



INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

Dec. 16, 2021, 4 p.m.
Regular Meeting

City Hall
1200 Carlsbad Village Drive
Carlsbad, CA 92008
Virtual Meeting

Welcome to Your Independent Redistricting Commission Meeting

We welcome your interest and involvement in the city's legislative process. This agenda includes information about topics coming before the Independent Redistricting Commission and the actions recommended by city staff. You can read about each topic in the staff reports, which are available on the city website and in the City Clerk's Office. The City Clerk's Office is also available to answer any questions you have about Independent Redistricting Commission meeting procedures.

How to watch



City cable channel

Charter Spectrum channel 24
AT&T U-verse channel 99.



City website

carlsbadca.gov/news/citytv.asp

Virtual meeting format

- In the interest of public health and safety, we are temporarily taking actions to prevent and mitigate the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic by holding Independent Redistricting Commission and other public meetings online only.
- All public meetings will comply with public noticing requirements in the Brown Act and will be made accessible electronically to all members of the public seeking to observe and address the Commission.

How to participate

- **Join from a PC, Mac, iPad, iPhone or Android device:** <https://carlsbadca.zoom.us/j/97802980703>
 - **Join** using "Computer Audio"
 - **Raise your hand** when the Clerk calls for speakers for the item you wish to comment on.
 - **Unmute** yourself when called on by the Clerk.
- **By phone:** Call: (213) 338 – 8477 and enter Meeting ID: 978 0298 0703. Press # for Participant ID.
 - **Press *9** when the Clerk calls for speakers for the item you wish to comment on.
 - The Clerk will then call on the last four numbers of your telephone number.
 - **Press *6** to unmute yourself to speak.
- **In writing:** Email comments to redistricting@carlsbadca.gov. Comments received by 3 p.m. the day of the meeting will be shared with the Independent Redistricting Commission prior to the meeting. When e-mailing comments, please identify in the subject line the agenda item to which your comments relate. All comments received will be included as part of the official record. **Written comments will not be read out loud.**
- These procedures shall remain in place during the period in which state or local health officials have imposed or recommended social distancing measures.

Reasonable accommodations

Persons with a disability may request an agenda packet in appropriate alternative formats as require by the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. Reasonable accommodations and auxiliary aids will be provided to effectively allow participation in the meeting. Please contact the City Manager's Office at 760-434-2821 (voice), 711 (free relay service for TTY users), 760-720-9461 (fax) or manager@carlsbadca.gov by noon on the Monday before the meeting to decide.

IN THE EVENT A QUORUM OF THE INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION LOSES ELECTRICAL POWER OR SUFFERS AN INTERNET CONNECTION OUTAGE THAT IS NOT CORRECTED WITHIN 15 MINUTES, THE MEETING WILL AUTOMATICALLY BE ADJOURNED. ANY ITEMS NOTICED AS PUBLIC HEARINGS WILL BE CONTINUED TO THE NEXT REGULARLY SCHEDULED MEETING OF THE INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION. ANY OTHER AGENDA ITEMS THE COMMISSION HAS NOT TAKEN ACTION ON WILL BE PLACED ON A FUTURE AGENDA.

More information about Independent Redistricting Commission meeting procedures can be found at the end of this agenda and in Carlsbad Municipal Code Chapters 1.20 and 2.15.

CALL TO ORDER:

ROLL CALL:

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Minutes of the Regular Meeting held Oct. 28, 2021

PUBLIC COMMENT: *The Brown Act allows any member of the public to comment on items not on the agenda. Please treat others with courtesy, civility, and respect. In conformance with the Brown Act, public comment is provided so members of the public may participate in the meeting by submitting comments as provided on the front page of this agenda. The Independent Redistricting Commission will receive comments at the beginning of the meeting. In conformance with the Brown Act, no action can occur on these items.*

DEPARTMENT REPORTS:

1. **OVERVIEW OF ADOPTED 2021-2029 HOUSING ELEMENT** – Receive an informational presentation overviewing the General Plan 2021-2029 Housing Element. (Staff Contact: Scott Donnell, Community Development)
2. **REVIEW OF DRAFT MAPS** - Receive a presentation from National Demographics Corporation overviewing the draft maps submitted by members of the public received by December 1 and the draft maps prepared by National Demographics corporation and review next steps in the redistricting process. (Staff Contact: Faviola Medina, City Clerk’s Office)
3. **PUBLIC INPUT RECEIVED IN THE REDISTRICTING PROCESS** – Receive and discuss public input received from Nov. 14 through Dec. 8, 2021. (Staff Contact: Kristina Ray, Communication & Engagement Department)

ANNOUNCEMENTS:

This section of the agenda is designated for announcements to advise the community regarding upcoming Independent Redistricting Commission meetings or events.

ADJOURNMENT:

Independent Redistricting Commission Meeting Procedures (continued from page 1)

Written Materials

Written materials related to the agenda that are submitted to the Independent Redistricting Commission after the agenda packet has been published will be available for review prior to the meeting during normal business hours at the City Clerk's Office, 1200 Carlsbad Village Drive and on the city website. To review these materials during the meeting, please see the City Clerk.

Visual Materials

Visual materials, such as pictures, charts, maps or slides, are allowed for comments on agenda items, not general public comment. Please contact the City Manager's Office at 760-434-2820 or manager@carlsbadca.gov to make arrangements in advance. All materials must be received by the City Manager's Office no later than noon the day before the meeting. The time spent presenting visual materials is included in the maximum time limit provided to speakers. All materials exhibited to the Independent Redistricting Commission during the meeting are part of the public record. **Please note that video presentations during public comment are not allowed.**

Decorum

All participants are expected to conduct themselves with mutual respect. Loud, boisterous and unruly behavior can interfere with the ability of the Independent Redistricting Commission to conduct the people's business. That's why it is illegal to disrupt an Independent Redistricting Commission meeting. Following a warning from the presiding officer, those engaging in disruptive behavior are subject to law enforcement action.

Independent Redistricting Commission Agenda

The Independent Redistricting Commission follows a regular order of business that is specified in the Carlsbad Municipal Code. The Commission may only make decisions about topics listed on the agenda.

Public Comment

Members of the public may speak on any redistricting related item that does not appear on the agenda. State law prohibits the Independent Redistricting Commission from taking action on items not listed on the agenda. Comments requiring follow up will be referred to staff and, if appropriate, considered at a future Independent Redistricting Commission meeting. Members of the public are also welcome to provide comments on agenda items during the portions of the meeting when those items are being discussed. In both cases, a request to speak form must be submitted to the clerk in advance of that portion of the meeting beginning.

New Business

This part of the agenda is for items presented to the Independent Redistricting Commission by city staff and can be informational in nature or require action. The staff report about each item indicates the purpose of the item and whether or not action is requested.

Other Reports

At the end of each meeting, Independent Redistricting Commission members and the city attorney and city clerk are given an opportunity to share information. This usually includes information about upcoming meetings and events.

Independent Redistricting Commission Actions

Motion

A motion is used to propose Independent Redistricting Commission direction related to an item on the agenda. Any Independent Redistricting Commission member may make a motion. A motion must receive a "second" from another Independent Redistricting Commission member to be eligible for a vote.



INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION
Regular Meeting

Minutes

Council Chamber
1200 Carlsbad Village Drive
Carlsbad, CA 92008

Oct. 28, 2021, 4 p.m.

CALL TO ORDER: 4 p.m.

ROLL CALL: Fabiano, Stanley, Ashton, Harris, Sardina, Cadwallader, Arndt.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE: Commission Member Stanley led the Pledge of Allegiance.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Minutes of the Regular Meeting held Sept. 9, 2021

Commission Member Ashton questioned approval by the commission of the entire meeting schedule during its the July 29, 2021 commission meeting as stated in the Sept. 9, 2021 minutes, and said she would follow up on this item. She further questioned if an approved motion under Item No. 2 of the Sept. 9, 2021 minutes required title changes on all Independent Redistricting Commission materials.

Commission Member Ashton further stated she would like it noted under Item No. 4 of the Sept. 9, 2021 minutes, that the City of Carlsbad Independent Redistricting Commission is providing language translation items to non-English speaking residents although the Secretary of State declared it is not required for the City of Carlsbad.

Motion by Vice Chair Stanley to approve the minutes, seconded by Commission Member Cadwallader, to approve the September 9, 2021 minutes.

Discussion ensued among the commission members regarding how to proceed to approve minutes with potential changes.

Commission Member Cadwallader withdrew her second to Vice Chair Stanley's motion.

Motion failed due to a lack of a second.

Motion by Vice Chair Stanley, seconded by Commission Member Cadwallader, for staff to review the Sept. 9, 2021 minutes for presentation on the Independent Redistricting Commission meeting agenda for Nov. 18, 2021 – 6/1 (Fabiano – No).

