

From: [redistricting](#)
To: kriswrt222@gmail.com
Cc: [redistricting](#)
Subject: RE: Questions and Comments
Date: Tuesday, January 11, 2022 12:45:51 PM

Good Afternoon Ms. Wright,

In response to your questions, the demographer provided the following responses:

1. Since I used District R, did I hear correctly that this methodology did not include the results of the new census?

District R is currently up on the the City of Carlsbad website with the new census data. The earlier version used an estimate that was slightly different. However, we don't anticipate there being a material difference in population balance for maps submitted in District R before the final census data was loaded.

2. If #1 is correct, do I need to submit a new map using Maptitude?

While you are welcome to create a map using the Maptitude program, you do not need to resubmit your map in Maptitude. All the District R maps will be pulled into Maptitude and analyzed by the Demographer. Given the low population deviation in District R map 94249, there will be no problem with the map meeting population balance once it is updated in Maptitude.

3. How will the maps submitted via District R be adjusted to incorporate the new census?

This will be done by the Demographer, NDC, before being presented to the Commission.

Thank you,



Faviola Medina, CMC
City Clerk Services Manager
Office of the City Clerk
P: 760-434-5989

From: redistricting
Sent: Wednesday, December 22, 2021 1:01 PM
To: 'Kris Wright' <kriswrt222@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: Questions and Comments

Good Afternoon,

Thank you for your email. I will forward your questions to the demographer and make your

correspondence a part of the commission's next packet.

Thank you,



Faviola Medina, CMC

City Clerk Services Manager

Office of the City Clerk

P: 760-434-5989

From: Kris Wright <kriswrt222@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, December 16, 2021 7:23 PM

To: redistricting <redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov>

Subject: Questions and Comments

Greetings Commissioners,

I spoke at today's meeting on agenda item #2. I submitted my map today via the District R methodology. I also understand that it will be "analyzed" prior to the Jan. 27th meeting. I'd like to clarify and correct my comment. While the map was given a number 89058 while in the "work in progress-draft" category, it was assigned a new number when I submitted it today. That number is 94249 "Our #1 Plan". I wanted to point out that all council members remain in their respective districts, the % deviation is 0.16% and has 4 coastal districts. Although new voters (that reach the legal voting age) and new developments are in progress over the 10 year period until the next census, I feel that a low % standard deviation will incorporate any additions to the voting districts for those of us who used District R map programming.

In addition, when our first maps were drawn and the current one selected, two residents of south Carlsbad submitted the map that was chosen for the City. As I live in North Carlsbad, the lines drawn were grossly divisive-people across a neighborhood street were in two different districts and entire communities were divided. I was one of those. Parents of kids in our local elementary school district (and who lived across the street from each other) were in different voting districts. When we objected at the City Council meeting, many of us were told "we don't have enough time but will consider your request for the new redistricting after the census."

Although I can say the same for my knowledge of south Carlsbad, I would really like for the Commission to omit the. NDC 101 with minimum changes since it repeats the mistakes of the first map. If at all possible, please take a look at my map which does incorporate communities in Carlsbad, and (mostly) uses El Camino Real and Cannon Road to divide districts. As the voting districts are unevenly numbered, it was impossible to exactly draw the lines down El Camino Real but I was able to incorporate Cannon Road as a marker. As I have done my best to keep Bressi Ranch and Rancho Carrillo intact in D2, I've tried my best to keep all other communities together as well.

I hope that you do consider my map.

I did have a couple of questions.

1. Since I used District R, did I hear correctly that this methodology did not include the results of the new census?
2. If #1 is correct, do I need to submit a new map using Maptitude?
3. How will the maps submitted via District R be adjusted to incorporate the new census?

Thank you,
Kris

--

Kris Wright
kriswrt222@gmail.com

CAUTION: *Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.*

From: [redistricting](#)
To: [Gayle Cadwallader](#)
Cc: [redistricting](#)
Subject: RE: Questions about population data in mapping spreadsheet
Date: Tuesday, January 11, 2022 12:38:04 PM

Good Afternoon Commissioner Cadwallader,

In response to your question, the demographer has provided the following response:

The geographic areas shown in the public participation kits are "population units" created by NDC, not official Census Blocks. If the map included every Census Block, it would be impossible to print and read on a piece of paper. So where the current lines may split a population unit, the lines still follow Census Block lines and we are able to calculate official population counts from the Census Block lines.

If you are interested in similarly dividing a Population Unit along one or more Census Block lines within that Population Unit, you can do so. To get the relevant population numbers, please go to the Interactive Review Map and turn on the "Population Unit" and "Census Block" layers -- when you zoom in, the individual population counts for each Census Block will appear.

