



# INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

Feb. 17, 2022, 6 p.m.  
Regular Meeting

City Hall  
1200 Carlsbad Village Drive  
Carlsbad, CA 92008  
Virtual Meeting

## Welcome to Your Independent Redistricting Commission Meeting

We welcome your interest and involvement in the city's legislative process. This agenda includes information about topics coming before the Independent Redistricting Commission and the actions recommended by city staff. You can read about each topic in the staff reports, which are available on the city website and in the City Clerk's Office. The City Clerk's Office is also available to answer any questions you have about Independent Redistricting Commission meeting procedures.

### How to watch



#### City cable channel

Charter Spectrum channel 24  
AT&T U-verse channel 99.



#### City website

[carlsbadca.gov/news/citytv.asp](http://carlsbadca.gov/news/citytv.asp)

### Virtual meeting format

- In the interest of public health and safety, we are temporarily taking actions to prevent and mitigate the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic by holding Independent Redistricting Commission and other public meetings online only.
- All public meetings will comply with public noticing requirements in the Brown Act and will be made accessible electronically to all members of the public seeking to observe and address the Commission.

### How to participate

- **Join from a PC, Mac, iPad, iPhone or Android device:** <https://carlsbadca.zoom.us/j/97561048942>
  - **Join** using "Computer Audio"
  - **Raise your hand** when the Clerk calls for speakers for the item you wish to comment on.
  - **Unmute** yourself when called on by the Clerk.
- **By phone:** Call: (213) 338 – 8477 and enter Meeting ID: 975 6104 8942. Press # for Participant ID.
  - **Press \*9** when the Clerk calls for speakers for the item you wish to comment on.
  - The Clerk will then call on the last four numbers of your telephone number.
  - **Press \*6** to unmute yourself to speak.
- **In writing:** Email comments to [redistricting@carlsbadca.gov](mailto:redistricting@carlsbadca.gov). Comments received by 4 p.m. the day of the meeting will be shared with the Independent Redistricting Commission prior to the meeting. When e-mailing comments, please identify in the subject line the agenda item to which your comments relate. All comments received will be included as part of the official record. **Written comments will not be read out loud.**
- These procedures shall remain in place during the period in which state or local health officials have imposed or recommended social distancing measures.

### Reasonable accommodations

Persons with a disability may request an agenda packet in appropriate alternative formats as require by the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. Reasonable accommodations and auxiliary aids will be provided to effectively allow participation in the meeting. Please contact the City Manager's Office at 760-434-2821 (voice), 711 (free relay service for TTY users), 760-720-9461 (fax) or [manager@carlsbadca.gov](mailto:manager@carlsbadca.gov) by noon on the Monday before the meeting to decide.

*IN THE EVENT A QUORUM OF THE INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION LOSES ELECTRICAL POWER OR SUFFERS AN INTERNET CONNECTION OUTAGE THAT IS NOT CORRECTED WITHIN 15 MINUTES, THE MEETING WILL AUTOMATICALLY BE ADJOURNED. ANY ITEMS NOTICED AS PUBLIC HEARINGS WILL BE CONTINUED TO THE NEXT REGULARLY SCHEDULED MEETING OF THE INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION. ANY OTHER AGENDA ITEMS THE COMMISSION HAS NOT TAKEN ACTION ON WILL BE PLACED ON A FUTURE AGENDA.*

More information about Independent Redistricting Commission meeting procedures can be found at the end of this agenda and in Carlsbad Municipal Code Chapters 1.20 and 2.15.

**CALL TO ORDER:**

**ROLL CALL:**

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:**

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES:**

Minutes of the Public Hearing held Sept. 23  
Minutes of the Public Hearing held Nov. 13  
Minutes of the Public Hearing held Jan. 13  
Minutes of the Regular Meeting held Jan. 27

**PUBLIC HEARING:**

1. **PUBLIC HEARING TO SELECT A MAP OF THE CITY'S VOTING DISTRICTS** – 1) Hold the fourth and final public hearing and receive public comment regarding draft maps of the city's proposed voting districts; and  
2) Adopt a resolution approving the final map of four City Council Districts based on the 2020 Decennial Federal Census. (Staff Contact: Sheila Cobian, City Manager's Office and Faviola Medina, City Clerk's Office)

**DEPARTMENT REPORTS:**

**ANNOUNCEMENTS:**

This section of the agenda is designated for announcements to advise the community regarding upcoming Independent Redistricting Commission meetings or events.

**ADJOURNMENT:**

## Independent Redistricting Commission Meeting Procedures *(continued from page 1)*

### Written Materials

Written materials related to the agenda that are submitted to the Independent Redistricting Commission after the agenda packet has been published will be available for review prior to the meeting during normal business hours at the City Clerk's Office, 1200 Carlsbad Village Drive and on the city website. To review these materials during the meeting, please see the City Clerk.

### Visual Materials

Visual materials, such as pictures, charts, maps or slides, are allowed for comments on agenda items, not general public comment. Please contact the City Manager's Office at 760-434-2820 or [manager@carlsbadca.gov](mailto:manager@carlsbadca.gov) to make arrangements in advance. All materials must be received by the City Manager's Office no later than noon the day before the meeting. The time spent presenting visual materials is included in the maximum time limit provided to speakers. All materials exhibited to the Independent Redistricting Commission during the meeting are part of the public record. **Please note that video presentations during public comment are not allowed.**

### Decorum

All participants are expected to conduct themselves with mutual respect. Loud, boisterous and unruly behavior can interfere with the ability of the Independent Redistricting Commission to conduct the people's business. That's why it is illegal to disrupt an Independent Redistricting Commission meeting. Following a warning from the presiding officer, those engaging in disruptive behavior are subject to law enforcement action.

### Independent Redistricting Commission Agenda

The Independent Redistricting Commission follows a regular order of business that is specified in the Carlsbad Municipal Code. The Commission may only make decisions about topics listed on the agenda.

### Public Comment

Members of the public may speak on any redistricting related item that does not appear on the agenda. State law prohibits the Independent Redistricting Commission from taking action on items not listed on the agenda. Comments requiring follow up will be referred to staff and, if appropriate, considered at a future Independent Redistricting Commission meeting. Members of the public are also welcome to provide comments on agenda items during the portions of the meeting when those items are being discussed. In both cases, a request to speak form must be submitted to the clerk in advance of that portion of the meeting beginning.

### New Business

This part of the agenda is for items presented to the Independent Redistricting Commission by city staff and can be informational in nature or require action. The staff report about each item indicates the purpose of the item and whether or not action is requested.

### Other Reports

At the end of each meeting, Independent Redistricting Commission members and the city attorney and city clerk are given an opportunity to share information. This usually includes information about upcoming meetings and events.

### Independent Redistricting Commission Actions

#### Motion

A motion is used to propose Independent Redistricting Commission direction related to an item on the agenda. Any Independent Redistricting Commission member may make a motion. A motion must receive a "second" from another Independent Redistricting Commission member to be eligible for a vote.



# Minutes

Sept. 23, 2021, 6 p.m.

**CALL TO ORDER:** 6 p.m.

**ROLL CALL:** Fabiano, Stanley, Ashton, Harris, Sardina, Arndt. Commissioner Cadwallader joined the meeting at 6:02 p.m.

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:** Vice Chair Stanley led the Pledge of Allegiance.

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES:** None.

**PUBLIC COMMENT:** There were no public speakers for non-agenda public comment.

**PUBLIC HEARING:**

1. **PUBLIC HEARING REGARDING THE COMPOSITION AND BOUNDARIES OF THE CITY'S DISTRICTS**  
– That the Independent Redistricting Commission hold a public hearing and receive public comment regarding the composition and boundaries of the City's districts. (Staff Contact: Faviola Medina, City Clerk's Office)

National Demographics Corporation (NDC) representative Shannon Kelly reviewed a PowerPoint presentation (on file in the Office of the City Clerk).

*Chair Fabiano opened the public hearing at 6:18 p.m.*

*Hearing no one wishing to speak, Chair Fabiano closed the duly noticed Public Hearing at 6:19 p.m.*

**STAFF AND COMMITTEE MEMBER REQUESTS FOR FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS:**

Chair Fabiano announced the upcoming Mapping Workshops to be held on Oct. 23 at 10 a.m. and 2 p.m. He also reminded the public of the next Independent Redistricting Commission Regular Meeting to be held on Oct. 28 at 4 p.m. and the next Public Hearing to be held on Nov. 13 at 10 a.m.

In response to Commissioner Cadwallader's inquiry, NDC representative Kelly explained that the prisoner adjusted state data was just released and that NDC would have the final data available in approximately two weeks.

In response to Commissioner Cadwallader's inquiry, Communications & Engagement Director Kristina Ray explained that the survey data would be included in the agenda packet for the Independent Redistricting Commission Regular Meeting to be held on Oct. 28.

**ANNOUNCEMENTS:** None.

**CITY ATTORNEY COMMENTS:** None.

**ADJOURNMENT:** Chair Fabiano adjourned the duly noticed meeting at 6:28 p.m.

---

Faviola Medina  
City Clerk Services Manager



# Minutes

Nov. 13, 2021, 10 a.m.

Virtual Meeting  
Council Chamber  
1200 Carlsbad Village Drive  
Carlsbad, CA 92008

**CALL TO ORDER:** 10 a.m.

**ROLL CALL:** Fabiano, Stanley, Ashton, Sardina, Harris, Cadwallader, Arndt.

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:** Commission Member Stanley led the Pledge of Allegiance.

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES:**

**PUBLIC COMMENT:** None.

**PUBLIC HEARING:**

1. **PUBLIC HEARING REGARDING THE COMPOSITION AND BOUNDARIES OF THE CITY'S DISTRICTS**  
– That the Independent Redistricting Commission hold a public hearing and receive public comment regarding the composition and boundaries of the city's districts. (Staff Contact: Faviola Medina, City Clerk's Office)

National Demographics Corporation (NDC) representative Shannon Kelly reviewed a PowerPoint Presentation (on file in the Office of the City Clerk).

*Chair Fabiano opened the duly noticed public hearing at 10:19 a.m.*

The following members of the public called into the Independent Redistricting Commission meeting to voice their comments:

*Jeanette C. explained that she was in District 1 and that it has a low population. She added that District 1 is a unique district in Carlsbad because it includes a wide variety of interests, populations and demographics. She added that District 1 has the beach, the village, the mall area, and it's the barrio, the broadest category of that could be what is referred to as old Carlsbad. She expressed that more population must be found for District 1. She further expressed that her idea for the commission for District 1, is to include the southern lagoon (Agua Hedionda Lagoon), follow the creek up all the way back in the backwaters to El Camino Real, and come north to the northern boundary of Carlsbad at the mall area. She added that she did not know what that did to population numbers, but that there is a community of interest that is called the old Carlsbad.*

*Seeing no one else wishing to speak, Chair Fabiano closed the duly noticed public hearing at 10:23 p.m.*

**DEPARTMENT REPORT:**

2. **COMMISSION WORKSHOP ITEM TO ALLOW MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC TO OFFER INPUT ON THE 2017 COUNCIL ADOPTED DISTRICT MAP AND SUGGESTIONS FOR THE FUTURE DISTRICT MAP** – Provide an opportunity for members of the public to offer input on the 2017 City Council adopted district map and suggestions for the future district map. The Commission will discuss and determine the amount of time allotted to each speaker prior to commencement of the item. (Staff Contact: Sheila Cobian, City Manager's Office)

*Arnie Cohen, representing a group (Brian Flock, George Corales, Terri Cohen, Lawrence Posner, and Katheryn Miller), reviewed a PowerPoint Presentation that highlighted suggestions for commission consideration to use during the process and reviewed recently created maps (on file in the Office of the City Clerk). Mr. Cohen addressed the city's Growth Management Plan explaining that the population does not line up as there are 70,000 people south of Palomar Airport Road and using Palomar Airport Road as a boundary would be difficult. Mr. Cohen expressed his concern with the coast being in all one district and added that it was important to have a variety of council members representing different areas. Mr. Cohen spoke on how Maptitude is more complicated to use. He inquired on what happens when a District R map is updated by NDC that is currently under 10% and goes over 10% following the update. He further inquired if the preparers of the map would get an opportunity to make the changes.*

*Jeanette C. expanded on her previous comment pertaining to District 1. She explained that her boundary line does not follow a road and that it follows the topography and added that perhaps by using this as a divider there would not be so many people divided. She expressed her support for Mr. Cohen's statement that each council member should have a foothold on the coast. She also addressed potential growth, adding that no one knows if the proposed housing will be built in District 1. She further added that residents don't always attend the school near where they live.*

Council discussion ensued following public comments.