PUBLIC COMMENT: None.

DEPARTMENT REPORTS:

1. MAPPING WORKSHOP RECAP AND NEXT STEPS – Receive a presentation from National Demographics Corporation overviewing the mapping workshop and next steps in the redistricting process. (Staff Contact: Faviola Medina, City Clerk’s Office)

National Demographics Corporation (NDC) representative Shannon Kelly reviewed a PowerPoint presentation (on file in the Office of the City Clerk).

Chair Fabiano asked if public comments would be reflected on commission-generated maps or public-submitted maps.

Ms. Kelly explained the commission would be seeing a combination of survey-related information along with additional material. She explained that NDC will synthesize and group the maps for the commission to provide structure. Ms. Kelley added that NDC will also incorporate pieces of information from the submitted maps at the request of the commission. She further added that NDC will try to provide the commission with examples of consistent feedback and if the commission wants to see information that does not have a map, NDC will generate a map for the commission.

In response to an inquiry from Chair Fabiano, Ms. Kelly explained the differences in mapping tools District R and Maptitude. She explained that the District R tool has census information for the City of Carlsbad and is simpler to use than Maptitude. She added that Maptitude has the same underlying data but permits more sophistication with additional fine tuning and layering.

In response to an inquiry from Vice Chair Stanley, Ms. Kelley explained that the commission would see the maps in its agenda packet for the Jan. 13, 2022 Independent Redistricting Commission Public Hearing in advance. She added that draft maps will be viewable to the public on the city’s website seven days in advance of the hearing and that the District R mapping tool contains a gallery section that can be viewed at any time to see what the public is posting. She explained that NDC will gather and analyze information from the District R tool to be included in the commission agenda packet.

At Chair Fabiano’s request, Ms. Kelley provided an overview on how to post a map using the District R mapping tool.

Commission Member Cadwallader asked if District R maps could be seen without NDC review.

Ms. Kelley responded that all information from the maps can be viewed in the District R program at any time and that for the Jan. 13, 2022 Independent Redistricting Commission meeting, all the information in that program will be made available to the commission and will include NDC review and analysis data.

Commission Member Ashton asked if the raw version of the maps is only viewable currently for commissioners on District R. She further asked if commissioners will be able to view raw map data in Maptitude and will NDC’s presentation show everything

originally entered into the map or if modifications are made, is there any other than the overlays to work with them.

Ms. Kelley responded that the commission would see everything submitted in its raw form in the District R tool and the information would be shown to the commission during a presentation meeting with an explanation from NDC regarding any issues. She added that the commission will be able to direct NDC to adjust the map(s) to address those issues. She further added that Maptitude contains a gallery for public to see, but this information will be analyzed by NDC for submission to commission

Commission Member Ashton asked about maps being reviewed and posted to the city's website.

Ms. Kelley responded that reviewed means NDC conducts a standard review of every map with a template that uses a very object set of data. She added that a summary is then generated of every map for the commission and that NDC also conducts a more subjective review of the maps using requirement from the Fair Maps Act.

In response to an inquiry from Commission Member Ashton, Ms. Kelly stated the commission will see items that do not meet the requirements of the Fair Maps Act.

Commission Member Ashton requested the Spanish survey feedback information be translated for the commission.

Commission Member Ashton requested the commission have the opportunity to see the maps to provide input before the general public is able to view them prior to the Jan. 13, 2022 public hearing. She further expressed that she was concerned that there is not enough time for everyone that is interested to use the Maptitude tool.

Assistance City Attorney Cindie McMahon explained that under the Brown Act, any information provided to the commission has to be simultaneously provided to the public.

Ms. Kelley further explained that the Fair Maps Act provides requirements about advance noticing of public hearings. She added that the commission will see maps in additional meetings after Jan. 13, 2022 from the Maptitude tool and that most public comments are generated from other channels and not Maptitude.

Chair Fabiano called for public comment on Item No. 1.

Arnie Cohen asked when the District R mapping program would be receiving a population update, stating he just visited the program to create a new map and it is still showing outdated population numbers.

Ms. Kelley explained that the District R mapping tool is a third-party program used by NDC and added that all demographic data, paper mapping tool kit data, and Excel

spreadsheet data are correct. She explained that NDC is waiting for the third party to update the population numbers.

Chair Fabiano asked if staff could be notified once the District R program has been updated to relay on the city's website.

In response to an inquiry from Commission Member Arndt, Ms. Kelly said she had no timeframe regarding this update.

Vice Chair Stanley requested staff notify the commission by email when the District R tool has been updated.

Commission Member Ashton stated she had the following concerns:

- data from two third party companies contains outdated information and is not real except for the total population of Carlsbad;
- responses from the Maptitude tool will have fewer responses because a smaller part of the population will use the tool;
- Maptitude will not be available to use for the same period of time as the District R tool, and because of potential difficulty, those people who do use Maptitude will probably need more time;
- not concerned with NDC normal processes and what other cities do, because being better than somebody else isn't being your best and Carlsbad is better;
- relying on NDC to assist commission through the redistricting process and doesn't want to hear about third party companies not delivering;
- commission has a tight timeline to complete this task as it was brought in at a late date and wants to provide an opportunity to the public with as much time with the mapping process as possible.

Commission Member Ashton requested that NDC do everything it can to resolve these issues to provide the public and commission the opportunity to work on their maps.

Assistant City Attorney Cindie McMahan reminded the commission about meeting decorum.

In response to a concern relayed by Commission Member Cadwallader regarding the timeline to receive the maps prior to the Jan. 13, 2022 public hearing, Ms. Kelley explained NDC's preference is to provide the commission with the maps as a package in January as a best practice to allow the commission to equally evaluate a map created at the end of the process versus a map created the first day District R was available on the website. She added NDC could provide the commission with an analysis of some of the earlier created maps.

Commission Member Cadwallader asked if Maptitude permitted to allot people independently of the census district.

Ms. Kelley stated that the census blocks are provided, and there are times they do not fit the way a city like Carlsbad has developed. She explained that the reason not to divide the data is that the Federal Voting Rights Act evaluation was given on a census block level. She added that census blocks can be cut but there are risks. She further added if there are some blocks that are egregious, they should be highlighted for evaluation.

City Clerk Services Manager Faviola Medina clarified for the record that the Oct. 28, 2021 meeting was noticed and agenda packets made available to the commission and public 72 hours in advance in compliance with the Brown Act.

2. PUBLIC INPUT RECEIVED IN THE REDISTRICTING PROCESS – Receive a staff presentation regarding public input received from Sept. 9 through Oct. 23, including responses to a community survey administered by the city. (Staff Contact: Kristina Ray, Communication & Engagement Department)

Communication and Engagement Department Director Kristina Ray and Community Relations Manager Bree Robertoy gave the report and PowerPoint presentation (on file in the Office of the City Clerk).

There was no public comment on this item.

Commission Member Cadwallader requested staff repair one of the website pages where the text went past the end of the page and could not be read.

At the request of Commission Member Ashton, Ms. Ray stated it was possible to leave the current survey available on the website.

3. REDISTRICTING PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT DISCUSSION – Receive a staff presentation regarding public engagement actions taken resulting from recommendations given by the Independent Redistricting Commission at their Sept. 9, 2021 meeting. (Staff Contact: Kristina Ray, Communication & Engagement Department)

Community Relations Manager Bree Robertoy gave the report and PowerPoint presentation (on file in the Office of the City Clerk).

Arnie Cohen requested that one of the public hearings be an interchange of discussion between the public and the commission.

Discussion ensued among the commission regarding a potential paper insert in the city's water bills.

In response to a request from Chair Fabiano, Communication and Engagement Department Director Kristina Ray explained that the paper mapping kits are located at city hall and both libraries.

In response to an inquiry from Chair Fabiano, Ms. Ray explained that print advertising in the Coast News, Carlsbad Magazine and Union/Tribune could be considered.

Commission discussion continued with staff regarding alternative, potential print advertising opportunities.

Motion by Commission Member Ashton, seconded by Vice Chair Stanley, to use a portion of the budget from digital advertising for print advertising in the following ways: A 1/3 page insert with no specific date and universal information about redistricting in as many of the 40,00 water bills as possible. If one district does not approve, utilize the other districts within Carlsbad; and place at least one and hopefully 2 advertisements in the Coast News supplemented by a column in Carlsbad Magazine – 7/0.

STAFF AND COMMITTEE MEMBER REQUESTS FOR FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS:

Chair Fabiano asked for an update on the print advertising materials at the next meeting of the Independent Redistricting Commission meeting on Nov. 18, 2021.

ANNOUNCEMENTS: None.

CITY ATTORNEY COMMENTS: None.

