Section 6409(a) Modification Worksheet For Wireless Base Stations

Pur	ро	se	:
-----	----	----	---

This worksheet serves to assist the City of Carlsbad to determine whether a proposed collocation or modification to an existing base station qualifies as an eligible facilities request and/or would cause a substantial change as defined by the FCC.

Instructions:

Applicants must complete this worksheet and submit it with an application for a Section 6409(a) modification to collocate or modify transmission equipment on an existing base station submitted for approval pursuant to Section 6409(a) of the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012 (47 U.S.C. § 1455(a)).

Any proposed modification submitted for approval pursuant to Section 6409(a) must answer two general questions: (1) is the proposed modification an eligible facilities request and if so, (2) will it cause a substantial change? Section 1 addresses the eligible facilities request question and Sections 2 through 7 address the substantial change questions.

In general, any "NO" answer in Section 1, or any "YES" answer in Sections 2 through 7 will disqualify the proposed modification from Section 6409(a).

The City may deem any application without a completed worksheet incomplete. If a response to any question requires additional space, applicants may attach additional pages to this worksheet so long as the responses are clearly labeled with the number that corresponds with the question. Questions about this worksheet should be submitted to the Planning Division at planning@carlsbadca.gov.

Applicant Name:	
Phone:	Email:
Project Address:	
Applicant's Site ID:	
ELIGIBLE FACILITIES REQUEST	
Section 1. EXISTING FACILITY	

1.2. Has all the transmission equipment been deployed in accordance with the applicable siting and zoning regulations in effect when the deployment occurred?

Does the non-tower structure currently support wireless transmission

□ YES	□ NO
-------	------

□ YES

1.3. Does the application include a copy of the most recent permit or regulatory approval issued in connection with this site?

□ YES	□ NO
-------	------

If the answer in Line 1.3 is "NO" explain why the relevant permits and/or regulatory approvals are not attached:

equipment?

1.1.

					-	_
1.4.		e proposed modification maintain (and <u>NOT</u> replace) the existing over support structure?	□ YE	S [□ NO	-
<u>SUB</u>	<u>STANT</u>	IAL CHANGE FACTORS				
Sect	ion 2.	HEIGHT INCREASES				
		cation substantially changes a base station if it increases the height or ore than 10% or 10 feet (whichever is greater). 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100(b)(7		inal	suppo	ort
2.1.	Provide	e the following measurements:				
	2.1.1.	overall height <u>after</u> the proposed modification:		feet		
	2.1.2.	support structure height when originally constructed:		feet		
	2.1.3.	subtract Line 2.1.1 by Line 2.1.2		feet		
	2.1.4.	multiply Line 2.1.2 by 0.1:		feet		
2.2.		measurement in Line 2.1.3 greater than 10 feet <u>AND</u> greater than easurement in Line 2.1.4?	□ YE	S [□ NO	
Rule:		WIDTH INCREASES cation substantially changes a base station if it adds an appurtenance acture by more than six (6) feet. 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100(b)(7)(ii).	that pro	otrud	es fro	m
3.1.	Provide	e the furthest distance that any proposed appurtenance protrude from the support structure:		feet		
3.2.	Is the	measurement in Line 3.1 greater than six (6) feet?	□ YE	S [□ NO	
Sect	ion 4.	EQUIPMENT CABINETS				
cabino install	ets; (ii) in ation of a	cation substantially changes a base station if it involves (i) more than fount istallation of any new ground-mounted equipment cabinets if none canny ground-mounted equipment cabinets that are more than 10% talled cound-mounted cabinets associated with the base station. 47 C.F.R. § 1	urrently or or volui	exist nino	; or (us tha	iii)
4.1.	Provide	e the following quantities:				
	4.1.1.	total proposed new equipment cabinets:				
	4.1.2.	existing ground-mounted equipment cabinets:				
	4.1.3.	proposed new ground-mounted equipment cabinets:				

[CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE]

		e the following measurements (unit of measure <u>required</u>):				
	4.2.1.	height of the tallest proposed ground-mounted equipment cabinet:				
	4.2.2.	height of the tallest existing ground-mounted equipment cabinet:				
	4.2.3.	multiply Line 4.2.2 by 1.1:				
	4.2.4.	volume of the most voluminous proposed ground-mounted equipment cabinet:				
	4.2.5.	volume of the most voluminous existing ground-mounted equipment cabinet:				
	4.2.6.	multiply Line 4.2.5 by 1.1:				
4.6.	Is the	quantity in Line 4.1.1 greater than four (4)?	□ YES	□ NO		
4.7.	Is the quantity in Line 4.1.2 zero (0) <u>AND</u> the quantity in Line 4.1.3 greater than zero (0)?			□ NO		
4.8.	Is the measurement in Line 4.2.1 greater than the measurement in Line 4.2.3?		□ YES	□ NO		
4.9.	Is the measurement in Line 4.2.4 greater than the measurement in Line 4.2.6?		□ YES	□ NO		
Section	on 5.	NEW EXCAVATION OR DEPLOYMENT AT GROUND	LEVEL			
the curr site, an	rent bound	cation substantially changes a base station if it entails any excavation undaries of the leased or owned property and any access or utility eater restricted to the area in proximity to the support structure and eady deployed on the ground. 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.6100(b)(6), 1.6100(b)(7)	sements rela to other trar	ted to the		
5.1.	Mark the appropriate box(es) if the project plans contain the following items. Mark any box "N/A" if the item is not part of the scope of work for the proposed modification:					
		☐ Current boundaries of the area that the carrier/infrastructure provider leases or owns, includin access and utility easements				
	□ All	proposed equipment deployed on the ground				
	☐ All proposed ground disturbance (excavation, trenching, boring, digging, etc.)					
		be all proposed ground disturbance and proposed equipment deploy				

[CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE]

5.3.	Will any new ground disturbance or equipment deployed on the ground be outside the leased or owned areas, including any associated easements for access or utilities, <u>OR</u> outside the proximity of the support structure or existing ground-mounted
	transmission equipment?

Section 6. CONCEALMENT ELEMENTS

Rule: A modification substantially changes a base station if it would defeat the concealment elements of the base station. 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100(b)(7)(v).

	odification would alter the existing concealment elements:		
	odification would alter the existing concealment elements:		
De	escribe the proposed concealment elements and/or techniques and how, if	at all, the	proposed
De	escribe the existing concealment elements and/or techniques:		
	Project plans that depict and call out all existing and proposed concealment stealth techniques, camouflaging, integration, etc.)	elements	(painting
	Full-color photo simulations that depict how the site appears now and how the proposed project	v it will app	pear after

Section 7. COMPLIANCE WITH PRIOR PERMIT CONDITIONS

Rule: A modification substantially changes a base station if it does not comply with conditions associated with the siting approval for the original construction or subsequent modification(s) of the base station. Noncompliance with prior permit conditions related to height, width, equipment cabinets and excavation would not cause a substantial change to the extent the condition is more restrictive than the applicable FCC thresholds (described in Sections 2 through 5 of this worksheet). 47 C.F.R. § 1.6100(b)(7)(vi).

7.1.	Mark the appropriate box(es) if the application contains all the following items:			
		Copies of all prior permits and other regulatory approvals issued in connection with this site		
		All conditions of approval applicable the corresponding prior permits and other regualtory approvals		

7.2.	Identify the condition(s) corresponding modification would violate:	to a prior permit or regulatory approv	al that the proposed
7.3.	Identify the condition(s) corresponding modification would violate, but such co 2.2, 3.2, 4.6, 4.7, 4.8, 4.9 or 5.3 of this	ondition(s) would be preempted by a "	
7.4.	Are there any conditions identified in Line 7.3?	n Line 7.2 that do not appear	□ YES □ NO
PRE	PARER'S CERTIFICATION		
true, a corres this a ackno	e read and I understand this worksheet and accurate and based on my personal know sponds. I understand that the City of Carlst application in accordance with the FCC owledge that any inaccuracies may result oproval pursuant to Section 6409(a) being	vledge about the proposed project to voted will rely on my responses to evaluate is regulations under 47 C.F.R. § 1.6 in the application being deemed income.	which this worksheet e whether to process 3100 et seq., and I
Applic	cant Name:		
Applic	cant Signature:	Date:	