**ANNOUNCEMENTS:** None.

**CITY ATTORNEY COMMENTS:** None.

**ADJOURNMENT:** Chair Fabiano adjourned the duly noticed meeting at 11:02 a.m.

---

Sherry Freisinger  
Deputy City Clerk



# Minutes

Jan. 13, 2022, 6 p.m.

Virtual Meeting  
Council Chamber  
1200 Carlsbad Village Drive  
Carlsbad, CA 92008

**CALL TO ORDER:** 6 p.m.

**ROLL CALL:** Fabiano, Stanley, Ashton, Harris, Sardina, Cadwallader, Arndt.

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:** Vice Chair Stanley led the Pledge of Allegiance.

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES:** None.

**PUBLIC COMMENT:** There were no public speakers for non-agenda public comment.

**PUBLIC HEARING:**

1. **PUBLIC HEARING REGARDING DRAFT MAPS OF THE CITY'S PROPOSED VOTING DISTRICTS** –  
That the Independent Redistricting Commission hold a public hearing and receive public comment regarding draft maps of the city's proposed voting districts. Following the close of the public hearing, the Commission will:
  1. Discuss and vote on which map groupings should be eliminated from consideration
  2. Discuss and vote on which maps to move forward in each grouping for consideration at a subsequent meeting of the Commission
  3. Provide direction to the demographer regarding any maps the Commission would like to have adjusted, drawn and analyzed at a future meeting. (Staff Contact: Faviola Medina, City Clerk's Office)

National Demographics Corporation (NDC) representative Shannon Kelly reviewed a PowerPoint presentation (on file in the Office of the City Clerk).

*Chair Fabiano opened the duly noticed public hearing at 6:21 p.m.*

The following members of the public called into the Independent Redistricting Commission meeting to voice their comments:

*Kris Wright thanked the commission and stated that she submitted map no. 94249 on Dec. 16, 2021 and it is a four coastal district map submitted via the District R program. She explained that she tried to keep the council members in their original districts. She further explained that she used Cannon Road and El Camino Real as boundaries and kept the Bressi Ranch and Rancho Carlsbad areas together. She added that she had a very low standard deviation population number of 0.16%. She also added that she liked the idea of a four-coast district. She commented that the individuals that drew up the current map were from the southern portion of Carlsbad, and many streets are divided in half. She further added that she*



*drew the map as fairly as possible excluding the errors made with the current district map, and hopes the commission considers her map.*

*Anthony Bona explained that he lives in District 1 and asked the commission why it was focusing on four districts. He added that he had submitted six district maps. He further added that the coastal area should be its own district and that people who live on the coast have other needs and desires. He also asked the commission if it had considered homeless people. He further added that he noticed some of the maps changed the numbers of some districts that seems politically motivated.*

*Arnie Cohen explained that he was one of the originators of the city's current district map. He further explained that the focus in creating the map was on the coast and that the reason the horizontal design was used was that every council member needed to take into consideration the impact of traffic going in either direction throughout the city. Mr. Cohen explained that one of the reasons for having four beach districts, was that the issues varied from north to south and having different council members represent the different areas is important. He explained that the potential of the state giving the city control over its portion of the beach will provide competing priorities and having all council members with an interest in the coast will be important. He addressed the issue of people just discovering what district they are in and using a quadrant map may make people vote differently than they did in the past election just after discovering what district they reside in. He further addressed the difference in the population numbers between the District R and Maptitude mapping programs. He stated he was concerned about created maps where the population deviation was under the 10% requirement that are now over the 10% once they were put into the Maptitude program.*

*John User expressed his concern that the commission was not presented with maps that caused the minimum amount of change to the existing districts. He explained that District 4 was way within the boundaries of the statistical requirements. He added he thought District 3 was also within the requirements, but said it depended on the areas along the eastern border which he felt were census block numbers and that if District 3 is not within the 10% mark from the highest to the smallest, its only off by a little which means there would be very little to change. He added that District 1 was too low, and District 2 was too large. He suggested the commission move the eastern boundary from District 1 into District 2 and the northern section to get within the 10% criteria as most of the new housing will be in the northern part of the city. He explained that the map he submitted met that criteria he just described, adding his map leaves Districts 4 and 3 the same and District 1 is pushed into District 2. He further added that many maps have east-west boundaries that change the boundary between Districts 3 and 4 when there's no need for that.*

*Dee L. expressed her opposition to creating a separate district along the coastline adding that every homeowner, taxpayer and legal resident is part of the Carlsbad coastal community. She added that by creating a separate coastal district from the northern to southern limits of the city and east to 1-5 would be creating a segregated, socioeconomic community based on income and further added that there are higher salaries and incomes necessary as one move's closer to the coast. She explained that for purposes of fair and effective representation each district should be equally represented by all income levels. She also expressed her support for*

*the current district map with a few modifications. She explained that she lives in a community she thought was census unit 20 and some of the single-family homes on streets built as a planned community are divided and that one side of the street is one district and the other side of the street is another district. She added that all homes that were built as a planned community in the late 70s and constructed and sold as a community should all be part of the same voting district. She further explained that the current boundaries take Oxford Street, Lyons Court and York Road and split them down the middle with each side belonging to a different district. She explained that this does not appear to coincide with the city's community of interest definition.*

*Steve Puterski introduced himself as an alternate member of the Independent Redistricting Commission. He expressed that a couple of small adjustments to the existing map is all that is needed. He added that a couple of speakers expressed the same and most of the letters submitted to the commission say the same thing. He informed the commission that if it gets too radical some of the voters in Districts 1 and 3, which have an election this year, may not be able to vote until the next election. He suggested that this was a risk the commission should not be taking. He added that the current map does a good job of setting the boundaries and communities of interest with development, that's a tough sell to have the commission to consider. He further added the commission should not necessarily take into account future development and population as things could change within a 10-year time.*

*Hearing no one else wishing to speak, Chair Fabiano closed the duly noticed Public Hearing at 6:39 p.m.*

Commission discussion ensued regarding the timing of and procedure to follow to eliminate certain maps from consideration and move forward with selected maps.

Ms. Kelly explained that in narrowing down the maps the commission is serving the public as it is difficult for the public to focus when there are too many maps for consideration. She also explained that nothing precludes public comment along the way. Ms. Kelly further added that the coastline was the commission's number one physical boundary and attribute in the city.

In response to an inquiry from Commission Member Ashton, Assistant City Attorney Cindie McMahon confirmed that the process for selecting final maps from whatever the contenders might be was not on tonight's agenda and that the hope was for the commission to narrow and or provide the feedback on what it would like staff or the demographer to focus on as the commission goes forward in the process now that the public hearings have completed, meaningful public comment has been received and there is a good selection of maps to consider. She added that the commission is not required to eliminate or provide that all or no maps go forward but rather provide the opportunity for discussion and further added that Item No. 3 is the most important task for the commission as it ensures the demographer understands going forward, as there not many more meetings left, what if anything is missing from the selection the commission would like to see, what kind of adjustments the commission would like to make or are interested in. She explained that if there are things that should not go forward, staff wanted to provide the opportunity for the commission

members to express their thoughts. She further explained that if the commission is unable to get its work done by the Feb. 17, another meeting can be called but scheduling another meeting is not as easy as it may sound.

Chair Fabiano requested each commissioner put forth two, three or four maps for discussion.

Director of Legislative and Constituent Services Sheila Cobian requested the commission make a motion and vote on the procedure for this meeting.

Motion by Vice Chair Stanley, seconded by Commission Member Cadwallader to give their recommendations of maps. The motion carried 6/1 (Ashton – No).

Commission Member Harris explained that he liked map nos. NDC 101 and 94249. He added that he was also in favor of adjusting the current map made in 2017-2018.

Commission Member Cadwallader explained that she liked map nos. 96786 and 96777. She also suggested eliminating map no. 82160.

Commission Member Ashton abstained from providing comments.

Commission Member Sardina expressed that she liked map nos. 97774, 77607, 80687 would like to see neighborhood maps placed over the selected maps.

Vice Chair Stanley expressed that she liked map nos. 97192, NDC 101, 96786.

Commission Member Arndt expressed that she would like to adjust the current map and liked map nos. 69103 and 80687 and was okay with map no. D49365.

Chair Fabiano expressed that he liked map nos. 96786, 79064, 96777 and 96973.

Ms. Kelly explained that she heard several adjustments commission members would like made and asked if it was possible to get direction from the commission so NDC could prepare one or two new maps.

In response to an inquiry from Commission Member Ashton, Ms. McMahon explained that staff has received various questions from commission members over time, and staff is mindful of the Brown Act. She added that any questions received, or answers provided will be included in the commission's agenda packet.

In response to Commission Member Sardina's inquiry regarding an overlay of the neighborhood map on some of the more popular maps for the commission's next meeting, Ms. Kelly responded that she would investigate the neighborhood map overlay and the school district map overlay and how to provide it to the commission. Ms. Kelly requested formal direction.

Commission Member Sardina stated she would send the request to City Clerk Services Manager.

Ms. Cobian asked the commission if it would like to provide any comments or adjustments to identified maps to Ms. Kelly at this meeting.

Commission members provided adjustments they would like to see made to identified maps to Ms. Kelly.

In response to Commission Member Ashton's inquiry regarding if when the adjustments are made Ms. Kelly could attach the amount of population that is moving from one district to another as an overlay, Ms. Kelly explained that she could not commit to this being a complete overlay and would investigate. Ms. Kelly added that she could not commit the format the commission would see this information in, but the numbers would be provided.

In response to Commission Member Ashton's inquiry regarding the difference the commission would see when a map is put into calipers, Ms. Kelly explained that all 42 maps the commission was presented with at this meeting were put through calipers. She added that the only changes NDC made to maps were to those created in District R, and are done as a cleanup during the transition of the map between the District R and Maptitude mapping program.

In response to an inquiry from Commission Member Cadwallader, Ms. Kelly explained that for the population to be balanced, they use the adjusted state general population figure as it is a raw population number and when a deviation number that is less than 10% is needed, the raw population number is used. She added that demographic data is provided by the Census Bureau using CVAP (Citizen's Voting Age Population) and that the Census Bureau obtains this information from surveys in shorter census forms and provides it in that category. She further added that CVAP is also important when it comes to federal voting rights act violations and that court cases always refer to CVAP data.

In response to an inquiry from Commission Member Ashton, Ms. Cobian stated the maps could be provided in numerical order in the next commission agenda packet.

**STAFF AND COMMITTEE MEMBER REQUESTS FOR FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS:**

**ANNOUNCEMENTS:** None.

**CITY ATTORNEY COMMENTS:** None.

**ADJOURNMENT:** Chair Fabiano adjourned the duly noticed meeting at 8:25 p.m.

---

Sherry Freisinger  
Deputy City Clerk



# Minutes

Jan. 27, 2022, 4 p.m.