Thank you,



Faviola Medina, CMC

City Clerk Services Manager

Office of the City Clerk

P: 760-434-5989

From: Gayle Cadwallader <gjadwallader@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 23, 2021 2:49 AM
To: Faviola Medina <Faviola.Medina@carlsbadca.gov>; redistricting <redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov>
Subject: Questions about population data in mapping spreadsheet

I have a couple of questions for NDC regarding census units 20 and 82.

Questions about census unit 20:

In the current districting, census unit 20 (just east of El Camino Real) seems to have been divided, with people north of Chestnut placed in District 1 and people south of Chestnut placed in District 2.

- How did that happen? E.g., was it previously two separate census units?
- Can we divide census unit 20 the same way this time around?
- If we divided census unit 20 at Chestnut, how many people would be attributed to the two parts?
- In the current calculated imbalances, how was Census unit 20 treated?

Questions about census unit 82:

It appears that part of census unit 82 north of Poinsettia is currently in District 3, whereas the part south of Poinsettia is in District 4. I recall seeing something about making such a change at the last minute, to keep Terramar together.

- Can we divide it up the same way this time around?
- If we divided census unit 82 at Poinsettia, how many people would be attributed to the two parts?
- In the current calculated imbalances, how was Census unit 82 treated?

General question:

There seems to be a disconnect in the spreadsheet between the Citizen Voting Age Population vs. the Nov 2020 Registration totals. (E.g., see census units 79, 82, and 90, where the registrations are greater than the voting age population.) Please explain or update.

I remain confused about the population we're supposed to balance. The FAQ page says Federal law requires it be **based on total population of voting age residents, but the spreadsheet allots population to districts based on Total Est. Population (Column C), not Citizen Voting Age Population total (Column D).** And the NDC presentations use the Total Est. Population to calculate the Ideal Population Per District. Please clarify/reconcile.

CAUTION: *Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.*

From: [redistricting](#)
To: dqhall44@gmail.com
Cc: [redistricting](#)
Subject: RE: Carlsbad district maps
Date: Tuesday, January 11, 2022 12:32:07 PM

Good Afternoon,

We are in receipt of your email. This will be forwarded to the demographer and provided to the commission for consideration.

Thank you,



Faviola Medina, CMC
City Clerk Services Manager
Office of the City Clerk
P: 760-434-5989

From: David Hall <dqhall44@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 11, 2022 11:18 AM
To: City Clerk <Clerk@carlsbadca.gov>
Subject: Carlsbad district maps

Dear Carlsbad City Clerk and to whom it may concern:

In regard to Carlsbad city districts and maps, I advocate for keeping the maps the same as they are now. I believe that they were set just four years ago and residents and voters are still getting used to the districts. Also, the present configuration unites peoples and interests, concerns.

Thank you for receiving my input. To the benefit of Carlsbad citizens and residents, visitors and friends!

And thank you for your faithful service,

The Rev. Dr. David Q. Hall (retired)

CAUTION: *Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.*

From: [redistricting](#)
To: [john.greenwald@abc](#)
Cc: [redistricting](#)
Subject: RE: Inconsistencies in directions in computing allowed population differences between districts and the way District R map is calculating the value.
Date: Tuesday, January 11, 2022 11:07:17 AM

Good Morning Mr. Greenwald,

In response to your email, the demographer has provided the following:

The description of the calculation on the paper mapping kit is correct. DistrictR reports a different deviation, which is from the ideal of the largest-deviation district, not the plan overall deviation. DistrictR is a simple, easy-to-use tool. Thus it does not include more complicated functions like calculating the deviation from the ideal of all the districts and then calculating the difference between the largest and the smallest. We have found that most of the mapping software being used this redistricting cycle also has issues with the calculations of deviation. But, as the Demographer has stated in Commission meetings and Public Hearings, all of the maps provided by the public, through any tool, are being run through their software with the correct calculations being applied to the maps and presented to the Commission.

Thank you,



Faviola Medina, CMC
City Clerk Services Manager
Office of the City Clerk
P: 760-434-5989

From: redistricting
Sent: Thursday, December 30, 2021 8:37 AM
To: 'john.greenwald@abc' <greenwaldjohn@ieeee.org>
Subject: RE: Inconsistencies in directions in computing allowed population differences between districts and the way District R map is calculating the value.

Good Morning,

Thank you for your email. I will forward your email to the demographer and make your correspondence a part of the commission's next packet.

Thank you,



Faviola Medina, CMC

City Clerk Services Manager

Office of the City Clerk

P: 760-434-5989

From: john greenwald abc <greenwaldjohn@ieee.org>

Sent: Wednesday, December 29, 2021 4:56 PM

To: redistricting <redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov>

Subject: Inconsistences in directions in computing allowed population differences between districts and the way District R map is calculating the value.