ADJOURNMENT: Chair Fabiano adjourned the duly noticed meeting at 6:30 p.m.

Sherry Freisinger
Deputy City Clerk



INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

Meeting Date: Dec. 16, 2021

To: Independent Redistricting Commission

Staff Contact: Scott Donnell, Senior Planner
scott.donnell@carlsbadca.gov, 760-602-4618

Subject: Overview of Adopted 2021-2029 Housing Element

Recommended Action

Receive an informational presentation overviewing the General Plan 2021-2029 Housing Element.

Discussion

On April 6, 2021, the City Council approved the Housing Element for the 2021-2029 housing cycle. In July, the state Department of Housing and Community Development certified the element. The city is now beginning implementation of the element's many programs and policies, which in large part will take place over the next three years.

Housing Element Program 1.1 is an example of a significant implementation effort. This program requires the city to provide adequate sites to accommodate state-forecasted residential growth through 2029. The Planning Commission and City Council will consider the sites identified to help meet the growth forecast at public hearings in late 2022 or early 2023. As part of its presentation, staff will discuss Program 1.1 and other aspects of the Housing Element and its strategy to address the city's housing needs over the next several years.

Further information about the Housing Element and, implementation efforts underway is available on the city's website at www.carlsbadca.gov/housingplan.

Fiscal Analysis

This action has no fiscal impact.

Environmental Evaluation

In keeping with California Public Resources Code Section 21065, this action does not constitute a "project" within the meaning of the California Environmental Quality Act in that it has no potential to cause either a direct physical change in the environment or a reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment.

Public Notification and Outreach

This item was noticed in keeping with the Ralph M. Brown Act and was available for public viewing and review at least 72 hours prior to the meeting date.

Exhibits

1. Adopted 2021-2029 Housing Element, available on the City's website at www.carlsbadca.gov/housingplan



INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

Meeting Date: Dec. 16, 2021

To: Independent Redistricting Commission

Staff Contact: Faviola Medina, City Clerk Services Manager
faviola.medina@carlsbadca.gov, 760-434-5989

Subject: Review of Draft Maps

Recommended Action

Receive a presentation from National Demographics Corporation overviewing the draft maps submitted by members of the public received by December 1 and the draft maps prepared by National Demographics corporation and review next steps in the redistricting process. Any maps received by the public after December 1 will be presented at the Public Hearing in January.

Fiscal Analysis

This action has no fiscal impact.

Environmental Evaluation

In keeping with California Public Resources Code Section 21065, this action does not constitute a "project" within the meaning of the California Environmental Quality Act in that it has no potential to cause either a direct physical change in the environment or a reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment.

Public Notification and Outreach

This item was noticed in keeping with the Ralph M. Brown Act and it was available for public viewing and review at least 72 hours before the scheduled meeting date.

Exhibits

1. Draft maps submitted by Dec. 1, 2021, by members of the public
2. Draft maps prepared by National Demographics Corporation
3. Carlsbad subdivision maps submitted by Chair Fabiano



Exhibit 1

City of Carlsbad Redistricting: Commission Meeting

Review of Public Maps

December 16, 2021

Prepared for the Carlsbad Independent
Redistricting Commission

DistrictR Maps as of December 1, 2021

Total Submitted: 27

Summary:

4 Coast Districts: 10

3 Coastal Districts: 1

2 Coastal Districts: 9


1 Coastal District: 4

Non Compliant: 1


Withdrawn: 2

Public Maps

ID: 84695



ID: 69103




Coast Districts - 4

Coast Districts - 4


Public Maps (Cont.)

ID: 49635



Coast Districts – 4


ID: 79064




Coast Districts – 4

Public Maps (Cont.)

ID: 84698



ID: 84682




Coast Districts – 4

Coast Districts – 4


Public Maps (Cont.)

ID: 78343



Coast Districts - 4


ID: 79827



Coast Districts - 4


Public Maps (Cont.)

ID: 79357



Coast Districts - 4


ID: 88418




Coast Districts - 4

Public Maps (Cont.)

ID: 82160



ID: 84003




Coast Districts – 3

Coast Districts – 2


Public Maps (Cont.)

ID: 80687



Coast Districts – 2


ID: 80433



Coast Districts – 2


Public Maps (Cont.)

ID: 84815



Coast Districts - 2


ID: 76607



Coast Districts - 2


Public Maps (Cont.)

ID: 75839



Coast Districts – 2


ID: 79085



Coast Districts – 2


Public Maps (Cont.)

ID: 87801



Coast Districts - 2


Public 201



Coast Districts - 2


Public Maps (Cont.)

ID: 76634



Coast District - 1


Public Map 202



Coast District - 1


Public Maps (Cont.)

ID: 79103



Coast Districts - 1


ID: 80921



Coast Districts - 1

Public Maps (Cont.)

ID: 44149



**Non Compliant -
Not Balanced**



Exhibit 2

City of Carlsbad Redistricting: Commission Meeting

NDDC Draft Maps

December 16, 2021

Prepared for the Carlsbad Independent
Redistricting Commission



Redistricting Rules and Goals

2

1. Federal Laws

Equal Population
Federal Voting Rights Act
No Racial Gerrymandering



2. California Criteria for Cities

1. Geographically contiguous
2. Undivided neighborhoods and “communities of interest” (Socio-economic geographic areas that should be kept together)
3. Easily identifiable boundaries
4. Compact (Do not bypass one group of people to get to a more distant group of people)


Prohibited:

“Shall not favor or discriminate against a political party.”

3. Other Traditional Redistricting Principles

Minimize voters shifted to different election years
Respect voters’ choices / continuity in office
Future population growth
Preserving the core of existing districts

Current Map



**Ideal population is
per district - 28,738**

District 1:	-3346
District 2:	+1600
District 3:	+1600
District 4:	+147

Total Deviation +17.2%


Neighborhoods/ Communities of Interest

- Beach/Coast
- School districts
- School attendance zones
- 4 Quadrants
- Lagoons
- Airport Area
- Aviara
- Calavera Hills
- Carlsbad Village
- La Costa
- Olde Carlsbad
- Poinsetia
- Tamarack
- South Coastal
- Carlsbad
- Barrio
- Bressi Ranch
- Rancho Carrillo
- Spinnaker
- Seniors
- Churches

NDDC Draft Maps

- 4 Draft Maps options were developed
- Considered input from the public at mapping workshops, public hearings and Commission meetings
- Reviewed maps submitted by the public
- Reviewed Carlsbad survey results
- Incorporated direction from Commission members

NDC 101



**Ideal population is
per district - 28,738**

District 1: -1002

District 2: -379

District 3: +629

District 4: +753

Total Deviation +6.11%

NDC 102

**Ideal population is
per district - 28,738**


District 1: -1045

District 2: +1096

District 3: +552

District 4: -602

Total Deviation +7.45%



©2021 CALPER

NDC 103

Ideal population is per district - 28,738


District 1: -16

District 2: +664

District 3: -151


District 4: -496

Total Deviation +4.04%



© 2021 CALPER

NDC 104



©2021 CAUZPER

**Ideal population is
per district - 28,738**

District 1: -158

District 2: +955

District 3: -206

District 4: -590

Total Deviation +5.38%

Feedback/Direction


- Questions Regarding the Maps
- Feedback/Changes Regarding Maps
- Direction for Additional or Alternate Draft Maps for January Public Hearing

