@carlsbadca.gov.

Applic	ant Name:				
Phone	:E	mail:			
Projec	t Address:			 	
Applic	ant's Site ID:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	BLE FACILITIES REQUEST on 1. EXISTING FACILITY				
1.1.	Has the tower been deployed in accordance siting and zoning regulations in effect when		□ YES	□ NO	
1.2.	Does the application include copies of the i or regulatory approval issued in connection		□ YES	□ NO	
1.3.	Does the application include a copy of the regulatory approval issued in connection w		□ YES	□ NO	
	If the answer Line 1.2 or Line 1.3 is "NO" explain why the relevant permits and/or regulatory approvals are not attached:				

1.3.		e proposed modification maintain (and <u>NOT</u> replace) the existing structure?	□ YES	□ NO		
<u>SUB</u>	STANT	IAL CHANGE FACTORS				
Sect	ion 2.	HEIGHT INCREASES				
the to		cation substantially changes a wireless tower on private property if it in existed on February 22, 2012 by more than 10% or 20 feet (whichever ii).				
2.1.	Provide	Provide the following measurements:				
	2.1.1.	overall height <u>after</u> the modification or collocation:	fe	et		
	2.1.2.	tower height on February 22, 2012:	fe	et		
	2.1.3.	subtract Line 2.1.1 by Line 2.1.2:	fe	et		
	2.1.4.	multiply Line 2.1.2 by 0.1:	fe	et		
2.2.		measurement in Line 2.1.3 greater than 20 feet <u>AND</u> greater than easurement in Line 2.1.4?	□ YES	□ NO		
Sect	ion 3.	WIDTH INCREASES				
that pi	otrudes f	cation substantially changes a wireless tower on private property if it are from the tower by more than 20 feet or by more than the width of the town (whichever is greater). 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100(b)(7)(ii).				
3.1.	Provide	e the following measurements:				
	3.1.1.	furthest distance that any proposed appurtenance would protrude from the tower:	fe	et		
	3.1.2.	existing tower width at the level of the new appurtenance(s):	fe	et		
3.2.		measurement in Line 3.1.1 greater than 20 feet <u>AND</u> greater than easurement in Line 3.1.2?	□ YES	□ NO		
Sect	ion 4.	EQUIPMENT CABINETS				
		cation substantially changes a wireless tower on private property if it invnent cabinets. 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100(b)(7)(iii).	olves more	than four		
4.1.		Provide the number of new equipment cabinets in the proposed modification:				
4.2.	Is the	quantity in Line 4.1 greater than four (4)?	□ YES	□ NO		

Section 5. NEW EXCAVATION OR DEPLOYMENT AT GROUND LEVEL

Rule: A modification substantially changes a wireless tower on private property if it entails any excavation or deployment outside the current boundaries of the leased or owned property surrounding the tower and any access or utility easements related to the site. 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.6100(b)(6), 1.6100(b)(7)(iv).

5.1.		lark the appropriate box(es) if the project plans contain the following items. Mark any box "N/ne item is not part of the scope of work for the proposed modification:		
		Current boundaries of the area that the carrier/infrastructure provider lease access and utility easements	es or owns,	including
		All proposed equipment deployed on the ground		
		All proposed ground disturbance (excavation, trenching, boring, digging, e	etc.)	
5.2.	De	cribe all proposed ground disturbance and proposed equipment deployed on the ground:		
5.3.	gro	Il any new ground disturbance or equipment deployed on the bund be outside the leased or owned areas, including any sements for access or utilities?	□ YES	□ NO
Sect	ion	6. CONCEALMENT ELEMENTS		
		odification substantially changes a wireless tower on private property if nt elements of the tower. 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100(b)(7)(v).	it would o	lefeat the
6.1.	Ma	Mark the appropriate box(es) if the application contains the following items:		
		Full-color photo simulations that depict how the site appears now and how the proposed project	w it will ap	pear after
		Project plans that depict and call out all existing and proposed concealment stealth techniques, camouflaging, integration, etc.)	t elements	(painting,
6.2.	De	scribe the existing concealment elements and/or techniques:		
	_			
6.3.		escribe the proposed concealment elements and/or techniques and how, if at all, the proposed odification would alter the existing concealment elements:		
6.4.		Il the proposed modification defeat the existing concealment ments of the tower?	□ YES	□ NO

Section 7. COMPLIANCE WITH PRIOR PERMIT CONDITIONS

Rule: A modification substantially changes a wireless tower on private property if it does not comply with conditions associated with the siting approval for the original construction or subsequent modification(s) of the tower. Noncompliance with prior permit conditions related to height, width, equipment cabinets and excavation would not cause a substantial change to the extent the condition is more restrictive than the applicable FCC thresholds (described in Sections 2 through 5 of this worksheet). 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100(b)(7)(vi).

7.1.	Ma	Mark the appropriate box(es) if the application contains all the following items:				
		Copies of all prior permits and other regula	atory approvals issued in conne	ection with this site		
		All conditions of approval applicable the approvals	corresponding prior permits	and other regualtory		
7.2.		entify the condition(s) corresponding to a prodification would violate:	rior permit or regulatory approv	val that the proposed		
7.3.	mo	entify the condition(s) corresponding to a prodification would violate, but condition(s) worksheet:	rior permit or regulatory approvuld be preempted by a "NO " an	val that the proposed swer in Line 2.2, 3.2 ,		
7.4.		e there any conditions identified in Line Line 7.3?	7.2 that do not appear	□ YES □ NO		
PRE	PAR	RER'S CERTIFICATION				
true, a corres this a _l ackno	ccur pond pplic wled	d and I understand this worksheet and all its rate and based on my personal knowledge ds. I understand that the City of Carlsbad will ation in accordance with the FCC's reguge that any inaccuracies may result in the all pursuant to Section 6409(a) being denied	about the proposed project to verely on my responses to evaluate the lations under 47 C.F.R. § 1.0 application being deemed incort	which this worksheet te whether to process 6100 <i>et seq.</i> , and l		
Applic	ant N	Name:	<u></u>			
Applic	ant S	Signature:				