Per the "Paper Map" sheet "Public Participation " :

The population of each of the four districts must be close to 28,738, with no more than a 2,874 difference between the largest and smallest. Which is no more than 10%

However the District R Map appears to be making a different calculation.

District R Map in calculating what is label "Max Population Deviation".

In percent, It appears to be the value of the district will the largest difference from the ideal population value compared to the ideal population value.

These two values will not be the same and mean different things.

John Greenwald

760-845-7322

GreenwaldJohn@ieee.org

CAUTION: *Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.*

From: [redistricting](#)
To: [Nikki Robinson](#)
Cc: [redistricting](#)
Subject: RE: Redistributing
Date: Tuesday, January 11, 2022 12:56:51 PM

Good Afternoon,

We are in receipt of your email. This will be forwarded to the demographer and provided to the commission for consideration.

Thank you,

Faviola Medina, CMC
City Clerk Services Manager
Office of the City Clerk
P: 760-434-5989

-----Original Message-----

From: Nikki Robinson <nikkirs910@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Wednesday, December 22, 2021 1:42 PM
To: [redistricting](#) <redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov>
Subject: Redistributing

I don't believe there should be districts. All the people on the Council work for all the people in the city, so all the people should be able to vote for all the candidates.

Herschel and Nikki Robinson
910 Rosemary Ave
Carlsbad, CA 92011
Sent from my iPad

CAUTION: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

From: [redistricting](#)
To: [john.greenwald.abc](#)
Cc: [redistricting](#)
Subject: RE: The DistrictR map does not have the adjusted census data to correct values.
Date: Tuesday, January 11, 2022 1:21:36 PM

Good Afternoon,

This is to confirm that all data in DistrictR is now current.

Thank you,



Faviola Medina, CMC
City Clerk Services Manager
Office of the City Clerk
P: 760-434-5989

From: john.greenwald.abc <greenwaldjohn@ieee.org>
Sent: Wednesday, December 15, 2021 10:24 PM
To: [redistricting](#) <redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov>
Subject: The DistrictR map does not have the adjusted census data to correct values.

I do not find the below statement to be true.

Online: Residents can draw maps using [DistrictR](#) or [Maptitude](#), online mapping tools that let you draw just your community or all four districts. Both tools have the final, **adjusted census data**.

The DistrictR map does not have the **adjusted census data**.

John Greenwald

From: [City of Carlsbad](#)
Sent: Wednesday, December 15, 2021 4:55 PM
To: greenwaldjohn@ieee.org
Subject: Draft City Council District Maps to be Discussed Tomorrow

[Having trouble viewing this email? View as web page](#)

The Independent Redistricting Commission will start looking at draft Carlsbad City Council district maps at its meeting tomorrow, including maps drafted by the city's demographer and the public.

[Independent Redistricting Commission](#)

Thursday, Dec. 16

4 p.m.

How to provide input on maps

All maps submitted to the city will be posted to the [city's website](#) for the public to review.

- Regular business meetings of the commission have time set aside for public comment, and two more public hearings are scheduled for the sole purpose of getting input.
- Members of the public may also provide comments to the commission [via email](#).

How to submit maps

Online: Residents can draw maps using [DistrictR](#) or [Maptitude](#), online mapping tools that let you draw just your community or all four districts. Both tools have the final, adjusted census data. They be found on the City of Carlsbad website.

Please note, you'll be prompted to make an account when you start to use Maptitude. This allows you to save your work and draw your map over multiple sessions.

Paper: Maps can also be created using a [paper mapping kit](#) and an [excel spreadsheet](#).

For a deeper look into the city's communities and physical boundaries, residents can use the following resources to help them in the map drawing process:

- [School districts map](#)
- [Neighborhood map](#)
- [Parks map](#)
- [Trails map](#)
-

- Coastal Zone map
- [City facilities map](#)
- [Land use map](#)

Upcoming meetings

Dec. 16 - Independent Redistricting Commission reviews and takes comments on the first set of maps

Jan. 13 - Third public hearing to give feedback on draft maps

[See the full schedule.](#)

About the commission

The Carlsbad City Council decided to appoint an independent commission to make the final decision about a new City Council district map. Members were chosen from applications at random based on criteria established by state and the City Council. The commission has regular meetings that are open to the public. [You can see the schedule here.](#)

Get updates by text

To get redistricting updates on your phone, text the word “districts” to 760-302-5500.

More information

Visit www.carlsbadca.gov/districts.