**CALL TO ORDER:** 4 p.m.

**ROLL CALL:** Fabiano, Stanley, Ashton, Harris, Sardina, Cadwallader, Arndt.

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:** Vice Chair Stanley led the Pledge of Allegiance.

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES:**

Minutes of the Regular Meeting held Nov. 18, 2021

Minutes of the Regular Meeting held Dec. 16, 2021

Commission Member Sardina requested that the Nov. 18 minutes be amended to reflect her comment on page two of said minutes that she would like to see a map with a beach in every district.

Motion by Vice Stanley, seconded by Commission Member Sardina, to approve the Minutes of Nov. 18, 2021 as amended by Commission Member Sardina – 7/0.

Motion by Vice Chair Stanley, seconded by Commission Member Harris, to approve the Minutes of Dec. 16, 2021 – 7/0.

**PUBLIC COMMENT:** None.

**DEPARTMENT REPORTS:**

1. **PUBLIC INPUT RECEIVED IN THE REDISTRICTING PROCESS** – Receive and discuss correspondence received from Jan. 13, 2022 to Jan. 19, 2022 and additional survey results received from Dec. 9, 2021 to Jan. 19, 2022. (Staff Contact: Kristina Ray, Communication & Engagement Department)

Communication & Engagement Director Kristina Ray and Community Relations Manager Bree Robertoy introduced the item and were available to answer commission members' questions.

In response to an inquiry from Commission Member Ashton, Ms. Ray explained that she has noticed the public become more engaged as a result of the enhanced outreach.

Commission members thanked the public for their comments and participation in the redistricting process.

No public comment was received for this item.

2. APPROVAL OF A METHOD TO NARROW DOWN AND SELECT THE FINAL CITY COUNCIL DISTRICT MAP – By motion, approve a method to narrow down and select a final City Council district map. (Staff Contact: Sheila Cobian, City Manager’s Office)

Director of Legislative and Constituent Services Sheila Cobian reviewed a PowerPoint Presentation (on file in the Office of the City Clerk).

*Commission Member Arndt left the meeting at 4:13 p.m. due to technical difficulties.*

*Chair Fabiano declared a brief recess at 4:16 p.m.*

*Chair Fabiano called reconvened the meeting at 4:21 p.m. with all members present.*

Chair Fabiano requested that commission members provide their preference on how to proceed.

Commission Member Ashton expressed her support for Option No. 1.

In response to an inquiry from Vice Chair Stanley, Ms. Cobian clarified that maps that were selected during the Jan. 13, 2022 public hearing that also included minor changes made by NDC were included as the commission would be narrowing its choice.

Vice Chair Stanley expressed that a cutoff date for submitting maps should be required.

Commission Member Harris expressed his support for Option No. 2 narrowed down.

Commission Member Sardina expressed her support for Option No. 1. She further requested that once final maps are selected, a summary on the maps indicating how the maps met federal and state criteria would be provided for the public to see.

NDC representative Shannon Kelly responded that the maps needed to meet the criteria and there may be a way to provide the summary at a high level that could also include deviation numbers. Ms. Kelly further clarified that the commission met the criteria with the chosen maps.

Commission Member Cadwallader expressed that she would like the commission to consider the new maps not viewed during the Jan. 13, 2022 public hearing and determine if any had merit to put onto a short list which would include the recently revised map by NDC and new ones from the public. She additionally reminded the commission that Commission Member Ashton did not provide any map selections during the Jan. 13 meeting and her map selections should be included and considered.

Ms. Cobian reminded the commission that the selection process of maps will be considered under the next agenda item.

Commission Member Cadwallader requested that the process should acknowledge the commission will perform this procedure.

Commission Member Cadwallader explained that she could not choose between Option No. 1 and Option No. 2. She added that she liked the process conducted during the Jan. 13 meeting where everyone spoke up, said which ones they preferred, and anything that had a vote stayed on a list. She added that the commission should focus on maps that are liked by commission members. She further added that the commission should have an opportunity to improve ones that are on the short list.

In response to an inquiry from Commission Member Arndt, Ms. Kelly explained that the commission received all the maps submitted before the Jan. 14 deadline. She added that the commission was provided maps that were adjusted by NDC per the requests provided requests to include a map that contained one other adjustment requested by a commissioner that would be discussed during the next agenda item.

Commission Member Arndt expressed her support for Option No. 1. She added that she liked the idea of being able to offer suggestions to adjust the final maps chosen and would like to also have a deadline set.

Chair Fabiano expressed his support for Option No. 1. He added that the commission should make a list and narrow down to those maps that have the support of one commissioner and continue until the decision is narrowed down to three or four maps.

Commission Member Ashton explained that Option No. 1 was like the process of map selection that took place during the Jan. 13, 2022 public hearing. She suggested that the commission incorporate Commission Member Cadwallader's suggestions into the process.

Chair Fabiano clarified that he would like the commission to narrow down the map selection to three or four maps.

Vice Chair Stanley inquired if the commission wanted to add more criteria to the process.

Assistant City Attorney Cindie McMahon clarified that the consensus of the commission was the support of some version of Option No. 1, and before the narrowing process of maps begins there would be a discussion to ensure there was a universe of preferred maps that was complete and included Commission Member Ashton's selections as well as any interim selection discussed during this meeting. She explained that this universe of maps would be used by the commission during its narrowing process. She further explained that there was a consensus that the commission could vote for its top three but are not necessarily tied to the top six, as it would be dependent on the results of what the top 3 looked like, and what the next voting was like. She added that the commission would keep narrowing down the selection until it selected three or four maps.

Ms. McMahon further added that before the final selection is made, the commission requested a summary explaining how the contender maps for the final hearing met the criteria that the commission needs to use to make its decision.

Motion by Commission Member Cadwallader, seconded by Commission Member Ashton, to follow the clarification of the process of map selection as stated by Assistant City Attorney McMahon. Motion carried unanimously, 7/0.

No public comment was received for this item.

3. REVIEW OF DRAFT MAPS – 1) Receive a presentation from National Demographics Corporation providing an overview of the draft maps submitted by members of the public by Jan. 14, 2022, and the draft maps prepared by National Demographics Corporation based on the feedback provided at the Jan. 13, 2022, meeting; and  
2) By motion, identify and select maps to focus on for consideration at the Feb. 17, 2022, public hearing and identify any desired map revisions. (Staff Contact: Faviola Medina, City Clerk's Office)

NDC representative Shannon Kelly reviewed a PowerPoint presentation (on file in the Office of the City Clerk).

Commission discussion ensued regarding adjustments NDC made to maps NDC 101, NDC 101B and NDC 101C, map nos. 96786b and 96977 as well as census block cleanup. Ms. Kelly explained that census block cleanup will be conducted when the final map is chosen. Ms. Kelly also introduced map NDC 105 based on commission discussion from the meeting of Jan. 13, 2022.

*President of the Carlsbad Equality Coalition Allie Bradenberg explained that based on community input received, it would be best and most representative to keep the lines as similar as possible, and therefore recommended map NDC101.*

*Arnie Cohen expressed that the four districts touching the coast concept is really two categories, the ones like NDC101 and the current map which include a portion of population along the coast, primarily the Ponto area. He added that the other groupings are ones that just have a strip that runs along the south end of Batiquitos Lagoon and have no population. He explained that if the commission uses one of the maps with the narrow strip, the District 4 representative would not have any constituents along the coast, adding that it was important to keep some population for District 4. He reminded the commission that the Ponto area residents were able to be influential in selecting a District 4 representative during the last election and if the residents of the Ponto area were switched to District 3, they would then be involved in selecting a second city council person. He pointed out that there were important issues along the southern beach area and would like the commission to discuss whether this group of people should have a voice in two council seats so quickly. He also added that he was not in favor of quadrant maps, expressing that they violate the federal guidelines as that would switch people all over the city between districts.*



*Vanessa Forsythe explained that she resided in District 4, has lived in Carlsbad for 20 years, and felt it was important to keep District 4 as much as possible to what it was, and include Ponto Beach. She added that she was part of the Encinitas and San Dieguito School districts. She further added that she was opposed to having two districts along the coast and wanted four coastal districts.*

*John User expressed that the quadrant maps were submitted with very little changes, one right after another. He explained that in District 4, the numbers were well within the deviation allowance. He also saw no reason to change the boundary line, adding that District 3 is almost in that same category. He further expressed that he did not understand why, to stay with the minimum changes, the commission was not just paying attention to the four coastal zones as the quadrant maps do not meet the criteria.*

Chair Fabiano requested the commission members select the three maps they would like to bring forward for commission consideration.

Commission Member Ashton explained that based on the criteria alone, she selected map nos. 96973, 80687 and 97774.

Assistant City Attorney McMahan clarified that it was her understanding that the commission was to have agreement on the universe of maps from which to narrow.

Discussion ensued among the commission members, Ms. McMahan and Ms. Cobian, clarifying which maps the commission would be considering adding to a list to begin the narrowing process.

Commission Member Arndt expressed that she changed her mind and requested the commission consider map nos. 96786B, and NDC 101B. She added that all four districts needed to touch the coast.

Commission Member Ashton explained that one of the three maps she had chosen could be from an "all maps" pile.

Vice Chair Stanley explained that the four districts need to touch the coast. She added that she selected map no. 78343.

Chair Fabiano inquired if there were any further commission member map selection changes.

*Chair Fabiano declared a recess at 5:45 p.m. to allow commission members time to review Commission Member Ashton's selection of maps, the new maps provided by NDC for this meeting (drawn by NDC and the public provided) map no. NDC 101C, and map selection changes made by commission members.*

*Chair Fabiano reconvened the meeting at 5:55 p.m.*

Ms. Kelly informed the commission that map no. 96786B does have deviation numbers above 10% that will also need to be adjusted again.

Chair Fabiano requested the commission members provide their map selection(s).

Commission Member Ashton explained that she narrowed down her selection to one based on criteria, and it was map no. 96973.

Commission Member Harris selected map no. NDC 101.

Commission Member Sardina selected map nos. 96973 and 97774.

Commission Member Arndt selected map nos. NDC 101 and 96786B, adding that she would like the map to be adjusted to get the deviation under 10%.

Commission Member Cadwallader selected map no. NDC 101C.

Vice Chair Stanley selected map no. NDC101B with a minor adjustment by the coast, and map nos. 96786 and 96876 and added that she would like District 1 to come to Cannon Road.

Chair Fabiano selected map nos. 96973 and 96786.

In response to an inquiry from Commission Member Ashton, Ms. Kelly explained that it was difficult to tell who submitted a map in either the Maptitude or District R programs.

At the request of Chair Fabiano, commission members stated their reasons for their map(s) selection(s).

Commission Member Arndt explained that she also liked all of the NDC 101 maps.

Vice Chair Stanley adjusted her map selection to map nos. 96786B and the NDC 101B.

City Clerk Services Manager Faviola Medina clarified with Commission Member Arndt that her choice of maps is NDC 101 and 96786B.

Chair Fabiano asked commission members if it was possible to narrow the choice of maps to one four district coast map and one two district coast map.

Commission Member Cadwallader requested to discuss map no. NDC 101 and its variants.

Commission discussion ensued about map no. NDC 101 and map no. NDC 101C.

Consensus of the commission was that they favored map no. NDC 101C.

Commission Member Arndt asked if the commission could have two coastal maps and one quadrant map.

Chair Fabiano asked to review map no. 96973.

Commission discussion ensued on moving specific neighborhoods from District 3 to District 2 and possibly District 4 and the impact such adjustments would cause to the balance of population numbers.

Commission Member Ashton asked if changes could be made to map no. 96973 by NDC and be presented at the next commission meeting to be held on Feb. 17, 2022.

Chair Fabiano added that the original map no. 96973 should be presented alongside adjusted map no. 96973.