NDC 101						
District		1	2	3	4	Total
	Total Pop	27,736	28,359	29,367	29,491	114,953
	Deviation from ideal	-1,002	-379	629	753	1,755
	% Deviation	-3.49%	-1.32%	2.19%	2.62%	6.11%
Total Pop	% Hisp	20.1%	18%	12%	11%	15%
	% NH White	68%	62%	70%	75%	69%
	% NH Black	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%
	% Asian-American	6%	14%	12%	10%	10%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	20,875	17,518	22,615	21,246	82,254
	% Hisp	15%	15%	9%	9%	12%
	% NH White	76%	71%	78%	83%	78%
	% NH Black	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	6%	10%	11%	7%	8%
Voter Registration (Nov 2020)	Total	19,469	18,603	21,289	22,096	81,457
	% Latino est.	13%	11%	8%	7%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	12%	10%	7%	6%	9%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	7%	6%	4%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	83%	78%	85%	87%	84%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)	Total	17,024	16,797	19,431	20,171	73,423
	% Latino est.	12%	10%	7%	7%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	10%	7%	6%	8%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	6%	6%	4%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	84%	79%	85%	88%	84%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)	Total	13,297	12,355	15,149	16,169	56,970
	% Latino est.	11%	9%	7%	6%	8%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	10%	8%	6%	6%	7%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	5%	5%	4%	4%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	85%	82%	87%	89%	86%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	26,758	26,267	30,945	28,794	112,763
	age0-19	20%	29%	26%	26%	25%
	age20-60	56%	50%	51%	48%	51%
	age60plus	23%	21%	23%	26%	24%
	immigrants	12%	18%	16%	13%	15%
	naturalized	60%	66%	72%	79%	69%
Language spoken at home	english	85%	78%	83%	86%	83%
	spanish	11%	11%	6%	6%	8%
	asian-lang	1%	5%	5%	4%	4%
	other lang	3%	6%	6%	5%	5%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	5%	8%	4%	4%	5%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	34%	28%	25%	25%	28%
	bachelor	30%	31%	38%	36%	34%
	graduatedegree	21%	24%	26%	30%	26%
Child in Household	child-under18	25%	38%	35%	36%	33%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	67%	63%	66%	65%	65%
Household Income	income 0-25k	11%	10%	6%	5%	8%
	income 25-50k	15%	12%	10%	11%	12%
	income 50-75k	16%	12%	11%	9%	12%
	income 75-200k	43%	44%	46%	41%	44%
	income 200k-plus	14%	22%	27%	33%	24%
Housing Stats	single family	55%	71%	76%	82%	71%
	multi-family	45%	29%	24%	18%	29%
	rented	55%	31%	30%	23%	35%
	owned	45%	69%	70%	77%	65%
Total population data from the 2020 Decennial Census.						
Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.						
Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2015-2019 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.						

NDC 102						
District		1	2	3	4	Total
	Total Pop	27,693	29,834	29,290	28,136	114,953
	Deviation from ideal	-1,045	1,096	552	-602	2,141
	% Deviation	-3.64%	3.81%	1.92%	-2.09%	7.45%
Total Pop	% Hisp	20.3%	16%	15%	10%	15%
	% NH White	68%	65%	68%	76%	69%
	% NH Black	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%
	% Asian-American	6%	14%	12%	10%	10%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	20,425	19,469	21,963	20,398	82,254
	% Hisp	15%	12%	11%	8%	12%
	% NH White	76%	74%	76%	84%	78%
	% NH Black	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	5%	10%	11%	7%	8%
Voter Registration (Nov 2020)	Total	19,054	20,613	20,649	21,141	81,457
	% Latino est.	13%	10%	9%	6%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	12%	9%	8%	6%	9%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	6%	6%	4%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	83%	80%	84%	88%	84%
	% NH Black	2%	3%	1%	0%	2%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)	Total	16,630	18,726	18,713	19,354	73,423
	% Latino est.	12%	9%	8%	6%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	9%	8%	6%	8%
	% Asian-Surnamed	3%	6%	6%	4%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	84%	81%	84%	88%	84%
	% NH Black	2%	3%	1%	0%	2%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)	Total	12,791	14,149	14,563	15,467	56,970
	% Latino est.	11%	8%	7%	6%	8%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	10%	8%	7%	5%	7%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	5%	5%	4%	4%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	85%	83%	86%	89%	86%
	% NH Black est.	2%	3%	1%	0%	1%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	26,212	28,745	30,374	27,433	112,763
Age	age0-19	20%	29%	25%	26%	25%
	age20-60	56%	50%	51%	48%	51%
	age60plus	24%	21%	24%	26%	24%
Immigration	immigrants	12%	17%	16%	13%	15%
	naturalized	60%	65%	72%	79%	69%
Language spoken at home	english	84%	79%	82%	86%	83%
	spanish	11%	10%	6%	6%	8%
	asian-lang	1%	5%	6%	4%	4%
	other lang	4%	6%	6%	5%	5%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	5%	7%	5%	4%	5%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	34%	29%	25%	25%	28%
	bachelor	30%	33%	37%	36%	34%
	graduatedegree	22%	23%	27%	30%	26%
Child in Household	child-under18	25%	39%	35%	36%	33%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	67%	63%	66%	65%	65%
Household Income	income 0-25k	12%	9%	6%	5%	8%
	income 25-50k	15%	12%	11%	11%	12%
	income 50-75k	16%	12%	11%	9%	12%
	income 75-200k	43%	45%	45%	41%	44%
	income 200k-plus	14%	21%	27%	34%	24%
Housing Stats	single family	53%	75%	74%	83%	71%
	multi-family	47%	25%	26%	17%	29%
	rented	57%	27%	31%	23%	35%
	owned	43%	73%	69%	77%	65%
Total population data from the 2020 Decennial Census.						
Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.						
Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2015-2019 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.						

NDC 103						
District		1	2	3	4	Total
	Total Pop	28,722	29,402	28,587	28,242	114,953
	Deviation from ideal	-16	664	-151	-496	1,160
	% Deviation	-0.06%	2.31%	-0.53%	-1.73%	4.04%
Total Pop	% Hisp	18.9%	15%	15%	11%	15%
	% NH White	69%	66%	68%	74%	69%
	% NH Black	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
	% Asian-American	6%	13%	12%	10%	10%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	21,777	19,071	21,122	20,284	82,254
	% Hisp	14%	11%	12%	8%	12%
	% NH White	77%	76%	76%	82%	78%
	% NH Black	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	5%	10%	11%	8%	8%
Voter Registration (Nov 2020)	Total	20,201	20,166	20,277	20,813	81,457
	% Latino est.	12%	10%	9%	7%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	9%	8%	7%	9%
	% Asian-Surnamed	3%	6%	6%	4%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	83%	81%	85%	86%	84%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)	Total	17,757	18,259	18,451	18,956	73,423
	% Latino est.	11%	9%	8%	7%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	10%	9%	7%	7%	8%
	% Asian-Surnamed	3%	6%	5%	4%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	84%	81%	85%	86%	84%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)	Total	13,727	13,750	14,419	15,074	56,970
	% Latino est.	10%	8%	7%	6%	8%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	9%	8%	7%	6%	7%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	5%	5%	4%	4%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	86%	83%	87%	88%	86%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	28,185	27,358	28,966	28,254	112,763
	age0-19	21%	28%	25%	28%	25%
	age20-60	56%	50%	49%	50%	51%
	age60plus	23%	22%	27%	22%	24%
	immigrants	13%	15%	16%	14%	15%
	naturalized	61%	65%	74%	77%	69%
Language spoken at home	english	84%	82%	80%	85%	83%
	spanish	11%	7%	9%	6%	8%
	asian-lang	1%	5%	6%	4%	4%
	other lang	4%	7%	5%	5%	5%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	5%	5%	6%	4%	5%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	31%	31%	25%	25%	28%
	bachelor	31%	35%	36%	35%	34%
	graduatedegree	23%	22%	27%	30%	26%
Child in Household	child-under18	26%	38%	31%	40%	33%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	67%	65%	62%	68%	65%
Household Income	income 0-25k	11%	9%	8%	5%	8%
	income 25-50k	14%	11%	12%	10%	12%
	income 50-75k	17%	12%	11%	8%	12%
	income 75-200k	42%	46%	43%	43%	44%
	income 200k-plus	16%	22%	25%	34%	24%
Housing Stats	single family	54%	79%	75%	80%	71%
	multi-family	46%	21%	25%	20%	29%
	rented	55%	25%	32%	25%	35%
	owned	45%	75%	68%	75%	65%
Total population data from the 2020 Decennial Census.						
Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.						
Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2015-2019 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.						

NDC 104						
District		1	2	3	4	Total
	Total Pop	28,580	29,693	28,532	28,148	114,953
	Deviation from ideal	-158	955	-206	-590	1,545
	% Deviation	-0.55%	3.32%	-0.72%	-2.05%	5.38%
Total Pop	% Hisp	18.2%	18%	13%	11%	15%
	% NH White	71%	63%	69%	74%	69%
	% NH Black	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%
	% Asian-American	6%	13%	13%	10%	10%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	21,830	18,438	21,768	20,219	82,254
	% Hisp	14%	14%	10%	8%	12%
	% NH White	78%	72%	77%	82%	78%
	% NH Black	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	5%	10%	11%	8%	8%
Voter Registration (Nov 2020)	Total	20,568	19,425	20,700	20,764	81,457
	% Latino est.	11%	11%	8%	7%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	10%	7%	7%	9%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	6%	6%	4%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	85%	79%	85%	86%	84%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)	Total	18,176	17,453	18,886	18,908	73,423
	% Latino est.	11%	10%	7%	7%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	10%	10%	7%	7%	8%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	6%	6%	4%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	85%	80%	86%	86%	84%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)	Total	14,224	12,818	14,892	15,036	56,970
	% Latino est.	10%	9%	7%	6%	8%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	9%	9%	6%	6%	7%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	5%	5%	4%	4%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	86%	82%	87%	88%	86%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	28,028	26,557	30,041	28,137	112,763
	age0-19	20%	28%	25%	28%	25%
	age20-60	54%	51%	50%	50%	51%
	age60plus	26%	21%	25%	22%	24%
	immigrants	11%	17%	16%	14%	15%
	naturalized	61%	66%	71%	77%	69%
Language spoken at home	english	85%	81%	81%	85%	83%
	spanish	11%	8%	8%	6%	8%
	asian-lang	1%	5%	6%	4%	4%
	other lang	3%	6%	5%	5%	5%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	5%	6%	6%	4%	5%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	32%	30%	25%	25%	28%
	bachelor	31%	32%	37%	35%	34%
	graduatedegree	23%	24%	26%	30%	26%
Child in Household	child-under18	24%	37%	33%	40%	33%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	66%	64%	64%	68%	65%
Household Income	income 0-25k	11%	10%	7%	5%	8%
	income 25-50k	15%	11%	12%	10%	12%
	income 50-75k	16%	12%	11%	8%	12%
	income 75-200k	43%	45%	43%	43%	44%
	income 200k-plus	15%	21%	27%	34%	24%
Housing Stats	single family	60%	72%	74%	80%	71%
	multi-family	40%	28%	26%	20%	29%
	rented	52%	29%	31%	25%	35%
	owned	48%	71%	69%	75%	65%
Total population data from the 2020 Decennial Census.						
Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.						
Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2015-2019 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.						