Contact the City Clerk’s Office at 760-434-2808 or redistricting@carlsbadca.gov.



Visit our Website



City of Carlsbad | 1200 Carlsbad Village Drive, Carlsbad, CA 92008

[Unsubscribe greenwaldjohn@ieee.org](mailto:greenwaldjohn@ieee.org)

[Update Profile](#) | [Constant Contact Data Notice](#)

Sent by communications@carlsbadca.gov

CAUTION: *Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.*

From: [redistricting](#)
To: [Gary Nessim](#)
Cc: [redistricting](#)
Subject: RE: City Council District Boundaries
Date: Tuesday, January 11, 2022 1:19:44 PM

Good Afternoon,

We are in receipt of your email. This will be forwarded to the demographer and provided to the commission for consideration.

Thank you,



Faviola Medina, CMC
City Clerk Services Manager
Office of the City Clerk
P: 760-434-5989

From: Gary Nessim <garynessim@att.net>
Sent: Wednesday, December 15, 2021 7:42 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov>
Subject: City Council District Boundaries

Why do we have districts?

We were threatened with the expectation of litigation as some groups were unable to get representation.

Our current boundaries are subject to the same problem. Deliberately drawn to have representation from each type of citizen, coastal and inland, newer resident and long term resident. Seems nice and as homogeneous as possible but exactly what districts were intended to minimize.

The only district that currently has only one geographic area and mostly old Carlsbad residents is District One. District one has a chance of a minority district representative.

Other districts are an attempt at being homogeneous and therefore no one is expert at the beach problems, or the inland traffic problems, or a lack of parks in a quadrant.

It is obvious we were resistant to district formation in the first place and I suggest the quadrant map approach submitted by Joe Sardina.

Gary Nessim
500 Grand Avenue
Carlsbad, CA 92008
760 519-5556

CAUTION: *Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.*

From: [redistricting](#)
To: [Lance Johannsen](#); [redistricting](#)
Subject: RE: My 2 cents on redistricting: From Gerald Lance Johannsen, 5486 Carlsbad Blvd, Carlsbad, CA 92008 760 448 5074
Date: Monday, January 10, 2022 12:33:29 PM

Good Afternoon,

We are in receipt of your email and will provide it to the commission with their next meeting packet.

Thank you,



Faviola Medina, CMC
City Clerk Services Manager
Office of the City Clerk
P: 760-434-5989

From: Lance Johannsen <smileyjoh@hotmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 9, 2022 1:30 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov>
Subject: My 2 cents on redistricting: From Gerald Lance Johannsen, 5486 Carlsbad Blvd, Carlsbad, CA 92008 760 448 5074

Since the coastline of Carlsbad, is the accepted jewel of the City, and it is being sought after, for so much intensive development, from now until the end of time, I suggest that, as a conservation- minded person, that the map selected, not give a large block of absentee owners of short and long-term rentals, sway over council seats, as they become available.

That is why I oppose linking the entire coastline into one council district (No 1). That would make District 1 the most highly valued in terms of property values and where the absentee owners will throw their money and influence the most---to elect their pro-development candidates. I hope some of you on the Commission see my point.

Thank you, Commissioners, for your work, GL Johannsen

CAUTION: *Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.*

From: [redistricting](#)
To: [john.greenwald.abc](#); [redistricting](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Map "Greenwald Final 1 – ID 96876 in Public Gallery" Notes and Rational - Map below
Date: Wednesday, January 5, 2022 3:03:19 PM
Attachments: [image003.png](#)

Good Afternoon,

Thank you for your email. I will forward your email to the demographer and make your correspondence a part of the commission's next packet.

Thank you,



Faviola Medina, CMC
City Clerk Services Manager
Office of the City Clerk
P: 760-434-5989

From: john.greenwald.abc <greenwaldjohn@ieee.org>
Sent: Thursday, December 30, 2021 2:33 PM
To: [redistricting](mailto:redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov) <redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov>
Subject: Redistricting Map "Greenwald Final 1 – ID 96876 in Public Gallery" Notes and Rational - Map below

To Redistricting Commission

See map below. Map ID 96876 in Public Gallery. Map meets all stated requirements.

Map retains the same 4 districts coaster boundaries as exiting districts.
Do to some limitation in the Mapping Software districts 2 and 4 colors bleed into district 3 at the coast.
District 3 goes to the ocean as it currently does. Also district 2 color bleed into district 3 at its East boundary.

Based upon the data there is no know reason to change the boundaries of districts 3 and 4 for they are within the permitted deviation. Therefore existing districts 3 and 4 boundaries are retained


Current District 1 population is to small to meet requirements.
Current District 2 population is to large to meet requirements.
Therefore the Eastern boundary of current district 1 needs to be moved East in to what is currently district 2 such as shown in below map.