The commission continued to discuss possible adjustments to map no. 96973.

Ms. Kelly asked if she could present the adjustments on map no. 96973 to city staff to share with a representative of the commission to verify that NDC made the correct changes.

Ms. McMahon responded that Ms. Kelly's request is usually undertaken by a commission subcommittee and that the creation of a subcommittee was not noticed on the agenda, as such, under the Brown Act, it is not possible.

Ms. Kelly asked if she could return to the commission with two adjusted map no. 96973 options in case NDC is unable to make all the commission's requested changes and have the map stay in compliance.

Commission Member Cadwallader responded that a non-compliant map should not be presented to the commission.

Consensus by the commission was for Ms. Kelly to not present a non-compliant adjusted map.

Ms. McMahon reiterated the goals the commission wanted Ms. Kelly to meet on the adjusted version of map no. 96973 to include La Costa Glen in District 4 and include the sliver (La Costa Ridge) of the gated community in District 4.

Chair Fabiano asked commission members if they wanted to move the La Costa Greens sliver currently in District 3 into District 2.

Ms. McMahon suggested the commission determine the priority order of the neighborhood moves for Ms. Kelly in case she is unable to make all three moves she would then know which move has a higher priority.

At the suggestion of Commission Member Cadwallader, commission consensus of neighborhood moves to adjust map no. 96973 by priority are as follows:

- 1) La Costa Glen
- 2) La Costa Ridge
- 3) La Costa Greens

Following the suggestion of Ms. McMahon, Chair Fabiano provided a synopsis of what the commission would be submitting to the public: Map no. NDC 101C, existing map no. 96973, and either one or two but no more than two adjustments to map no. 96973 that Ms. Kelly is going to make per the priorities and instructions provided by the commission.

Commission Member Cadwallader explained that based upon her calculations, existing map no. 96973 could be improved with the suggested adjustments and there was no need to submit and include the existing map.

Consensus of the commission was not to include existing map no. 96973 if the adjusted map contained the move of the La Costa Glen neighborhood.

Commission Member Arndt asked if adjustments could be made to map no. 96786B.

Commission discussion ensued on this map at which time, Ms. Kelly reminded the commission map no. 96786B was out of balance and not in compliance.

Commission discussion ensued on map no. 96786.

Motion by Commission Member Ashton, seconded by Commission Member Arndt to present map no. NDC 101C, adjusted map no. 96786b and one or two adjusted maps for map no. 96973.

Commission Member Cadwallader asked for clarification about the numbers of maps that will be presented for public comment stating that map no. 96973 could have two adjusted maps and could there be more than one variant map no. 96786.

Chair Fabiano and Ms. Kelly confirmed that there would be only one adjusted map no. 96786.

Commission Member Cadwallader stated she had additional adjustments for map no. 96786.

Additional commission discussion ensued on adjustments to map no. 96786.

Ms. Kelly confirmed that all of the suggested adjustments by the commission to map no. 96786 would be undertaken by NDC.

Commission Member Ashton and Commission Member Arndt approved the additional changes to map no. 96786 following their motion. The motion carried unanimously 7/0.

**STAFF AND COMMITTEE MEMBER REQUESTS FOR FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS:**

**ANNOUNCEMENTS:** None.

**CITY ATTORNEY COMMENTS:** None.

**ADJOURNMENT:** Chair Fabiano adjourned the duly noticed meeting at 7:53 p.m.

---

Sherry Freisinger  
Deputy City Clerk



## INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

**Meeting Date:** Feb. 17, 2022

**To:** Independent Redistricting Commission

**Staff Contact:** Sheila Cobian, Legislative & Constituent Services Director  
sheila.cobian@carlsbadca.gov, 760-434-2917

Faviola Medina, City Clerk Services Manager  
faviola.medina@carlsbadca.gov, 760-434-5989

**Subject:** Final Public Hearing to Select a Map of the City's Voting Districts

### Recommended Action

1. Hold the fourth and final public hearing and receive public comment regarding draft maps of the city's proposed voting districts; and
2. Adopt a resolution approving the final map of four City Council Districts based on the 2020 Decennial Federal Census.

### Discussion

Pursuant to California Elections Code Section 21627.1, before adopting a final map in the redistricting process, the commission must hold at least four public hearings at which the public is invited to provide input regarding the composition of one or more districts. At least one public hearing must be held before the commission draws a draft map or maps of the proposed City Council district boundaries. The first public hearing held on Sept. 23, 2021, satisfied this requirement.

Additionally, at least one public hearing or public workshop must be held on a Saturday, on a Sunday, or after 6 p.m. on a weekday Monday through Friday. Tonight's public hearing satisfies this requirement. The second public hearing held on Nov. 13, 2021 and the third public hearing held on Jan. 13, 2022 also satisfied this requirement.<sup>1</sup>

Based on public input and other legally required criteria, the City's redistricting consultant/demographer has prepared proposed draft district maps for public review and comment. These maps, along with maps drawn by members of the public are publicly available on the City's website: <https://www.carlsbadca.gov/city-hall/city-council/redistricting/maps>.

---

<sup>1</sup> A public hearing may potentially satisfy more than one requirement. The commission's public hearing schedule has been designed to meet or exceed the statutory public hearing requirements.

The intention of this public hearing is to receive final public comment regarding draft maps of the city's proposed voting districts. While all public input concerning the composition of the city's districts should be considered, all maps must meet the following federal requirements:

1. Population equality across districts.
2. Race cannot be the predominant factor or criteria when drawing districts.
3. Compliance with the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965, which, among other things, prohibits districts that dilute minority voting rights and encourages a majority-minority district if the minority group is sufficiently large and such a district can be drawn without race being the predominant factor.

Additionally, the maps must also meet the following state requirements:

1. Maps must be drawn using the following criteria in prioritized order:
  - District boundaries must be geographically contiguous to the extent practicable
  - Districts boundaries must minimize the division of neighborhoods and communities of interest to the extent practicable
  - Districts boundaries must be easily identifiable and understandable by residents and, to the extent practicable, be bounded by natural and artificial barriers, streets, or city boundaries
  - To the extent practicable and where not in conflict with the preceding criteria, district boundaries must be geographically compact
2. Maps must not favor or discriminate against a political party
3. Maps must be accompanied by information on the total population, citizen voting age population, and racial and ethnic characteristics of the citizen voting age population.
4. Maps prepared by the city or city contractors may not be released earlier than three weeks after the state redistricting database is publicly available.

At the Commission's regular meeting held on Jan. 27, 2022, the Commission voted to narrow down the number of maps to be focused on at the fourth public hearing. At that meeting the Commission narrowed the focus to the following maps:

- NDC 101c
- d96973 with adjustments noted below
- d96786 with adjustments noted below

The Commission requested the following changes to map d96973, with the changes being prioritized in the following order:

1. Move La Costa Glen community to District 4
2. Keep a gated community together by moving homes west of Xana Way to District 4
3. Keep the La Costa Greens and La Costa Ridge neighborhoods together by moving part of La Costa Greens to District 2

The outcome of the changes being requested to map d96973 resulted in the creation of two new maps because all three items could not be achieved in one map due to population balance issues. New map d96973b accomplishes priority one moving La Costa Glen to District 4. New map d96973c accomplishes priorities two and three keeping a gated community together by moving homes west of Xana Way to District 4 and keeping La Costa Greens and La Costa Ridge

neighborhoods together by moving part of La Costa Green to District 2. Maps accomplishing priorities one and two and priorities one and three are not provided as they would not be population balanced.

The commission requested the following changes to map d96786:

1. Move Bressi Ranch neighborhood into District 2
2. Move an area near McClellan-Palomar Airport into District 3

The outcome of the requested changes resulted in the creation of a new map. New map d96786c attempts to swap Bressi Ranch with the community identified south of Palomar Airport Road and west of Aviara Parkway.

In addition to the above requested changes, the Commission requested that the coastline district boundaries be cleaned up. These changes have been made to maps NDC 101c and d96786c.

The commission also received 11 new maps from the community (Exhibit 2). The commission may select the final map from any legal draft map that was posted on the internet for at least seven days before the public hearing.

#### **Fiscal Analysis**

This action has no fiscal impact.

#### **Next Steps**

The resolution selecting the final map is effective upon adoption. The final map will be codified in the Carlsbad Municipal Code, transmitted to the San Diego County Registrar of Voters, and publicized to the community. The final will apply to Districts 1 and 3 beginning with the November 2022 election. The final map will apply to Districts 2 and 4 beginning with the 2024 election. The record of the redistricting proceedings will be maintained on an internet web page dedicated to redistricting for at least 10 years.

#### **Environmental Evaluation**

In keeping with California Public Resources Code Section 21065, this action does not constitute a “project” within the meaning of the California Environmental Quality Act in that it has no potential to cause either a direct physical change in the environment, or a reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment.

#### **Public Notification and Outreach**

This agenda item was noticed in keeping with the Ralph M. Brown Act and California Elections Code Section 21628(c) and it was available for public viewing and review at least 5 days before the scheduled public hearing date. Furthermore, all maps for the Commission’s consideration were posted on the internet and made available at least seven days prior to the public hearing in accordance with California Elections Code Section 21628(d)(1).


Prior to this public hearing, the city conducted outreach in both English and Spanish to encourage public participation in both the redistricting process in general, and this public hearing in particular. A notice of this public hearing was published in English and in Spanish in the Feb. 11, 2022, edition of the San Diego Union-Tribune.



## Exhibits


1. Maps identified for consideration by the Independent Districting Commission at their Jan. 27, 2022, meeting with Attachment A – Comparison Table of Focus and New Commission Request Maps
2. Draft maps prepared by members of the public between Jan. 14 and Feb. 1, 2022
3. Resolution approving the final map of four City Council Districts based on the 2020 Decennial Federal Census.
4. Current City Council Districts Map
5. Correspondence received (Jan. 28 through Feb. 9, 2022)

# Carlsbad 2021 Redistricting



Map 101c						
District		1	2	3	4	Total
	Total Pop	28,217	27,878	29,096	29,762	114,953
	Deviation from ideal	-521	-860	358	1,024	1,884
	% Deviation	-1.81%	-2.99%	1.25%	3.56%	6.56%
Total Pop	% Hisp	19.9%	18%	12%	11%	15%
	% NH White	69%	62%	70%	75%	69%
	% NH Black	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%
	% Asian-American	6%	14%	12%	10%	10%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	21,206	17,188	22,386	21,474	82,254
	% Hisp	15%	15%	9%	8%	12%
	% NH White	76%	71%	78%	83%	78%
	% NH Black	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	6%	10%	11%	7%	8%
Voter Registration (Nov 2020)	Total	19,835	18,237	21,089	22,296	81,457
	% Latino est.	13%	11%	8%	7%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	12%	10%	7%	6%	9%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	7%	6%	4%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	83%	78%	85%	87%	84%
	% NH Black	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)	Total	17,349	16,472	19,252	20,350	73,423
	% Latino est.	12%	10%	7%	7%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	10%	7%	6%	8%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	6%	6%	4%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	84%	79%	85%	88%	84%
	% NH Black	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)	Total	13,584	12,068	15,021	16,297	56,970
	% Latino est.	11%	9%	7%	6%	8%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	10%	8%	6%	6%	7%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	5%	5%	4%	4%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	85%	82%	87%	89%	86%
	% NH Black est.	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	27,200	25,824	30,647	29,091	112,763
Age	age0-19	20%	29%	26%	26%	25%
	age20-60	56%	50%	51%	47%	51%
	age60plus	24%	21%	23%	26%	24%
Immigration	immigrants	12%	18%	16%	13%	15%
	naturalized	60%	66%	71%	79%	69%
Language spoken at home	english	85%	77%	83%	86%	83%
	spanish	11%	11%	6%	6%	8%
	asian-lang	1%	5%	5%	4%	4%
	other lang	3%	6%	6%	5%	5%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	5%	8%	4%	4%	5%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	34%	28%	25%	25%	28%
	bachelor	30%	31%	38%	36%	34%
	graduatedegree	21%	24%	26%	30%	26%
Child in Household	child-under18	25%	38%	35%	35%	33%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	67%	63%	66%	65%	65%
Household Income	income 0-25k	11%	10%	6%	5%	8%
	income 25-50k	15%	12%	10%	11%	12%
	income 50-75k	16%	12%	11%	9%	12%
	income 75-200k	43%	44%	46%	41%	44%
	income 200k-plus	14%	22%	27%	33%	24%
Housing Stats	single family	56%	71%	76%	82%	71%
	multi-family	44%	29%	24%	18%	29%
	rented	55%	31%	30%	23%	35%
	owned	45%	69%	70%	77%	65%
Total population data from the 2020 Decennial Census.						
Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.						
Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2015-2019 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.						