1	2500 NAVARRA	C-6	133	LA COSTA VIEW	C-6
2	59 MELROSE	C-6	137	LA COSTA VILLAGER	C-3
3	ALGA HILLS	D-5	138	LA COSTA VISTA	A-4
4	ALICANTE HILLS	D-6	139	LA CRESTA	C-7
5	ALTA VERDE	C-6	140	LA SUVERA	C-4
6	ALTAMIRA 1	A-4	141	LAKESHORE GARDENS MHP	A-4
7	ALTAMIRA 2	A-4	142	LAS PLUMAS	B-4
8	ALTAMIRA 3	A-4	143	LOMA DE LA COSTA	D-6
9	ARROYA VILLAS	C-6	158	MAJORA WEST	C-5
10	ARROYO VISTA	C-7	159	MAR BRISA	B-4
11	ARTERRO	D-6	161	MATCHPLAY AT LA COSTA	C-6
12	AVIARA (SEE INDEX)	A-4-C-5	162	MEADOW RIDGE	E-6
13	BARRINGTON PLACE	C-6	163	MEADOW VIEW TOWNHOMES	D-6
14	BLACK RAIL RIDGE	A-4	164	MEADOWOOD	E-5
15	BLUE WATER CROSSING	A-4	165	MONARCH HILLS	C-6
16	BRESSI RANCH (SEE INDEX)	A-4-C-5	166	MONARCH PLACE	C-6
17	BRIDGE VIEW	D-6	167	MONARCH VILLAS	C-6
18	BROOKFIELD	C-6	168	MONTECITO	D-6
19	CARLSBAD CREST	A-4	169	MOONSTONE	C-5
20	CASA DEL REY	C-5	170	MORNING RIDGE	C-4
21	CASA GRANDIR	C-5	172	NAVARRA GARDENS	C-6
22	CASITAS DE LA COSTA	C-5	177	OLDE LA COSTA	C-6
23	CEAR RIDGE NORTH	C-6	177	ORLEANS EAST	C-6
24	CENTELLA MEADOWS	D-6	182	PACIFIC VILLAS	C-6
25	CHAPPARRAL	D-5	190	PARKSIDE AT LA COSTA	D-7
26	COLINA DE LA COSTA	C-5	191	PASEO DE LA COSTA	C-5
27	COLINAS DE ORO	C-7	193	PAVOREAL	C-5
28	COSTA LA COSTA PARK LN	D-6	193	POINSETTIA COVE	A-4
29	COSTA DEL MAR CONDOS-BALBOA	C-6	193	PONSETTIA RIDGE (SEE INDEX)	E-5
30	COSTA DEL MAR CONDOS-CORTEZ	C-5/6	193	POINSETTIA RIDGE	B-4
31	COSTA POINTE	C-6	191	PROMENADE	E-5
32	COURT YARD	C-6	196	RANCHO CARILLO (SEE INDEX)	C-4
33	COVENTRY	D-6	200	RANCHO PONDEROSA	C-6
34	CUSTOM HOMES	D-6	201	ROUNDTRIP CONDOS	D-6
35	DEL SOL	C-5	205	SABRINA GREENS	C-6
36	EMERALD POINTE ESTATES	B-4	206	SAGEWOOD	D-6
37	ENCANTATA	C-4	207	SAN PACIFIC (SEE INDEX)	A-4/5
38	FARRBROOKS VILLAS	D-6	208	SAND TRAP VILLAGES	C-6
39	FAIRVIEW LANE	C-6	210	SANTA FE RIDGE	C-7
40	FAIRWAY SOUTH	C-6	211	SANTA FE TRAILS	C-7
41	FLAIVE LA COSTA	C-6	213	SEA CLIFF	A-5
42	FOUR SEASONS CLUST. HOMES	B-5	214	SEA GATE	A-4
43	FOUR SEASONS RESORT	B-5	215	SEA POINT TENNIS CLUB	D-6
44	GIBRALTAR VILLAS	D-6	216	SEA VIEW	C-6
45	GOLFREST CONDOS	D-6	218	SEAPORT	C-6
46	GOLF VIEW VILLAS	D-6	219	SEAPORT VILLAS	C-5
47	GREYSTONE ON THE PARK	D-6	221	SHADY HOLLOW	D-6
48	HARBOR POINT	A-4	223	SILVER WOOD TOWNHOMES	C-6
49	JEREZ COURT	D-6	225	SONATA	D-7
50	JEREZ VILLAS	C-6	226	SOUTH LA COSTA	D-6
51	LA COSTA ALTA	C-6	231	SPINAMER	A-4
52	LA COSTA CAYS	C-6	232	SPYGLASS POINT	C-6
53	LA COSTA CUSTOM HOMES	C-6	233	SUMMERWALK	D-7
54	LA COSTA DOWNS	A-4	236	SURF POINTE	B-4
55	LA COSTA ESTATES	D-6	241	THE BAY COLLECTION	B-4
56	LA COSTA FAIRWAYS	C-6	245	THE FAIRWAYS	C-6
57	LA COSTA FAIRWAYS	C-6	249	THE RANCH	D-7
58	LA COSTA GLEN RETIREMENT	B-6	250	THE REGENCY	D-5
59	LA COSTA GOLF COURSE VILLAS	C-5	251	THE ROMERIA	D-6
60	LA COSTA GOLF VIEW	C-5	252	THE TRADITIONS AT LA COSTA	D-6
61	LA COSTA GREENS (SEE INDEX)	C-4	253	THE VILLAS AT LA COSTA	C-6
62	LA COSTA GREENS COUNTRY CLUB	C-6	255	TIBURON	C-7
63	LA COSTA HEIGHTS	D-6	256	TIFFANY PLACE	C-6
64	LA COSTA HILLS	D-6	257	TRES VERDES	C-6
65	LA COSTA KNOLLS	D-7	259	VERONA POINTE	B-4/5
66	LA COSTA MANOR	C-5	261	VIADANA	D-5
67	LA COSTA MEADOWS	D-5	265	VILLA LA COSTA	D-6
68	LA COSTA NUEVA	C-5	262	VILLA ROMERIA	C-6
69	LA COSTA OAKS (SEE INDEX)	D-6/7	267	VILLAGIO	D-7
70	LA COSTA HING (SEE INDEX)	D-5/6	268	VILLAS DE LA COSTA	C-6
71	LA COSTA RIVERA	C-6	270	VILLAS LA COSTA	A-4
72	LA COSTA SEASHORE	D-6	271	VISTA PACIFICA	C-6
73	LA COSTA VALLE	D-6	272	VOSSANA	C-4
74	LA COSTA VALLEY (SEE INDEX)	C-5/7	273	WATERS END	A-4
75	LA COSTA VERDE	C-6	276	ZANADU	D-6
76	LA COSTA VIEJA	C-6			