Deviation Calculation:
Per instructions in the Paper Map (Public Participation Kit), the population of each of the four districts must be close to 28,738 with no more than a 2,874 (10% of average district size) difference between the largest and smallest.

Largest population is District 3 with	30,379
Smallest population is District 1 with	27,749
Difference between largest & smallest	2,630
2,630/28,738= 9.15%	

Based upon the limited information on expected new housing units it is understood that Northern Carlsbad is expected to have more housing grow than Southern Carlsbad. In other words, districts1 and 2 are expected to have more new housing units then districts 3 and 4. In other. Therefore with the Northern Districts being smaller than the Southern Districts, district balance would be expected to be maintained for a longer time.


Greenwald Final 1 – ID 96876 in Pubiic Gallery



Population Data Layers Evaluation

Population Balance

Uses adjusted 2020 Decennial Census population with processing by National Demographics Corporation on 2020 Blocks.



UNASSIGNED POPULATION: 0

MAX. POPULATION DEVIATION: 5.71%

Highlight unassigned units

John Greenwald
 Mobile: 760-845-7322
GreenwaldJohn@ieee.org

CAUTION: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

From: [redistricting](#)
To: [john greenwald abc](#); [redistricting](#)
Subject: RE: Request old versions of maps submitted to Public Gallery be ignored or removed and not be presented to the Redistricting Commission.
Date: Wednesday, January 5, 2022 3:03:35 PM

Good Afternoon,

Thank you for your email. I will forward your email to the demographer and make your correspondence a part of the commission's next packet.

Thank you,



Faviola Medina, CMC
City Clerk Services Manager
Office of the City Clerk
P: 760-434-5989

From: john greenwald abc <greenwaldjohn@ieee.org>
Sent: Thursday, December 30, 2021 3:13 PM
To: [redistricting](#) <redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov>
Subject: Request old versions of maps submitted to Public Gallery be ignored or removed and not be presented to the Redistricting Commission.

All maps I have submitted have a title starting with Greenwald.

The ID's of maps to be ignored are:

ID: 96872

ID: 95809

ID: 79827

ID: 79357

Please do not inadvertently ignore the last Map I submitted which is titled:

Greenwald Final 1 – ID:96876

Thank You,

John Greenwald
Mobile 760-845-7322
GreenwaldJohn@ieee.org

CAUTION: *Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.*

From: [redistricting](#)
To: [D Lech](#)
Cc: [redistricting](#)
Subject: RE: Redistricting Input
Date: Thursday, December 30, 2021 8:38:04 AM

Good Morning,

Thank you for your email. I will forward your email to the demographer and make your correspondence a part of the commission's next packet.

Thank you,



Faviola Medina, CMC
City Clerk Services Manager
Office of the City Clerk
P: 760-434-5989

From: D Lech <dilech@ymail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 29, 2021 8:54 AM
To: mdfabiano@gmail.com; Mfs1@aol.com; Nearndt2@gmail.com; Gretchen.m.ashton@gmail.com; gjcadwallader@gmail.com; Aaronharris2003@gmail.com; tsardina@protonmail.com
Cc: Faviola Medina <Faviola.Medina@carlsbadca.gov>; redistricting <redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov>
Subject: Redistricting Input

Dear Independent Redistricting Commissioners:

Thank you for the work you are doing on this important project. Please consider my two opinions as stated below prior to your final Redistricting decision:

1) I would be in favor of having just one district citywide, but if that is not allowed under current law, then, I **support** the current **four** District boundaries **with slight modifications** such as the boundary that currently divides an older planned development in the North East quadrant of the City. I believe this area, or a portion of it, is part of what is referred to as "Census Unit 20". I am referring specifically to the single-family homes that were built on the following streets:

Oxford Street, Athens Avenue, York Road, Lyons Court, Ames Place, Naples

Court, Chestnut Avenue from just west of Oxford Street up to where it terminates at Pontiac Drive, and Pontiac Drive between Spokane Way and Victoria Avenue.

My opinion is that all homes that were built as a planned community back in the late 70's, when this particular development was planned, constructed, and sold as a "community", should all be part of the same voting District. With the current boundaries, the homes on one side of the street on Oxford Street, York Road, and Lyons Court belong to a different District than their neighbors directly across the street from them. This does not appear to coincide with the City's "Communities of Interest" definition.