# Carlsbad 2021 Redistricting



©2021 CALIPER

d96786c						
Category	Field	1	2	3	4	Total
2020 Census	Total Population	27,665	27,746	29,942	29,600	114,953
	Population Deviation	-1,073	-992	1,204	862	2,277
	Pct. Deviation	-3.73%	-3.45%	4.19%	3.00%	7.92%
Total Pop.	Hispanic/Latino	20%	15%	15%	11%	15%
	NH White	68%	66%	68%	74%	69%
	NH Black	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%
	NH Asian/Pac.Isl.	8%	15%	14%	12%	12%
	NH Native Amer.	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	20,399	18,385	21,861	21,609	82,254
	Hisp	15%	11%	12%	9%	12%
	NH White	76%	75%	77%	81%	78%
	NH Black	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%
	Asian/Pac.Isl.	6%	10%	10%	9%	9%
	Native Amer.	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Voter Registration (Nov 2020)	Total	19,024	19,250	21,239	21,944	81,457
	Latino est.	13%	10%	9%	7%	9%
	Spanish-Surnamed	12%	9%	8%	7%	9%
	Asian-Surnamed	3%	6%	5%	5%	5%
	Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	NH White est.	84%	81%	85%	87%	84%
	NH Black	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)	Total	16,602	17,512	19,321	19,988	73,423
	Latino est.	12%	9%	8%	7%	9%
	Spanish-Surnamed	11%	9%	7%	6%	8%
	Asian-Surnamed	3%	6%	5%	5%	5%
	Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	NH White est.	84%	81%	85%	87%	84%
	NH Black	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)	Total	12,777	13,196	15,069	15,928	56,970
	Latino est.	11%	8%	7%	6%	8%
	Spanish-Surnamed	10%	8%	7%	6%	7%
	Asian-Surnamed	2%	5%	4%	4%	4%
	Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	NH White est.	85%	83%	87%	88%	86%
	NH Black est.	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%
Age	age0-19	20%	28%	26%	27%	25%
	age20-60	56%	50%	50%	49%	51%
	age60plus	24%	22%	24%	24%	24%
Immigration	immigrants	12%	16%	16%	14%	15%
	naturalized	60%	65%	72%	77%	69%
Language spoken at home	english	84%	82%	80%	85%	83%
	spanish	11%	7%	10%	5%	8%
	asian-lang	1%	5%	5%	4%	4%
	other lang	4%	6%	5%	5%	5%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	5%	5%	6%	4%	5%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	34%	30%	25%	24%	28%
	bachelor	30%	34%	35%	36%	34%
	graduatedegree	22%	24%	27%	29%	26%
Child in Household	child-under18	25%	38%	34%	38%	33%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	67%	64%	63%	67%	65%
Household Income	income 0-25k	12%	9%	8%	4%	8%
	income 25-50k	15%	11%	11%	11%	12%
	income 50-75k	16%	13%	11%	9%	12%
	income 75-200k	43%	46%	43%	43%	44%
	income 200k-plus	14%	22%	27%	33%	24%
Housing Stats	single family	53%	77%	78%	77%	71%
	multi-family	47%	23%	22%	23%	0%
	rented	57%	25%	32%	24%	35%
	owned	43%	75%	68%	76%	65%
Total population data from California's adjusted 2020 Census data. Citizen Voting Age Population, Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2015-2019 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data. Turnout and Registration data from California Statewide Database ("Latino" figures calculated by NDC using Census Bureau's Latino undercount by surname estimate).						


# Carlsbad 2021 Redistricting



©2021 CALIPER

d96973b						
Category	Field	1	2	3	4	Total
2020 Census	Total Population	28,217	27,928	28,264	30,544	114,953
	Population Deviation	-521	-810	-474	1,806	2,616
	Pct. Deviation	-1.81%	-2.82%	-1.65%	6.28%	9.10%
Total Pop.	Hispanic/Latino	20%	15%	15%	11%	15%
	NH White	69%	65%	68%	74%	69%
	NH Black	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%
	NH Asian/Pac.Isl.	8%	16%	13%	12%	12%
	NH Native Amer.	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	21,206	17,841	20,432	22,776	82,254
	Hisp	15%	11%	12%	8%	12%
	NH White	76%	75%	76%	81%	78%
	NH Black	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%
	Asian/Pac.Isl.	7%	10%	10%	9%	9%
	Native Amer.	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Voter Registration (Nov 2020)	Total	19,835	18,830	20,031	22,761	81,457
	Latino est.	13%	10%	8%	7%	9%
	Spanish-Surnamed	12%	9%	8%	7%	9%
	Asian-Surnamed	2%	6%	5%	4%	5%
	Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	NH White est.	84%	81%	85%	87%	84%
	NH Black	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)	Total	17,349	17,158	18,218	20,698	73,423
	Latino est.	12%	9%	8%	7%	9%
	Spanish-Surnamed	11%	8%	7%	6%	8%
	Asian-Surnamed	2%	6%	5%	4%	5%
	Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	NH White est.	84%	81%	85%	87%	84%
	NH Black	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)	Total	13,584	12,709	14,213	16,464	56,970
	Latino est.	11%	8%	7%	6%	8%
	Spanish-Surnamed	10%	8%	7%	6%	7%
	Asian-Surnamed	2%	5%	5%	4%	4%
	Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	NH White est.	85%	83%	87%	88%	86%
	NH Black est.	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
Age	age0-19	20%	29%	26%	26%	25%
	age20-60	56%	50%	50%	49%	51%
	age60plus	24%	21%	24%	24%	24%
Immigration	immigrants	12%	16%	16%	14%	15%
	naturalized	60%	65%	72%	77%	69%
Language spoken at home	english	85%	81%	81%	84%	83%
	spanish	11%	7%	9%	7%	8%
	asian-lang	1%	5%	5%	4%	4%
	other lang	3%	7%	5%	5%	5%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	5%	6%	6%	4%	5%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	34%	29%	24%	25%	28%
	bachelor	30%	35%	35%	35%	34%
	graduatedegree	21%	24%	29%	28%	26%
Child in Household	child-under18	25%	39%	33%	37%	33%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	67%	66%	61%	68%	65%
Household Income	income 0-25k	11%	8%	8%	5%	8%
	income 25-50k	15%	11%	12%	11%	12%
	income 50-75k	16%	11%	12%	9%	12%
	income 75-200k	43%	47%	41%	44%	44%
	income 200k-plus	14%	23%	27%	32%	24%
Housing Stats	single family	56%	78%	74%	77%	71%
	multi-family	44%	22%	26%	23%	0%
	rented	55%	24%	33%	26%	35%
	owned	45%	76%	67%	74%	65%
Total population data from California's adjusted 2020 Census data. Citizen Voting Age Population, Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2015-2019 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data. Turnout and Registration data from California Statewide Database ("Latino" figures calculated by NDC using Census Bureau's Latino undercount by surname estimate).						

# Carlsbad 2021 Redistricting



©2021 CALIPER



d96973c						
Category	Field	1	2	3	4	Total
2020 Census	Total Population	28,217	28,823	28,116	29,797	114,953
	Population Deviation	-521	85	-622	1,059	1,681
	Pct. Deviation	-1.81%	0.30%	-2.16%	3.69%	5.85%
Total Pop.	Hispanic/Latino	20%	16%	13%	11%	15%
	NH White	69%	64%	70%	73%	69%
	NH Black	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
	NH Asian/Pac.Isl.	8%	16%	13%	12%	12%
	NH Native Amer.	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	21,206	18,478	20,503	22,068	82,254
	Hisp	15%	12%	11%	9%	12%
	NH White	76%	75%	78%	80%	78%
	NH Black	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%
	Asian/Pac.Isl.	7%	10%	10%	9%	9%
	Native Amer.	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Voter Registration (Nov 2020)	Total	19,835	19,244	20,219	22,159	81,457
	Latino est.	13%	10%	8%	7%	9%
	Spanish-Surnamed	12%	9%	7%	7%	9%
	Asian-Surnamed	2%	6%	5%	4%	5%
	Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	NH White est.	84%	81%	85%	87%	84%
	NH Black	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)	Total	17,349	17,492	18,433	20,149	73,423
	Latino est.	12%	9%	7%	7%	9%
	Spanish-Surnamed	11%	9%	7%	7%	8%
	Asian-Surnamed	2%	6%	5%	4%	5%
	Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	NH White est.	84%	81%	85%	87%	84%
	NH Black	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)	Total	13,584	12,959	14,553	15,874	56,970
	Latino est.	11%	8%	7%	6%	8%
	Spanish-Surnamed	10%	8%	6%	6%	7%
	Asian-Surnamed	2%	5%	4%	4%	4%
	Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	NH White est.	85%	83%	87%	88%	86%
	NH Black est.	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%
Age	age0-19	20%	29%	26%	26%	25%
	age20-60	56%	50%	49%	50%	51%
	age60plus	24%	21%	26%	23%	24%
Immigration	immigrants	12%	16%	16%	14%	15%
	naturalized	60%	65%	72%	78%	69%
Language spoken at home	english	85%	81%	81%	84%	83%
	spanish	11%	7%	9%	7%	8%
	asian-lang	1%	5%	5%	4%	4%
	other lang	3%	7%	5%	5%	5%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	5%	6%	6%	4%	5%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	34%	29%	24%	25%	28%
	bachelor	30%	36%	35%	36%	34%
	graduatedegree	21%	24%	30%	28%	26%
Child in Household	child-under18	25%	39%	32%	38%	33%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	67%	66%	60%	69%	65%
Household Income	income 0-25k	11%	8%	8%	5%	8%
	income 25-50k	15%	11%	12%	10%	12%
	income 50-75k	16%	11%	12%	8%	12%
	income 75-200k	43%	46%	41%	44%	44%
	income 200k-plus	14%	23%	26%	32%	24%
Housing Stats	single family	56%	78%	73%	78%	71%
	multi-family	44%	22%	27%	22%	0%
	rented	55%	24%	35%	24%	35%
	owned	45%	76%	65%	76%	65%
Total population data from California's adjusted 2020 Census data. Citizen Voting Age Population, Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2015-2019 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data. Turnout and Registration data from California Statewide Database ("Latino" figures calculated by NDC using Census Bureau's Latino undercount by surname estimate).						

## NDC


## Map Comparison Table

2/7/2022

Map	Map Group	Population Balance	Contiguous	Compact	Voting Rights Act concerns
NDC 101c	Focus	6.56%	Yes	Yes	None
d96786c	Commission Request	7.92%	Yes	Yes	None
d96973b	Commission Request	9.10%	Yes	Yes	None
d96973c	Commission Request	5.85%	Yes	Yes	None


The evaluation of communities of interests and neighborhoods is more subject to Commissioner judgement.