#14 (A-C-5, B-C-4) community of AVIARA			
a Aldea	f Brindisi	k Marza	p Saronella
b Aviara Point	g Cantala	l Mar Fiore	q Tesoro de Aviara
c Avocet	h Carina	m Pavana	r Tramonto
d Azure Cavo	i Cicala	n Sandring	s Viaggio
e Bella Lago	j Isla Mar	o Sand Piper	t Windsor
#21 (D-4/5) community of BRESSI RANCH			
a Canlanbury	g Gardenide	o Magnolia Estates	q Primrose Point
b Cassia	h Heather Court	i Mulberry	k Wisteria Place
#120 (C-4, D-5) community of LA COSTA GREENS			
a Avelino	d Cordelans	g Mirasol	h Trieste
b Balears	e Isla Vista	i Sal Terra	j Villareal
c Castlemar	f Sal Terra	l Viridian	
#128 (D/E-6/7) community of LA COSTA OAKS			
a Amberly	d Copperwood	g Rockledge	i Stoneridge
b Clifan Heights	e Highgrove	h Southern Preserve	k The Oaks Club
c Colrich	f Hillcock	j Starboard	
#129 (D/E-5/6) community of LA COSTA RIDGE			
a Dolcetto	b Fiori	c Messina	d San Vincenzo
#133 (C-6/7) community of LA COSTA VALLEY			
a Arber Heights	g Monterey Collection 2	i The Enclave	
b Graystone Collection 1	h Parkridge	j The Preserve	
c Graystone Collection 2	i San Alicia	k Westfield	
d Monterey Collection 1	h Shebourne		
#195 (B-4/5) community of POINSETTIA PARK			
a Cantamar	f Poinsettia Heights	k Seaside Heights	
b Cherrytree Walk	g Salt River	l Shorapointa	
c Graystone Cove	h Seabreeze at Marina's Pt.	m Tradewinds at	
d Parkside	i Seabright	n Marina's Point	
e Plum Tree Lane	j Seaside Estates		
#198 (E-4/5) community of RANCHO CARILLO			
a Benesia	e Estancia	i Palmilla	m Terravita
b Brasado	f Haciendas	j Perlino	n The Estates
c Califa Serena	g Maravilla	k Quintana	o Valencia
d Cascada	h Montevilla	i Serrano	p Ventana
#207 (A-4/5) community of SAN PACIFIC			
a Hanover Beach Colony	c Poinsettia Cove	e San Sebastian	g Sanlander
b Oceanwalk	d Rosalina	f Santalina	h Viamar

The information on this map was derived from multiple sources. Care was taken in the creation of this map. Cartographer cannot accept any responsibility for errors, omissions, or positional accuracy. However, no liability is assumed for any inaccuracies.

LEGEND

- ZIP CODE BOUNDARIES
- CITY BOUNDARIES
- CALIFORNIA STATE HWYS
- INTERSTATE HWYS
- SAN DIEGO COUNTY HWYS
- COSTA COMMUTER HWYS
- COSTA STATION
- COLOR CODED SUBDIVISIONS
- PARK
- RECREATION CENTER
- SHOPPING CENTER
- GOLF COURSE
- SCHOOL

California title company



INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

Meeting Date: Dec. 16, 2021

To: Independent Redistricting Commission

Staff Contact: Kristina Ray, Communication & Engagement Director
kristina.ray@carlsbadca.gov, 760-434-2957

Subject: Public input received in the redistricting process

Recommended Action

Receive and discuss public input received from Nov. 14 to Dec. 8, 2021.

Discussion

As part of the redistricting process, staff is actively seeking input from the community to assist the Independent Redistricting Commission in understanding the community's needs, values and priorities related to communities of interest and district boundaries.

Since Nov. 14, the city received four additional responses to the community survey about communities of interest, which are communities that should stay together for the purpose of electing a City Council member, starting with the Nov. 2022 election. The city received a total of 156 responses from the public, including the four new responses. The new responses can be seen in Exhibit 1.

The city also received feedback via established city communication channels, including the project's email address, redistricting@carlsbadca.gov, and on the city's social media channels.

Fiscal Analysis

This action has no fiscal impact.

Environmental Evaluation

In keeping with California Public Resources Code Section 21065, this action does not constitute a "project" within the meaning of the California Environmental Quality Act in that it has no potential to cause either a direct physical change in the environment, or a reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment.

Public Notification and Outreach

This item was noticed in keeping with the Ralph M. Brown Act and it was available for public viewing and review at least 72 hours before the scheduled meeting date.

Exhibits

1. Input received through Dec. 8, 2021

#153

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, November 12, 2021 4:48:02 PM
Last Modified: Friday, November 12, 2021 4:53:14 PM
Time Spent: 00:05:11
IP Address: 50.113.85.255
Language: English

Page 1

Q1

What interests and activities do your community or neighborhood share?

We are close to the beach and share all the recreational aspects.

Q2

If you had to name your community or neighborhood, what would you call it?

Mid coastal

Q3

What are the geographic boundaries of your community? Examples include cross streets, natural elements like the coastline or landmarks like parks.

Palomar Airport Road, Poinsettia and the coast

Q4

What brings your community together? What is important to your community?

The ocean and access to trails and walking.

Q5

What do you like about the current boundaries?

All districts have responsibility to the coast line

Q6

What would you change about the current district boundaries?

Nothing

Q7

Do you represent a group? If so, which one?

none

Q8

92011

What ZIP code do you call home?

Q9

Would you like to be added to our email list for project updates?

Name

XXXXXXXXXX

Email Address

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

#154

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, November 15, 2021 9:38:46 PM
Last Modified: Monday, November 15, 2021 9:44:40 PM
Time Spent: 00:05:53
IP Address: 66.75.54.197
Language: English

Page 1

Q1

What interests and activities do your community or neighborhood share?

Nightlife, shopping, dining, coastal access

Q2

If you had to name your community or neighborhood, what would you call it?

Tamarack Point

Q3

What are the geographic boundaries of your community? Examples include cross streets, natural elements like the coastline or landmarks like parks.

Tamarack Ave to south, Marron Rd. to north, ECR on west, College on east

Q4

What brings your community together? What is important to your community?

This community enjoys the Village/Barrio and mall and beach. We are very concerned about the future of the Village/Barrio. As a developed neighborhood, we are also concerned about the unfunded state mandates to build housing that will ruin neighborhood character and not provide affordable housing.

Q5

What do you like about the current boundaries?

We are with the rest of northwest Carlsbad and the coast is included.

Q6

What would you change about the current district boundaries?

Part of Tamarack Point is in D2; the neighborhood should be unified.

Q7

Do you represent a group? If so, which one?

I do not represent a particular group but belong to quite a few.

Q8

92010

What ZIP code do you call home?

Q9

Would you like to be added to our email list for project updates?

Name 

Email Address 

#155

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, November 19, 2021 11:17:00 AM
Last Modified: Friday, November 19, 2021 12:04:08 PM
Time Spent: 00:47:08
IP Address: 99.165.44.68
Language: English

Page 1

Q1

What interests and activities do your community or neighborhood share?

Surfing. Walking on the beach & on the trails. Concerns about the airport noise especially during the night. Dining out. Spending time walking our dogs & visiting outside with neighbors.

Q2

If you had to name your community or neighborhood, what would you call it?

Rancho Carrillo

Q3

What are the geographic boundaries of your community? Examples include cross streets, natural elements like the coastline or landmarks like parks.

Carlsbad Business Park/El Camino Real/Poinsettia/El Fuerte/Alga/Melrose South/San Marcos city limits/Vista city limits

Q4

What brings your community together? What is important to your community?

Time outdoors with family & friends. Teaching the kids new activities as they get older like swimming, skateboarding & surfing. Spiritual activities.

Q5

What do you like about the current boundaries?

I honestly have never found anything that I liked about the current boundaries. Many things are just odd.

#156

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Friday, November 19, 2021 1:11:16 PM
Last Modified: Friday, November 19, 2021 1:23:23 PM
Time Spent: 00:12:06
IP Address: 75.85.191.135
Language: English

Page 1

Q1

What interests and activities do your community or neighborhood share?

I am in D2 on the west side of ECR and north of Cannon. I have always felt an affinity toward D1 since I do my shopping and am north of the Agua Hedionda Lagoon. When I go to the beach, I go to the beaches at D1.

Q2

If you had to name your community or neighborhood, what would you call it?

East D1. We are part of the Kelly Elementary School area and part of the north Agua Hedionda Lagoon community.

Q3

What are the geographic boundaries of your community? Examples include cross streets, natural elements like the coastline or landmarks like parks.

I am north of the Agua Hedionda Lagoon and west of El Camino Real-both of which are natural AND major roadway boundaries. My neighborhood was divided by small streets in the first redistricting session by two residents of South Carlsbad. Horrible!!!

Q4

What brings your community together? What is important to your community?

My community is divided by the lagoon and El Camino Real. We have our schools, shopping and parks in the same community which should be D1.

Q5

What do you like about the current boundaries?

Nothing.

Q6

What would you change about the current district boundaries?

I do not necessarily feel that the coast should be part of each district when small arterial roads are being divided into two separate districts. I mean, small streets with neighbors on one side in a separate district? All decided by south Carlsbad residents????

Q7

Do you represent a group? If so, which one?

I am in the same zip code as D1 residents but have been placed in D2. I am a 42 year resident and our older communities and generations of Carlsbad residents live here.