2) I **oppose** creating a separate district all along the coastline. Every homeowner, taxpayer and legal voting resident of Carlsbad is part of the Carlsbad coastal community. Each district, no matter how many there are, should touch the beach, as it currently stands. It does not matter if you use the beach everyday or never go to the beach. We all live in a coastal community because we want to, and we pay dearly for it, regardless of whether we live on the coast or 4 miles inland. By creating a separate "Coastal District" from the northern to southern limits of the City, and East to I-5, you would be creating a segregated socio-economic "community" based on income, as we are all well aware of the higher salaries and incomes necessary as we move closer to the coast. For purposes of fair and effective representation, each district should be equally represented by all income levels.

Thank you for considering my input.

Respectfully,
D. Lech

CAUTION: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

From: [redistricting](#)
To: [Glenn Bernard](#)
Cc: [redistricting](#)
Subject: RE: Re-districting suggestion is NOT re-invent the way
Date: Monday, December 27, 2021 8:38:03 AM

Good Morning,

Thank you for your email. Your correspondence will be provided to the commission as a part of the commission's next meeting packet.

Thank you,

Faviola Medina, CMC
City Clerk Services Manager
Office of the City Clerk
P: 760-434-5989

-----Original Message-----

From: Glenn Bernard <glennrbernard@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 23, 2021 2:33 PM
To: [redistricting](mailto:redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov) <redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov>
Subject: Re-districting suggestion is NOT re-invent the way

Federal Govt has already divided the city into four districts, for Zip Code purposes. Just copy that. Most people will give you a score of '92' for this. Are you going to create turmoil and arguing just to raise the score from 92 to 98? Don't re-invent the wheel; just copy the Federal govt.

Don't worry about what some cranky, unreasonable critics would say. Keep everything simple, including municipal government. Thanks.....760.822.4110 Glenn Bernard

CAUTION: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

From: [Pearson, Ray](#)
To: [redistricting](#)
Subject: Re: RE: Final Maps
Date: Wednesday, December 22, 2021 4:04:17 PM

Thank you Fabiola for your follow up.
Happy Holidays

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 22, 2021, at 3:52 PM, redistricting <redistricting@carlsbadca.gov> wrote:

Good Afternoon,

I just wanted to follow up on our conversation and confirm that the commission is scheduled to adopt the final map at the meeting of Public Hearing #4 to be held on Feb. 17 at 6 p.m. in advance of the April 17 deadline.

The Nov. 2022 election will be for the following seats:

- Mayor
- City Clerk
- City Treasurer
- District 1
- District 3

Filing for these seats will commence July 2022.

Please let me know if you have any other questions.

Thank you,



Faviola Medina, CMC
City Clerk Services Manager
Office of the City Clerk
P: 760-434-5989

From: Pearson, Ray <Ray.Pearson@JTV.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 21, 2021 8:33 AM
To: redistricting <redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov>
Subject: Final Maps

When will the final maps be approved and what will be the schedule to file for city council?

Thanks

Ray Pearson

VP Content Distribution

Content Management Inc.

representing Jewelry Television

C 865.599.9806

ray.pearson@jtv.com



Knoxville-Bangkok-Jaipur-Mumbai-Hong Kong

CAUTION: *Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.*

CAUTION: *Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.*

From: [Faviola Medina](#)
To: [D Lech](#)
Cc: [Kristina Ray](#); gjcadwallader@gmail.com; [redistricting](#)
Subject: RE: Request for more information
Date: Wednesday, December 22, 2021 3:18:09 PM

Good Afternoon,

In response to question #1, you may find the survey information in the following meeting staff reports on the city's website:

- Oct. 28, Item #2, Exhibit 1:
<https://www.carlsbadca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/8214/637707734665970000>
- Nov. 18, Item #2, Exhibit 1:
<https://www.carlsbadca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/8435/637725826887930000>
- Dec. 16, Item #3, Exhibit 1:
<https://www.carlsbadca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/8628/637747409718200000>

In response to question #2, we are still waiting on a response from the demographer and will be provided in the next meeting packet.

Thank you,



Faviola Medina, CMC
City Clerk Services Manager
Office of the City Clerk
P: 760-434-5989

From: D Lech <dilech@ymail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 20, 2021 10:37 PM
To: Faviola Medina <Faviola.Medina@carlsbadca.gov>
Cc: Kristina Ray <Kristina.Ray@carlsbadca.gov>; gjcadwallader@gmail.com
Subject: Request for more information

Hello Ms. Medina,

I would like to submit a public comment regarding the Redistricting Project that is currently underway, however, I have a couple of questions regarding written information that was shared in the Dec. 16, 2021 Independent Redistricting Commission Meeting Agenda Packet.

- 1) On page 45 of the Agenda Packet, the written correspondence from

Kristina Ray indicates that 156 surveys were received. However, only 3 or 4 of them were included in the packet. How/where can I review the other surveys that were submitted?