# Carlsbad 2021 Redistricting



d103377						
District		1	2	3	4	Total
	Total Pop	29,371	29,683	29,085	26,814	114,953
	Deviation from ideal	633	945	347	-1,924	2,869
	% Deviation	2.20%	3.29%	1.21%	-6.69%	9.98%
Total Pop	% Hisp	20.2%	17%	12%	11%	15%
	% NH White	68%	63%	71%	74%	69%
	% NH Black	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%
	% Asian-American	6%	14%	12%	10%	10%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	21,765	19,065	21,515	19,910	82,254
	% Hisp	15%	14%	9%	9%	12%
	% NH White	76%	73%	79%	82%	78%
	% NH Black	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	6%	10%	10%	9%	8%
Voter Registration (Nov 2020)	Total	20,249	20,003	21,410	19,795	81,457
	% Latino est.	13%	11%	7%	7%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	12%	10%	7%	7%	9%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	7%	5%	4%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	83%	79%	86%	87%	84%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)	Total	17,702	18,120	19,578	18,023	73,423
	% Latino est.	12%	10%	7%	7%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	9%	6%	6%	8%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	6%	5%	4%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	84%	80%	86%	87%	84%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)	Total	13,669	13,562	15,401	14,338	56,970
	% Latino est.	11%	9%	6%	6%	8%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	10%	8%	6%	6%	7%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	5%	4%	4%	4%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	85%	82%	87%	89%	86%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	27,945	28,545	29,187	27,086	112,763
	age0-19	20%	30%	25%	26%	25%
	age20-60	56%	50%	50%	49%	51%
	age60plus	24%	20%	25%	25%	24%
	immigrants	12%	17%	15%	14%	15%
	naturalized	61%	65%	74%	77%	69%
Language spoken at home	english	85%	78%	84%	85%	83%
	spanish	11%	11%	5%	6%	8%
	asian-lang	1%	5%	5%	4%	4%
	other lang	4%	6%	5%	5%	5%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	5%	7%	4%	4%	5%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	34%	28%	25%	25%	28%
	bachelor	30%	32%	37%	36%	34%
	graduatedegree	21%	24%	29%	28%	26%
Child in Household	child-under18	25%	40%	33%	37%	33%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	67%	63%	64%	67%	65%
Household Income	income 0-25k	12%	10%	7%	5%	8%
	income 25-50k	15%	12%	10%	11%	12%
	income 50-75k	16%	12%	11%	9%	12%
	income 75-200k	43%	45%	44%	43%	44%
	income 200k-plus	14%	22%	28%	33%	24%
Housing Stats	single family	55%	73%	81%	76%	71%
	multi-family	45%	27%	19%	24%	29%
	rented	55%	29%	28%	25%	35%
	owned	45%	71%	72%	75%	65%
Total population data from the 2020 Decennial Census.						
Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.						
Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2015-2019 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.						


# Carlsbad 2021 Redistricting



©2021 CALIPER

d103378						
District		1	2	3	4	Total
	Total Pop	28,754	28,558	28,756	28,885	114,953
	Deviation from ideal	16	-180	18	147	327
	% Deviation	0.06%	-0.63%	0.06%	0.51%	1.14%
Total Pop	% Hisp	19.8%	18%	13%	10%	15%
	% NH White	69%	62%	69%	76%	69%
	% NH Black	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%
	% Asian-American	6%	14%	13%	10%	10%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	21,697	18,205	21,290	21,062	82,254
	% Hisp	15%	14%	10%	8%	12%
	% NH White	77%	72%	77%	84%	78%
	% NH Black	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	6%	10%	11%	7%	8%
Voter Registration (Nov 2020)	Total	20,327	19,140	20,258	21,732	81,457
	% Latino est.	12%	11%	8%	6%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	12%	10%	8%	6%	9%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	7%	6%	4%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	84%	79%	84%	88%	84%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)	Total	17,825	17,294	18,405	19,899	73,423
	% Latino est.	12%	10%	8%	6%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	9%	7%	6%	8%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	6%	6%	4%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	84%	80%	84%	88%	84%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)	Total	13,961	12,751	14,347	15,911	56,970
	% Latino est.	11%	9%	7%	5%	8%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	10%	8%	7%	5%	7%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	5%	5%	4%	4%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	85%	82%	86%	90%	86%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	27,846	27,103	29,565	28,249	112,763
Age	age0-19	20%	30%	25%	26%	25%
	age20-60	56%	51%	51%	47%	51%
	age60plus	24%	20%	23%	27%	24%
Immigration	immigrants	12%	17%	16%	13%	15%
	naturalized	60%	66%	72%	79%	69%
Language spoken at home	english	85%	77%	83%	86%	83%
	spanish	11%	12%	6%	5%	8%
	asian-lang	1%	5%	5%	4%	4%
	other lang	3%	7%	6%	5%	5%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	5%	8%	4%	4%	5%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	34%	28%	25%	25%	28%
	bachelor	30%	32%	38%	36%	34%
	graduatedegree	21%	24%	27%	30%	26%
Child in Household	child-under18	25%	39%	35%	35%	33%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	67%	63%	66%	65%	65%
Household Income	income 0-25k	11%	10%	6%	5%	8%
	income 25-50k	15%	12%	10%	11%	12%
	income 50-75k	16%	12%	11%	9%	12%
	income 75-200k	43%	45%	45%	41%	44%
	income 200k-plus	14%	21%	28%	33%	24%
Housing Stats	single family	56%	71%	75%	82%	71%
	multi-family	44%	29%	25%	18%	29%
	rented	54%	31%	30%	23%	35%
	owned	46%	69%	70%	77%	65%
Total population data from the 2020 Decennial Census.						
Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.						
Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2015-2019 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.						


# Carlsbad 2021 Redistricting



d103379						
District		1	2	3	4	Total
	Total Pop	27,347	28,877	29,176	29,553	114,953
	Deviation from ideal	-1,391	139	438	815	2,206
	% Deviation	-4.84%	0.48%	1.52%	2.84%	7.68%
Total Pop	% Hisp	18.5%	16%	14%	11%	15%
	% NH White	70%	64%	69%	73%	69%
	% NH Black	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
	% Asian-American	6%	13%	12%	10%	10%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	21,114	17,996	21,189	21,955	82,254
	% Hisp	14%	12%	11%	9%	12%
	% NH White	77%	74%	78%	81%	78%
	% NH Black	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	5%	10%	9%	9%	8%
Voter Registration (Nov 2020)	Total	19,664	19,064	20,737	21,992	81,457
	% Latino est.	12%	11%	8%	7%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	10%	8%	7%	9%
	% Asian-Surnamed	3%	6%	5%	4%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	84%	80%	85%	86%	84%
	% NH Black	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)	Total	17,303	17,223	18,899	19,998	73,423
	% Latino est.	11%	10%	8%	7%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	10%	9%	7%	7%	8%
	% Asian-Surnamed	3%	6%	5%	4%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	84%	81%	85%	87%	84%
	% NH Black	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)	Total	13,577	12,727	14,897	15,769	56,970
	% Latino est.	10%	9%	7%	6%	8%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	9%	9%	6%	6%	7%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	5%	4%	4%	4%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	86%	83%	87%	88%	86%
	% NH Black est.	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	27,327	25,884	29,367	30,184	112,763
Age	age0-19	21%	28%	26%	26%	25%
	age20-60	56%	50%	49%	50%	51%
	age60plus	23%	22%	25%	23%	24%
Immigration	immigrants	12%	16%	16%	14%	15%
	naturalized	60%	67%	72%	77%	69%
Language spoken at home	english	85%	82%	81%	84%	83%
	spanish	11%	7%	9%	7%	8%
	asian-lang	1%	5%	5%	4%	4%
	other lang	4%	7%	5%	5%	5%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	5%	5%	6%	4%	5%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	31%	32%	25%	25%	28%
	bachelor	31%	33%	36%	35%	34%
	graduatedegree	23%	22%	28%	28%	26%
Child in Household	child-under18	26%	38%	33%	38%	33%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	67%	64%	61%	69%	65%
Household Income	income 0-25k	11%	10%	7%	5%	8%
	income 25-50k	14%	12%	12%	10%	12%
	income 50-75k	17%	11%	11%	9%	12%
	income 75-200k	42%	47%	42%	44%	44%
	income 200k-plus	16%	20%	27%	32%	24%
Housing Stats	single family	54%	78%	76%	78%	71%
	multi-family	46%	22%	24%	22%	29%
	rented	54%	26%	33%	24%	35%
	owned	46%	74%	67%	76%	65%
Total population data from the 2020 Decennial Census.						
Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.						
Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2015-2019 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.						




# Carlsbad 2021 Redistricting



◆2021 CALIPER

d103381						
District		1	2	3	4	Total
	Total Pop	29,371	29,239	28,264	28,079	114,953
	Deviation from ideal	633	501	-474	-659	1,292
	% Deviation	2.20%	1.74%	-1.65%	-2.29%	4.50%
Total Pop	% Hisp	20.2%	14%	15%	11%	15%
	% NH White	68%	66%	68%	74%	69%
	% NH Black	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%
	% Asian-American	6%	14%	12%	10%	10%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	21,765	19,334	20,432	20,724	82,254
	% Hisp	15%	11%	12%	8%	12%
	% NH White	76%	76%	76%	82%	78%
	% NH Black	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	6%	9%	10%	9%	8%
Voter Registration (Nov 2020)	Total	20,249	20,289	20,031	20,888	81,457
	% Latino est.	13%	9%	8%	7%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	12%	9%	8%	7%	9%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	6%	6%	5%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	83%	81%	84%	87%	84%
	% NH Black	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)	Total	17,702	18,496	18,218	19,007	73,423
	% Latino est.	12%	9%	8%	7%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	8%	7%	6%	8%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	6%	5%	4%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	84%	81%	85%	87%	84%
	% NH Black	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)	Total	13,669	13,896	14,213	15,192	56,970
	% Latino est.	11%	8%	7%	6%	8%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	10%	7%	7%	6%	7%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	5%	5%	4%	4%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	85%	83%	87%	88%	86%
	% NH Black est.	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	27,945	28,090	28,455	28,273	112,763
Age	age0-19	20%	28%	26%	26%	25%
	age20-60	56%	51%	50%	49%	51%
	age60plus	24%	21%	24%	25%	24%
Immigration	immigrants	12%	16%	16%	14%	15%
	naturalized	61%	66%	72%	77%	69%
Language spoken at home	english	85%	81%	81%	85%	83%
	spanish	11%	8%	9%	6%	8%
	asian-lang	1%	5%	5%	4%	4%
	other lang	4%	7%	5%	5%	5%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	5%	5%	6%	4%	5%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	34%	29%	24%	25%	28%
	bachelor	30%	35%	35%	36%	34%
	graduatedegree	21%	24%	29%	29%	26%
Child in Household	child-under18	25%	39%	33%	37%	33%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	67%	66%	61%	67%	65%
Household Income	income 0-25k	12%	8%	8%	5%	8%
	income 25-50k	15%	10%	12%	11%	12%
	income 50-75k	16%	11%	12%	9%	12%
	income 75-200k	43%	47%	41%	43%	44%
	income 200k-plus	14%	23%	27%	33%	24%
Housing Stats	single family	55%	80%	74%	76%	71%
	multi-family	45%	20%	26%	24%	29%
	rented	55%	24%	33%	25%	35%
	owned	45%	76%	67%	75%	65%
Total population data from the 2020 Decennial Census.						
Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.						
Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2015-2019 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.						