Q8

92008

What ZIP code do you call home?

Q9

Would you like to be added to our email list for project updates?

Name 

Email Address 

Emails

From: [redistricting](#)
To:
Cc: [redistricting](#); [Sheila Cobian](#)
Subject: RE: Composition of Commission members
Date: Wednesday, December 8, 2021 4:03:44 PM

Good Afternoon Ms. Amador,

The Independent Redistricting Commission is composed of seven members and three alternates. Commission members were selected by random draw. All applicants were placed into groups based off of the district they resided in. The process began by first drawing for the order from which districts would be drawn from. Then the commission and alternates were selected by random draw according to the following criteria:

- 2 registered as Republican
- 2 registered as Democrat
- 3 who list another party affiliation on their voter registration
- 1 member from each district
- 3 members citywide (not chosen because they are from a specific district)
- 3 alternates (1 Democrat, 1 Republican, 1 other)

The following are the Commission Members that were selected from District 1:

- Nancy Arndt
- Aaron Harris
- Terese Sardina
- Thomas Pilcher (Alternate)

The Commission selected will serve through the entire redistricting process.

Please let me know if you have any other questions.

Thank you,



Faviola Medina, CMC

City Clerk Services Manager

Office of the City Clerk

P: 760-434-5989

From: Patricia Amador
Sent: Monday, December 6, 2021 11:22 AM
To: [redistricting](#) <redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov>
Subject: Composition of Commission members

Please advise the member or members representing District 1 and how they were selected.

Please clarify if the information provided is current year (2021) or for upcoming year.

Thank you

Patricia Amador

D1

CAUTION: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

From: [Faviola Medina](#)
To:
Cc: [redistricting](#)
Subject: RE: 11-18-2021 Independent Redistricting Commission Meeting
Date: Thursday, December 2, 2021 2:51:29 PM
Attachments: [image002.png](#)

Good afternoon Kris,

I have submitted your request to the demographer.

Have a great day!



Faviola Medina, CMC
City Clerk Services Manager
Office of the City Clerk
P: 760-434-5989

From: Kris Wright
Sent: Wednesday, December 1, 2021 6:45 PM
To: Faviola Medina <Faviola.Medina@carlsbadca.gov>
Cc: [redistricting](#) <redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov>
Subject: Re: 11-18-2021 Independent Redistricting Commission Meeting

Thank you for your response Faviola,
I submitted two maps that I would like to have removed

1. Equality 1 ID84697-this one divided district 2 with a segment from District 3 so D2 isn't contiguous
2. Equality 1 ID84619-this one had some unassigned segments.

Both of these maps are undesirable for those reasons. I do have a map Equality 1 that is okay, ID84698

Thank you for your help with this.

Kris

On Wed, Dec 1, 2021 at 1:00 PM Faviola Medina <Faviola.Medina@carlsbadca.gov> wrote:

Good Afternoon Kris,

In response to your questions:

1. You may submit any comments to redistricting@carlsbadca.gov

2. You may request to withdraw your maps by providing us with the names of those maps and we can submit your request to the demographer.
3. The Commission hopes to adopt maps at Public Hearing #4 to be held Feb. 17, 2022. Maps should be submitted prior to that hearing.

Thank you,



Faviola Medina, CMC
City Clerk Services Manager
Office of the City Clerk
P: 760-434-5989

From: Kris Wright
Sent: Monday, November 29, 2021 1:11 PM
To: Faviola Medina <Faviola.Medina@carlsbadca.gov>
Subject: Re: 11-18-2021 Independent Redistricting Commission Meeting

Hi Faviola,
I have a couple of questions about the maps and public participation in the redistricting process.

1. How do we contact the commissioners if we have a public opinion?
2. I submitted many maps and I'd like to withdraw a few of them. Is it possible to remove a map that I drew and submitted?
3. Now that the site has reopened for map drawing-Mapitude-when is the last day to submit?

Thanks!
Kris

On Mon, Nov 15, 2021 at 2:26 PM Faviola Medina <Faviola.Medina@carlsbadca.gov> wrote:

Good Afternoon,

You are receiving this email because you requested to be notified when a particular subject would be discussed at a City of Carlsbad Independent Redistricting Commission meeting.

The agenda for the 11-18-2021 Independent Redistricting Commission Meeting is now available on the City website, Meetings & Agendas page (link below).

<https://www.carlsbadca.gov/city-hall/city-council/redistricting/independent-redistricting-commission>

Have a great day!



Faviola Medina, CMC

City Clerk Services Manager

Office of the City Clerk

1200 Carlsbad Village Drive

Carlsbad, CA 92008

P: 760-434-5989 | F: 760-720-9461 | faviola.medina@carlsbadca.gov | www.carlsbadca.gov



[Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [You Tube](#) | [Flickr](#) | [Pinterest](#) | [Enews](#) | 🌱 Consider the environment before printing this e-mail

Confidentiality Notice: Please note that email correspondence with the City of Carlsbad, along with any attachments, may be subject to the California Public Records Act, and therefore may be subject to disclosure unless otherwise exempt.

--

Kris Wright

CAUTION: *Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.*

--

Kris Wright

CAUTION: *Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.*

From:
To: [redistricting](#)
Subject: Re: Why does each District need a piece of the Coast?
Date: Monday, November 29, 2021 12:54:47 PM

Hello. I did submit a map and named it something like my topic "Why does every District need a piece of the Coast" which I now understand was a waste of my time, unfortunately. I have been told that the committee is following the recommendation of the people who designed the map last time. Not sure why you are asking for input if that was the plan all along.

Thank you very much.
Erin Nielsen

On Wed, Nov 24, 2021 at 12:35 PM redistricting <redistricting@carlsbadca.gov> wrote:

Good Afternoon,

This is to confirm that we are in receipt of your email. I would also like to clarify if you meant to attach your map to this email or if you submitted it through DistrictR and if so, what did you name it?

Please advise,



Faviola Medina, CMC

City Clerk Services Manager

Office of the City Clerk

P: 760-434-5989

From:
Sent: Thursday, November 18, 2021 4:18 PM

To: redistricting <redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov>

Subject: Why does each District need a piece of the Coast?

Hello and thank you for the many hours you are putting into this difficult and emotional task. Your efforts are appreciated.

I could not find anything in the guidelines that said that each District must have a piece of the coast. I did read that you are looking for compact Districts and a level population. My map meets this criteria.

Please remember that not everyone goes to the beach and although Carlsbad is known as a Beach Town, people who live in closer proximity to the coast have different issues and concerns than those who go to the beach but live further inland.

I would like you to consider my map which has compact areas of people with the most in common (schools, shopping, etc.) For example, my many friends that live east of El Camino Real, currently District 2, shop and dine east of El Camino Real, even heading into Vista. They rarely shop or dine in the Village, as you aware. The same with my friends in the La Costa area, currently District 4, who shop, dine, head into San Marcos and go to the beach in Encinitas.

For the above reasons, I would like you to give my map, and others with similar boundaries, consideration rather feel tied to the current district boundaries which were created because the school of thought at that time was that each district should contain a piece of the coast.

Thank you for taking a fresh and realistic look at our diverse community and considering all points of view.

Most sincerely,

Erin Nielsen

District 1

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

CAUTION: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

CAUTION: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

From: [Faviola Medina](#)
To:
Subject: RE: Independent Redistricting Commission - Follow-Up
Date: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 12:13:40 PM
Attachments: [image002.png](#)

Hi Steve,

Maptitude is an additional tool that is being provided for use to help those wishing to create maps.

Regarding the Dec. 1 deadline, that is only to submit maps to be reviewed by the demographer in time to compile the agenda packet for the meeting on Dec. 16th. The public is still allowed to submit after that, however, those maps submitted after the deadline will just not be reviewed in time for the meeting and will be considered at the January meeting instead.

Please let me know if you have any other questions.

Thank you,



Faviola Medina, CMC
City Clerk Services Manager
Office of the City Clerk
P: 760-434-5989

From: Steve Puterski
Sent: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 11:53 AM
To: Faviola Medina <Faviola.Medina@carlsbadca.gov>
Subject: Re: Independent Redistricting Commission - Follow-Up

Hi Faviola,

A couple Qs. Is Maptitude the official map design tool? Or is it just another tool, along with Districtr, for the commission to choose finished maps?

Also, Dec. 1 is the deadline to submit a map, correct? Or is that just a starting point for the demographer? Sometimes it's a little difficult to follow the commission's thought process.

Thanks!