2) On page 68 of the Agenda Packet, the written correspondence from Gayle Cadwallader includes two questions regarding census units 20 and 82. How/where can I review the responses to these questions?

Your prompt response is appreciated so I may submit my comment prior to the Jan.13 deadline.

Thank you.

CAUTION: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

From: [redistricting](#)
To: [Erin Nielsen](#); [redistricting](#)
Cc: [Shannon Kelly](#)
Subject: RE: Why does each District need a piece of the Coast?
Date: Wednesday, December 22, 2021 1:06:50 PM

Good Afternoon,

Thank you for your email. This will be provided to the commission as a part of their next commission meeting packet.

Thank you,



Faviola Medina, CMC
City Clerk Services Manager
Office of the City Clerk
P: 760-434-5989

From: Erin Nielsen <erin@tustincommunityfoundation.org>
Sent: Thursday, December 16, 2021 4:33 PM
To: redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov
Subject: Why does each District need a piece of the Coast?

Please note that not all supervisorial districts have a piece of the coast in the article below. The same is true for every city, assembly and senate seat in SD County. Why must it be so in Carlsbad?

Should each District have a piece of the airport? We all have an interest in its future. What about a each District sharing a boundary with Oceanside, Encinitas, Vista or San Marcos? Your logic about the coast would work for each question ... and a lack of respect for council members by assuming that they need "a piece" to be fair. If that's the case, we need new electeds who remember they actually serve the entire community.

Please try and keep the coast with the residents living closest to the coast and not following the current ones made with an agenda.

Sincerely,
Erin Nielsen

San Diego County receives independent commission's final redistricting map - Times of San Diego

https://apple.news/AAXo5SPUqR_CVcAM6zcTWLw

--

Erin Nielsen Tustin Community Foundation PO Box 362 Tustin, CA 92781 714-393-8506 office 714-721-0337 cell www.tustincommunityfoundation.org

CAUTION: *Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.*

From: [Faviola Medina](#)
To: [redistricting](#)
Subject: FW: Carlsbad school district map
Date: Thursday, December 16, 2021 3:52:55 PM



Faviola Medina, CMC
City Clerk Services Manager
Office of the City Clerk
P: 760-434-5989

From: redistricting
Sent: Thursday, December 16, 2021 3:50 PM
To: Shannon Kelly <skelly@ndcresearch.com>
Subject: FW: Carlsbad school district map

Hi Shannon,

Please see email received from Commissioner Cadwallader below.

Thank you,



Faviola Medina, CMC
City Clerk Services Manager
Office of the City Clerk
P: 760-434-5989

From: Gayle Cadwallader <gjadwallader@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 16, 2021 2:49 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov>
Cc: Faviola Medina <Faviola.Medina@carlsbadca.gov>
Subject: Carlsbad school district map

In an attempt to view school district boundaries in Carlsbad, I clicked on the link at the bottom of the list of the Mapping resources on the Mapping page of our Redistricting site. Apparently one must log in. However, no link is available to create a new account, so I am unable to complete my mission and view the school district boundaries in Carlsbad.

Actually, I wish to see which neighborhoods are assigned to each elementary school.

- Is that possible? \
- How would I do that?
- How would an ordinary citizen do that?

P.S. Is there an overlay in DistrictR? I couldn't find one. Is there an overlay in Maptitude? I didn't see one.

Gayle Cadwallader
Commissioner

CAUTION: *Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.*

From: [Faviola Medina](#)
To: adamdhardesty@gmail.com
Cc: [redistricting](#)
Subject: RE: Carlsbad Redistricting
Date: Thursday, December 16, 2021 3:40:26 PM

Good Afternoon,

Thank you for your comments and my apologies for the delayed response. You are correct when you mentioned core interest groups like faith practice, medical autonomy and family directed education as potential communities of interest in Carlsbad. Unfortunately, you have identified three areas that are not tracked by the US Census or American Community Survey. That means that information about concentrations of these types of groups can't be programmed into our mapping tools and will need to come from the community. If you know of any groups that collect this type of information, please share that with us. You and others in Carlsbad are encouraged to use our redistricting survey and mapping tools to communicate this information, as well as to provide public comment and the upcoming Public Hearings and Independent Redistricting Commission meetings.