# Carlsbad 2021 Redistricting



©2021 CALIPER


d103382						
District		1	2	3	4	Total
	Total Pop	28,245	28,210	28,962	29,536	114,953
	Deviation from ideal	-493	-528	224	798	1,326
	% Deviation	-1.72%	-1.84%	0.78%	2.78%	4.61%
Total Pop	% Hisp	19.8%	18%	13%	11%	15%
	% NH White	69%	63%	70%	75%	69%
	% NH Black	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%
	% Asian-American	6%	14%	12%	10%	10%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	21,278	17,703	22,390	20,883	82,254
	% Hisp	15%	15%	9%	9%	12%
	% NH White	77%	72%	78%	83%	78%
	% NH Black	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	6%	10%	11%	7%	8%
Voter Registration (Nov 2020)	Total	19,953	18,957	20,526	22,021	81,457
	% Latino est.	13%	11%	8%	7%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	12%	10%	7%	7%	9%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	6%	6%	4%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	84%	79%	85%	87%	84%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)	Total	17,473	17,124	18,698	20,128	73,423
	% Latino est.	12%	10%	7%	7%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	9%	7%	6%	8%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	6%	5%	4%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	84%	80%	86%	87%	84%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)	Total	13,698	12,665	14,546	16,061	56,970
	% Latino est.	11%	9%	7%	6%	8%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	10%	8%	6%	6%	7%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	5%	5%	4%	4%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	85%	82%	87%	88%	86%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	27,342	25,915	30,813	28,694	112,763
	age0-19	20%	28%	25%	27%	25%
	age20-60	56%	51%	50%	48%	51%
	age60plus	24%	21%	24%	25%	24%
	immigrants	12%	17%	16%	13%	15%
	naturalized	60%	66%	72%	78%	69%
Language spoken at home	english	85%	78%	83%	86%	83%
	spanish	11%	11%	6%	6%	8%
	asian-lang	1%	5%	5%	4%	4%
	other lang	3%	6%	6%	5%	5%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	5%	7%	5%	4%	5%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	34%	29%	25%	24%	28%
	bachelor	30%	31%	38%	36%	34%
	graduatedegree	21%	24%	26%	31%	26%
Child in Household	child-under18	25%	37%	35%	38%	33%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	67%	63%	66%	66%	65%
Household Income	income 0-25k	11%	11%	6%	5%	8%
	income 25-50k	15%	12%	11%	11%	12%
	income 50-75k	16%	12%	11%	9%	12%
	income 75-200k	43%	45%	44%	42%	44%
	income 200k-plus	14%	21%	28%	33%	24%
Housing Stats	single family	56%	70%	76%	82%	71%
	multi-family	44%	30%	24%	18%	29%
	rented	54%	32%	30%	22%	35%
	owned	46%	68%	70%	78%	65%
Total population data from the 2020 Decennial Census.						
Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.						
Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2015-2019 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.						

# Carlsbad 2021 Redistricting



d103383						
District		1	2	3	4	Total
	Total Pop	27,347	28,877	29,176	29,553	114,953
	Deviation from ideal	-1,391	139	438	815	2,206
	% Deviation	-4.84%	0.48%	1.52%	2.84%	7.68%
Total Pop	% Hisp	18.5%	16%	14%	11%	15%
	% NH White	70%	64%	69%	73%	69%
	% NH Black	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
	% Asian-American	6%	13%	12%	10%	10%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	21,114	17,996	21,189	21,955	82,254
	% Hisp	14%	12%	11%	9%	12%
	% NH White	77%	74%	78%	81%	78%
	% NH Black	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	5%	10%	9%	9%	8%
Voter Registration (Nov 2020)	Total	19,664	19,064	20,737	21,992	81,457
	% Latino est.	12%	11%	8%	7%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	10%	8%	7%	9%
	% Asian-Surnamed	3%	6%	5%	4%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	84%	80%	85%	86%	84%
	% NH Black	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)	Total	17,303	17,223	18,899	19,998	73,423
	% Latino est.	11%	10%	8%	7%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	10%	9%	7%	7%	8%
	% Asian-Surnamed	3%	6%	5%	4%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	84%	81%	85%	87%	84%
	% NH Black	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)	Total	13,577	12,727	14,897	15,769	56,970
	% Latino est.	10%	9%	7%	6%	8%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	9%	9%	6%	6%	7%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	5%	4%	4%	4%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	86%	83%	87%	88%	86%
	% NH Black est.	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	27,327	25,884	29,367	30,184	112,763
Age	age0-19	21%	28%	26%	26%	25%
	age20-60	56%	50%	49%	50%	51%
	age60plus	23%	22%	25%	23%	24%
Immigration	immigrants	12%	16%	16%	14%	15%
	naturalized	60%	67%	72%	77%	69%
Language spoken at home	english	85%	82%	81%	84%	83%
	spanish	11%	7%	9%	7%	8%
	asian-lang	1%	5%	5%	4%	4%
	other lang	4%	7%	5%	5%	5%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	5%	5%	6%	4%	5%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	31%	32%	25%	25%	28%
	bachelor	31%	33%	36%	35%	34%
	graduatedegree	23%	22%	28%	28%	26%
Child in Household	child-under18	26%	38%	33%	38%	33%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	67%	64%	61%	69%	65%
Household Income	income 0-25k	11%	10%	7%	5%	8%
	income 25-50k	14%	12%	12%	10%	12%
	income 50-75k	17%	11%	11%	9%	12%
	income 75-200k	42%	47%	42%	44%	44%
	income 200k-plus	16%	20%	27%	32%	24%
Housing Stats	single family	54%	78%	76%	78%	71%
	multi-family	46%	22%	24%	22%	29%
	rented	54%	26%	33%	24%	35%
	owned	46%	74%	67%	76%	65%
Total population data from the 2020 Decennial Census.						
Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.						
Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2015-2019 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.						

# Carlsbad 2021 Redistricting




©2021 CALIPER

d103384						
District		1	2	3	4	Total
	Total Pop	27,665	28,159	29,529	29,600	114,953
	Deviation from ideal	-1,073	-579	791	862	1,935
	% Deviation	-3.73%	-2.01%	2.75%	3.00%	6.73%
Total Pop	% Hisp	20.2%	18%	12%	11%	15%
	% NH White	68%	64%	70%	74%	69%
	% NH Black	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%
	% Asian-American	6%	13%	12%	10%	10%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	20,399	18,763	21,483	21,609	82,254
	% Hisp	15%	14%	9%	9%	12%
	% NH White	76%	73%	79%	81%	78%
	% NH Black	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	5%	9%	10%	9%	8%
Voter Registration (Nov 2020)	Total	19,024	19,465	21,024	21,944	81,457
	% Latino est.	13%	11%	7%	7%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	12%	10%	7%	7%	9%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	6%	5%	5%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	83%	80%	86%	86%	84%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)	Total	16,602	17,619	19,214	19,988	73,423
	% Latino est.	12%	10%	7%	7%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	10%	7%	6%	8%
	% Asian-Surnamed	3%	6%	5%	5%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	84%	80%	86%	87%	84%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)	Total	12,777	13,323	14,942	15,928	56,970
	% Latino est.	11%	9%	6%	6%	8%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	10%	9%	6%	6%	7%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	5%	5%	4%	4%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	85%	83%	87%	88%	86%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	26,170	27,545	29,436	29,612	112,763
	age0-19	20%	29%	25%	27%	25%
	age20-60	56%	50%	50%	49%	51%
	age60plus	24%	20%	25%	24%	24%
	immigrants	12%	17%	15%	14%	15%
	naturalized	60%	66%	72%	77%	69%
Language spoken at home	english	84%	78%	84%	85%	83%
	spanish	11%	11%	6%	5%	8%
	asian-lang	1%	5%	5%	4%	4%
	other lang	4%	6%	5%	5%	5%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	5%	7%	4%	4%	5%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	34%	29%	26%	24%	28%
	bachelor	30%	32%	37%	36%	34%
	graduatedegree	22%	23%	28%	29%	26%
Child in Household	child-under18	25%	39%	32%	38%	33%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	67%	63%	64%	67%	65%
Household Income	income 0-25k	12%	10%	6%	4%	8%
	income 25-50k	15%	12%	10%	11%	12%
	income 50-75k	16%	12%	12%	9%	12%
	income 75-200k	43%	45%	43%	43%	44%
	income 200k-plus	14%	20%	29%	33%	24%
Housing Stats	single family	53%	74%	81%	77%	71%
	multi-family	47%	26%	19%	23%	29%
	rented	57%	29%	29%	24%	35%
	owned	43%	71%	71%	76%	65%
Total population data from the 2020 Decennial Census.						
Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.						
Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2015-2019 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.						




# Carlsbad 2021 Redistricting



©2021 CALIPER


d103385						
District		1	2	3	4	Total
	Total Pop	27,665	28,159	29,529	29,600	114,953
	Deviation from ideal	-1,073	-579	791	862	1,935
	% Deviation	-3.73%	-2.01%	2.75%	3.00%	6.73%
Total Pop	% Hisp	20.2%	18%	12%	11%	15%
	% NH White	68%	64%	70%	74%	69%
	% NH Black	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%
	% Asian-American	6%	13%	12%	10%	10%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	20,399	18,763	21,483	21,609	82,254
	% Hisp	15%	14%	9%	9%	12%
	% NH White	76%	73%	79%	81%	78%
	% NH Black	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	5%	9%	10%	9%	8%
Voter Registration (Nov 2020)	Total	19,024	19,465	21,024	21,944	81,457
	% Latino est.	13%	11%	7%	7%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	12%	10%	7%	7%	9%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	6%	5%	5%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	83%	80%	86%	86%	84%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)	Total	16,602	17,619	19,214	19,988	73,423
	% Latino est.	12%	10%	7%	7%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	10%	7%	6%	8%
	% Asian-Surnamed	3%	6%	5%	5%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	84%	80%	86%	87%	84%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)	Total	12,777	13,323	14,942	15,928	56,970
	% Latino est.	11%	9%	6%	6%	8%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	10%	9%	6%	6%	7%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	5%	5%	4%	4%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	85%	83%	87%	88%	86%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	26,170	27,545	29,436	29,612	112,763
	age0-19	20%	29%	25%	27%	25%
	age20-60	56%	50%	50%	49%	51%
	age60plus	24%	20%	25%	24%	24%
	immigrants	12%	17%	15%	14%	15%
	naturalized	60%	66%	72%	77%	69%
Language spoken at home	english	84%	78%	84%	85%	83%
	spanish	11%	11%	6%	5%	8%
	asian-lang	1%	5%	5%	4%	4%
	other lang	4%	6%	5%	5%	5%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	5%	7%	4%	4%	5%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	34%	29%	26%	24%	28%
	bachelor	30%	32%	37%	36%	34%
	graduatedegree	22%	23%	28%	29%	26%
Child in Household	child-under18	25%	39%	32%	38%	33%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	67%	63%	64%	67%	65%
Household Income	income 0-25k	12%	10%	6%	4%	8%
	income 25-50k	15%	12%	10%	11%	12%
	income 50-75k	16%	12%	12%	9%	12%
	income 75-200k	43%	45%	43%	43%	44%
	income 200k-plus	14%	20%	29%	33%	24%
Housing Stats	single family	53%	74%	81%	77%	71%
	multi-family	47%	26%	19%	23%	29%
	rented	57%	29%	29%	24%	35%
	owned	43%	71%	71%	76%	65%
Total population data from the 2020 Decennial Census.						
Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.						
Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2015-2019 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.						