Steve Puterski
SRPacific Media
[REDACTED]

On Wed, Nov 24, 2021 at 9:32 AM Faviola Medina <Faviola.Medina@carlsbadca.gov> wrote:

Good Morning Independent Redistricting Commission Members and Alternates,

This is to provide you with a couple of updates:

- The first is that the Questions & Answers page on the city's redistricting webpage has now been updated and includes new Q&As:

<https://www.carlsbadca.gov/city-hall/city-council/redistricting/about>

- The second is that Maptitude is now available and can be accessed here (users will need to create a login and password):

<https://maps.redistricting.online/Carlsbad>

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Hope you all have a great Thanksgiving!



Faviola Medina, CMC

City Clerk Services Manager

Office of the City Clerk

1200 Carlsbad Village Drive

Carlsbad, CA 92008

P: 760-434-5989 | F: 760-720-9461 | faviola.medina@carlsbadca.gov | www.carlsbadca.gov



[Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [YouTube](#) | [Flickr](#) | [Pinterest](#) | [Enews](#) | 🌱 Consider the environment before printing this e-mail

Confidentiality Notice: Please note that email correspondence with the City of Carlsbad, along with any attachments, may be subject to the California Public Records Act, and therefore may be subject to disclosure unless otherwise exempt.

CAUTION: *Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.*

From: [redistricting](#)
To:
Cc: [redistricting](#)
Subject: RE: Questions about Maptitude Tool
Date: Wednesday, November 24, 2021 12:05:07 PM

Good Morning Mr. Cohen,

Per our conversation, here is the direct link to Maptitude: <https://maps.redistricting.online/Carlsbad>

The video tutorial can be found here: <https://www.carlsbadca.gov/city-hall/city-council/redistricting/maps>

I have forwarded your email to the demographer for further response on the rest.

Please let me know if you have any other questions.

Thank you,



Faviola Medina, CMC
City Clerk Services Manager
Office of the City Clerk
P: 760-434-5989

From: Arnie Cohen
Sent: Friday, November 19, 2021 7:22 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov>
Subject: Questions about Maptitude Tool

At Thursday's meeting I once again raised a couple of questions that I brought up at last Saturday's Public Hearing. There was some discussion around my second question below but the first question was not addressed. Here are those questions again in a little more detail.

1. Ms. Kelly has commented on more than one occasion that Maptitude is going to be a more

complicated mapping tool than Districtr. In what ways will that be so? Also, since at this time it is unknown as to exactly when Maptitude will be available, once it is, will there be a public meeting, webinar, workshop or online tutorial about how to use it? That would seem to be necessary if it is, in fact, a more complicated tool.

2. It appears that currently 18 maps have been submitted by the public through Districtr. All of those are based on the earlier estimated population. Shannon Kelly stated last night that NDC will use their version of Maptitude to pull in those maps and update them to show the actual final populations with the revised balances and deviations. Commissioner Ashton raised the question I asked about the map authors having the opportunity to revise their maps after those population revisions. I don't believe Ms. Kelly fully clarified the answer to that. She said that she expects those changes to be minimal but speaking as one of those authors I would appreciate a chance to look at my NDC revised map before it goes to the Commission and perhaps make some alterations.

Thank you for your work on this important matter.

Arnie Cohen

[CAUTION: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.](#)

From: [Faviola Medina](#)
To:
Cc: [redistricting](#)
Subject: RE: FAQ updates
Date: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 4:10:30 PM

Good Afternoon Commissioner,

I have forwarded your email to staff for processing.

Thank you,



Faviola Medina, CMC
City Clerk Services Manager
Office of the City Clerk
P: 760-434-5989

From: Gayle Cadwallader
Sent: Saturday, November 20, 2021 12:27 AM
To: Faviola Medina <Faviola.Medina@carlsbadca.gov>
Subject: FAQ updates

I see two FAQs on the Redistricting page that could be updated.

How many mapping workshops will be available for the public to attend?

The answer is written in terms of future plans. It could be updated to describe what we've already done, along with what we still have planned.

What is the 2020 population of each district?

I understood that the official 2020 census data has become available. Hasn't it been loaded into the mapping spreadsheet? Can we now post the population counts, or point to where they can be found?

By the way, I'd like to see the official data in the NDC presentation we see at each meeting. The preliminary data was in earlier presentations, but the latest slides did not contain the official district totals, only the deviations from ideal. I had to do the math on my own to figure out the totals, which many people will use as the

baseline for making changes to try to rebalance. That slide should be updated: it makes a great reference. Or add a separate slide with the totals.

CAUTION: *Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.*

From: [Faviola Medina](#)
To:
Cc: [redistricting](#)
Subject: RE: Questions about population data in mapping spreadsheet
Date: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 3:32:50 PM

Good Afternoon Commissioner,

I have forwarded your email to the demographer for review.

Thank you,



Faviola Medina, CMC
City Clerk Services Manager
Office of the City Clerk
P: 760-434-5989

From: Gayle Cadwallader
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 2:49 AM
To: Faviola Medina <Faviola.Medina@carlsbadca.gov>; redistricting <redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov>
Subject: Questions about population data in mapping spreadsheet

I have a couple of questions for NDC regarding census units 20 and 82.

Questions about census unit 20:

In the current districting, census unit 20 (just east of El Camino Real) seems to have been divided, with people north of Chestnut placed in District 1 and people south of Chestnut placed in District 2.

- How did that happen? E.g., was it previously two separate census units?
- Can we divide census unit 20 the same way this time around?
- If we divided census unit 20 at Chestnut, how many people would be attributed to the two parts?
- In the current calculated imbalances, how was Census unit 20 treated?

Questions about census unit 82:

It appears that part of census unit 82 north of Poinsettia is currently in District 3, whereas the part south of Poinsettia is in District 4. I recall seeing something about making such a change at the last minute, to keep Terramar together.

- Can we divide it up the same way this time around?
- If we divided census unit 82 at Poinsettia, how many people would be attributed to the two parts?
- In the current calculated imbalances, how was Census unit 82 treated?

General question:

There seems to be a disconnect in the spreadsheet between the Citizen Voting Age Population vs.

the Nov 2020 Registration totals. (E.g., see census units 79, 82, and 90, where the registrations are greater than the voting age population.) Please explain or update.

I remain confused about the population we're supposed to balance. The FAQ page says Federal law requires it be based on total population of voting age residents, but the spreadsheet allots population to districts based on Total Est. Population (Column C), not Citizen Voting Age Population total (Column D). And the NDC presentations use the Total Est. Population to calculate the Ideal Population Per District. Please clarify/reconcile.

CAUTION: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

From: [redistricting](#)
To:
Cc: [Faviola Medina](#); [Shannon Kelly](#)
Subject: RE: Census Data Base not current for On-Line Mapping Tool
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 11:15:38 AM

Good Morning Mr. Greenwald,

As has been shared with the Commission, we are in unprecedented times in terms of Census Data this year. Data that should have been released in April 2021 did not come out until August. Then, the State of California did its new prisoner adjustments of the data. That was not finalized until September 27, 2021. In an effort to help the Carlsbad Independent Redistricting Commission get started and engage the public, we decided to elevate the first available redistricting tools as soon as possible with estimated population data. The demographic elements from the American Community Survey are actuals. We were pleased that the paper mapping kits have been updated with final population data already and expect to have District R updated shortly. Under this plan, members of the public have been able to engage in the process with both tools without delay. Any maps created on District R can be updated once final California-adjusted population data is uploaded.

Please feel free to reach out to me directly if I can be of further assistance.

Thank you,



Sheila Cobian, MMC
Legislative & Constituent Services Director
1200 Carlsbad Village Drive
Carlsbad, CA 92008-1949
760-434-2917

Confidentiality Notice: Please note that email correspondence with the City of Carlsbad, along with any attachments, may be subject to the California Public Records Act, and therefore may be subject to disclosure unless otherwise exempt.

[Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [You Tube](#) | [Flickr](#) | [Pinterest](#) | [Enews](#)

From: john greenwald abc

Sent: Friday, November 12, 2021 3:26 PM

To: redistricting <redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov>

Subject: Census Data Base not current for On-Line Mapping Tool

Disappointed that the On-Line mapping tool Census Data Base has not been updated to match the Paper " Public Participation Kit" Census Data.

This raises questions about the competency of the support contractor.

It is my opinion this is going to negatively impact citizen participation.

John Greenwald



CAUTION: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Social Media



 **carlsbadcagov** • Follow ...

 **carlsbadcagov** A new online tool is now available if you'd like to draw proposed City Council district boundaries. Or, watch the 12/16 Independent Redistricting Commission meeting to see the first set of draft maps 🗺️ Visit www.carlsbadca.gov/districts for more info

1w

 **oldroyd79** I'd draw one that didn't include the City Council 🙄🙄🙄🙄🙄🙄 ❤️

1w Reply

👍 🗨️ 📌 🔖

389 views
NOVEMBER 24

😊 Add a comment... Post