Thank you,



Faviola Medina, CMC
City Clerk Services Manager
Office of the City Clerk
P: 760-434-5989

From: Adam Hardesty <adamdhardesty@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 24, 2021 8:12 PM
To: redistricting <redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov>; Peder Norby <Peder.Norby@carlsbadca.gov>
Subject: Carlsbad Redistricting

Hi Team,

I was hoping that you might be able to help me with the following. While I understand the concept of "communities of like interest" and how that might apply to folks with a common language, ethnicity, and of a socio-economic class. I also think there are certain core interests like faith practice, medical autonomy, and family directed education (i.e homeschooling families) that really draw people groups from every culture and socio-economic class together. I believe that the latter three may be underrepresented due to the traditional methods used to draw boundaries when redistricting and I would like to know if the City of Carlsbad has a census that measures the densities of these interests. I was unable to find filters for these on the interactive mapping tool, but would like to know if you could provide me with information about these or point me in the right direction.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Adam Hardesty

760-443-8674

C"bad resident since 2006.

CAUTION: *Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.*

--

Shannon Kelly,

NDC Consultant

CAUTION: *Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.*

From: [Joe Sardina](#)
To: [Sheila Cobian](#)
Cc: [redistricting](#)
Subject: Re: FW: FW: Draw a new City Council district map for Carlsbad
Date: Friday, December 10, 2021 10:20:33 AM

Thank you for the confirmation.

Sent from ProtonMail for iOS

On Fri, Dec 10, 2021 at 9:00 AM, Sheila Cobian <Sheila.Cobian@carlsbadca.gov> wrote:

Good Morning Mr. Sardina,

I've confirmed with our consultant that the two maps you submitted via Maptitude have been received. The demographer is reviewing them and they will be presented to the Commission at Thursday's Commission meeting.

Thank you,



Sheila Cobian, MMC

Legislative & Constituent Services Director

1200 Carlsbad Village Drive

Carlsbad, CA 92008-1949

760-434-2917

Confidentiality Notice: Please note that email correspondence with the City of Carlsbad, along with any attachments, may be subject to the California Public Records Act, and therefore may be subject to disclosure unless otherwise exempt.

[Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [You Tube](#) | [Flickr](#) | [Pinterest](#) | [Enews](#)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Joe Sardina** <Joe.Sardina@protonmail.com>
Date: Wed, Dec 8, 2021 at 6:17 PM
Subject: Re: Draw a new City Council district map for Carlsbad
To: Kylie Benzing <kylie@tripepismith.com>

I do not see any of the maps submitted via Maptitude to date. Perhaps they have not yet been posted on the city's website for the public to review. Where and when will they be posted?

I have submitted a couple of maps but it was not obvious to me that they were in fact received by the city. Is there a way to confirm receipt?

There appears to be an option to share a map via email in Maptitude which I have tried to do with friends and neighbors but just get the following error message -

The email could not be sent: Mailbox unavailable. The server response was: 5.7.64 Relay Access Denied ATTR36. For more details please refer to <https://support.microsoft.com/kb/3169958> [CH0PR16MB4386.namprd16.prod.outlook.com] [DM5PR20CA0009.namprd20.prod.outlook.com] [DM6NAM04FT015.eop-NAM04.prod.protection.outlook.com]

~ Joe Sardina

Sent with [ProtonMail](#) Secure Email.

----- Original Message -----

On Wednesday, December 8th, 2021 at 5:11 PM, Kylie Benzing
<kylie@tripepismith.com> wrote:

Good evening,

The City of Carlsbad is in the midst of its redistricting process, and the city's Independent Redistricting Commission is preparing to review the draft maps that were submitted prior to Dec. 1. There is still time for community members to draw district maps for consideration. Several mapping tools are available:

- [Paper map kits](#) to draw communities you think need to stay together in the same City Council district and tell us why.
- An [excel spreadsheet](#) with population information by census block to create four geographic areas with about the same number of people.
- [DistrictR](#), an online mapping tool that allows you to draw just your community or all four districts.
- [Maptitude](#), a sophisticated online mapping tool that has more data built in than the other map-making tools.

All maps submitted to the city will be posted on the city's website for the public to review. Community members can provide input on draft maps during regular business meetings of the commission and the remaining two public hearings. You can also provide comments to the commission via email to redistricting@carlsbadca.gov.

Upcoming Meetings

- Dec. 16 - Independent Redistricting Commission reviews and takes comments on the first set of maps
- Jan. 13 - Third public hearing to give feedback on draft maps

[See the full schedule.](#)

For more information, visit www.carlsbadca.gov/districts.

--

Kylie Benzing

kylie@tripepismith.com

(661) 770-8563

844-TS-COMM-1 x730



[Website](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [YouTube](#) | [LinkedIn](#)

CAUTION: *Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.*

--

Shannon Kelly,

NDC Consultant

CAUTION: *Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.*

--

Shannon Kelly,

NDC Consultant

CAUTION: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

CAUTION: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.