# Carlsbad 2021 Redistricting



d103386						
District		1	2	3	4	Total
	Total Pop	28,362	30,314	28,232	28,045	114,953
	Deviation from ideal	-376	1,576	-506	-693	2,269
	% Deviation	-1.31%	5.48%	-1.76%	-2.41%	7.90%
Total Pop	% Hisp	18.4%	16%	15%	11%	15%
	% NH White	70%	65%	68%	74%	69%
	% NH Black	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%
	% Asian-American	6%	14%	12%	10%	10%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	21,962	19,363	20,745	20,185	82,254
	% Hisp	14%	11%	12%	9%	12%
	% NH White	77%	76%	76%	82%	78%
	% NH Black	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	5%	10%	10%	8%	8%
Voter Registration (Nov 2020)	Total	20,373	20,348	19,936	20,800	81,457
	% Latino est.	11%	10%	9%	7%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	9%	8%	7%	9%
	% Asian-Surnamed	3%	6%	5%	5%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	84%	80%	85%	86%	84%
	% NH Black	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)	Total	17,956	18,396	18,105	18,966	73,423
	% Latino est.	11%	9%	8%	7%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	10%	9%	8%	6%	8%
	% Asian-Surnamed	3%	6%	5%	5%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	84%	81%	85%	87%	84%
	% NH Black	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)	Total	14,105	13,624	14,107	15,134	56,970
	% Latino est.	10%	9%	7%	6%	8%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	9%	8%	7%	6%	7%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	5%	5%	4%	4%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	86%	83%	87%	88%	86%
	% NH Black est.	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	28,455	27,741	28,328	28,239	112,763
Age	age0-19	21%	28%	25%	28%	25%
	age20-60	56%	51%	49%	49%	51%
	age60plus	23%	21%	26%	23%	24%
Immigration	immigrants	12%	16%	16%	14%	15%
	naturalized	60%	66%	74%	77%	69%
Language spoken at home	english	85%	82%	81%	84%	83%
	spanish	11%	7%	9%	6%	8%
	asian-lang	1%	5%	6%	4%	4%
	other lang	4%	7%	5%	5%	5%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	5%	6%	6%	4%	5%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	31%	31%	25%	24%	28%
	bachelor	31%	34%	35%	36%	34%
	graduatedegree	23%	23%	27%	30%	26%
Child in Household	child-under18	26%	38%	31%	40%	33%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	67%	65%	63%	67%	65%
Household Income	income 0-25k	11%	9%	8%	5%	8%
	income 25-50k	14%	11%	12%	10%	12%
	income 50-75k	17%	12%	12%	8%	12%
	income 75-200k	42%	46%	43%	44%	44%
	income 200k-plus	16%	22%	25%	34%	24%
Housing Stats	single family	56%	77%	74%	82%	71%
	multi-family	44%	23%	26%	18%	29%
	rented	53%	27%	33%	24%	35%
	owned	47%	73%	67%	76%	65%
Total population data from the 2020 Decennial Census.						
Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.						
Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2015-2019 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.						


# Carlsbad 2021 Redistricting



©2021 CALIPER

d103387						
District		1	2	3	4	Total
	Total Pop	29,371	29,683	29,085	26,814	114,953
	Deviation from ideal	633	945	347	-1,924	2,869
	% Deviation	2.20%	3.29%	1.21%	-6.69%	9.98%
Total Pop	% Hisp	20.2%	17%	12%	11%	15%
	% NH White	68%	63%	71%	74%	69%
	% NH Black	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%
	% Asian-American	6%	14%	12%	10%	10%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	21,765	19,065	21,515	19,910	82,254
	% Hisp	15%	14%	9%	9%	12%
	% NH White	76%	73%	79%	82%	78%
	% NH Black	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	6%	10%	10%	9%	8%
Voter Registration (Nov 2020)	Total	20,249	20,003	21,410	19,795	81,457
	% Latino est.	13%	11%	7%	7%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	12%	10%	7%	7%	9%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	7%	5%	4%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	83%	79%	86%	87%	84%
	% NH Black	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)	Total	17,702	18,120	19,578	18,023	73,423
	% Latino est.	12%	10%	7%	7%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	9%	6%	6%	8%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	6%	5%	4%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	84%	80%	86%	87%	84%
	% NH Black	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)	Total	13,669	13,562	15,401	14,338	56,970
	% Latino est.	11%	9%	6%	6%	8%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	10%	8%	6%	6%	7%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	5%	4%	4%	4%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	85%	82%	87%	89%	86%
	% NH Black est.	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	27,945	28,545	29,187	27,086	112,763
Age	age0-19	20%	30%	25%	26%	25%
	age20-60	56%	50%	50%	49%	51%
	age60plus	24%	20%	25%	25%	24%
Immigration	immigrants	12%	17%	15%	14%	15%
	naturalized	61%	65%	74%	77%	69%
Language spoken at home	english	85%	78%	84%	85%	83%
	spanish	11%	11%	5%	6%	8%
	asian-lang	1%	5%	5%	4%	4%
	other lang	4%	6%	5%	5%	5%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	5%	7%	4%	4%	5%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	34%	28%	25%	25%	28%
	bachelor	30%	32%	37%	36%	34%
	graduatedegree	21%	24%	29%	28%	26%
Child in Household	child-under18	25%	40%	33%	37%	33%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	67%	63%	64%	67%	65%
Household Income	income 0-25k	12%	10%	7%	5%	8%
	income 25-50k	15%	12%	10%	11%	12%
	income 50-75k	16%	12%	11%	9%	12%
	income 75-200k	43%	45%	44%	43%	44%
	income 200k-plus	14%	22%	28%	33%	24%
Housing Stats	single family	55%	73%	81%	76%	71%
	multi-family	45%	27%	19%	24%	29%
	rented	55%	29%	28%	25%	35%
	owned	45%	71%	72%	75%	65%
Total population data from the 2020 Decennial Census.						
Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.						
Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2015-2019 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.						

# Carlsbad 2021 Redistricting



d103436						
District		1	2	3	4	Total
	Total Pop	28,149	29,733	27,584	29,487	114,953
	Deviation from ideal	-589	995	-1,154	749	2,149
	% Deviation	-2.05%	3.46%	-4.02%	2.61%	7.48%
Total Pop	% Hisp	20.8%	16%	11%	12%	15%
	% NH White	67%	65%	74%	70%	69%
	% NH Black	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%
	% Asian-American	7%	13%	10%	12%	10%
Citizen Voting Age Pop	Total	20,902	19,298	20,434	21,620	82,254
	% Hisp	15%	14%	8%	9%	12%
	% NH White	75%	74%	82%	79%	78%
	% NH Black	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	7%	8%	8%	10%	8%
Voter Registration (Nov 2020)	Total	19,237	20,603	20,520	21,097	81,457
	% Latino est.	13%	10%	7%	7%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	12%	9%	7%	7%	9%
	% Asian-Surnamed	3%	6%	4%	5%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	82%	80%	87%	85%	84%
	% NH Black	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2020)	Total	16,836	18,607	18,670	19,310	73,423
	% Latino est.	12%	9%	7%	7%	9%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	11%	9%	6%	7%	8%
	% Asian-Surnamed	3%	6%	4%	5%	5%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	83%	81%	87%	86%	84%
	% NH Black	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%
Voter Turnout (Nov 2018)	Total	12,772	14,210	14,931	15,057	56,970
	% Latino est.	11%	9%	6%	6%	8%
	% Spanish-Surnamed	10%	8%	6%	6%	7%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	5%	4%	5%	4%
	% Filipino-Surnamed	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% NH White est.	85%	83%	88%	87%	86%
	% NH Black est.	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	27,305	27,644	27,837	29,978	112,763
Age	age0-19	22%	27%	26%	26%	25%
	age20-60	55%	51%	48%	50%	51%
	age60plus	23%	22%	25%	24%	24%
Immigration	immigrants	12%	17%	14%	16%	15%
	naturalized	63%	64%	77%	73%	69%
Language spoken at home	english	83%	80%	85%	84%	83%
	spanish	11%	11%	6%	5%	8%
	asian-lang	1%	5%	4%	5%	4%
	other lang	5%	5%	5%	6%	5%
Language Fluency	Speaks Eng. "Less than Very Well"	6%	7%	4%	4%	5%
Education (among those age 25+)	hs-grad	36%	27%	25%	25%	28%
	bachelor	30%	32%	36%	38%	34%
	graduatedegree	19%	26%	28%	28%	26%
Child in Household	child-under18	28%	34%	37%	35%	33%
Pct of Pop. Age 16+	employed	67%	63%	67%	64%	65%
Household Income	income 0-25k	10%	11%	5%	6%	8%
	income 25-50k	16%	10%	11%	11%	12%
	income 50-75k	18%	11%	9%	11%	12%
	income 75-200k	43%	45%	43%	44%	44%
	income 200k-plus	13%	23%	33%	28%	24%
Housing Stats	single family	59%	68%	76%	82%	71%
	multi-family	41%	32%	24%	18%	29%
	rented	54%	33%	25%	27%	35%
	owned	46%	67%	75%	73%	65%
Total population data from the 2020 Decennial Census.						
Surname-based Voter Registration and Turnout data from the California Statewide Database.						
Latino voter registration and turnout data are Spanish-surname counts adjusted using Census Population Department undercount estimates. NH White and NH Black registration and turnout counts estimated by NDC. Citizen Voting Age Pop., Age, Immigration, and other demographics from the 2015-2019 American Community Survey and Special Tabulation 5-year data.						



**RESOLUTION NO. \_\_\_\_\_**

**A RESOLUTION OF THE INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF CARLSBAD, CALIFORNIA, APPROVING THE FINAL MAP OF FOUR CITY COUNCIL DISTRICTS BASED ON THE 2020 DECENNIAL FEDERAL CENSUS**

WHEREAS, following each federal decennial census, a city with district-based elections must redraw the district boundaries so they have substantially equal populations and they comply with the federal and state constitutions and the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965; and

WHEREAS, on May 11, 2021, the City of Carlsbad City Council voted to form an Independent Redistricting Commission to adopt the new City Council district boundaries; and

WHEREAS, the Independent Redistricting Commission of the City of Carlsbad, California was selected on July 13, 2021; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with California Elections Code Section 21627.1, the Independent Redistricting Commission held four public hearings to gather input regarding the composition of the City Council Districts; and

WHEREAS, public hearings were held on Sept. 23, 2021, Nov. 13, 2021, Jan. 13, 2022 and Feb. 17, 2022; and

WHEREAS, the Independent Redistricting Commission held regular meetings on July 29, 2021, Sept. 9, 2021, Oct. 28, 2021, Nov. 18, 2021, Dec. 16, 2021 and Jan. 27, 2021 to gather additional public input.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Independent Redistricting Commission of the City of Carlsbad, California, as follows:

1. That the above recitations are true and correct.
2. The Commission selects Map No. \_\_\_\_\_ as the final map of the City of Carlsbad’s four City Council districts based on 2020 Decennial Federal Census.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED at a Regular Meeting of the Independent Redistricting Commission of the City of Carlsbad on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2022, by the following vote, to wit:

AYES:  
NAYS:  
ABSENT:

\_\_\_\_\_  
MICHAEL FABIANO  
CHAIR

\_\_\_\_\_  
FAVIOLA MEDINA  
CITY CLERK SERVICES MANAGER  
(SEAL)



# City Council *District Map*



**From:** [redistricting](#)  
**To:** [Cindy Kasper](#)  
**Cc:** [redistricting](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Support for Map NDC 101  
**Date:** Wednesday, February 9, 2022 10:56:23 AM

---

Good Morning,

This is to confirm that we are in receipt of your email. This will be forwarded to the demographer and provided to the commission for consideration at their meeting.

Thank you,



**Faviola Medina, CMC**  
City Clerk Services Manager  
**Office of the City Clerk**  
P: 760-434-5989

---

**From:** Cindy Kasper <kaspercpa@earthlink.net>  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 27, 2022 3:12 PM  
**To:** redistricting <redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: Support for Map NDC 101

Sent on time see below but with a typo

---

**From:** Cindy Kasper [<mailto:kaspercpa@earthlink.net>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 27, 2022 2:58 PM  
**To:** 'redistricting@carlsbadca.gov'  
**Cc:** 'clerk@carlsbadca.gov'  
**Subject:** Support for Map NDC 101

I am a registered voter in District 2  
I would like to register my support behind map NDC 101.

Thank you,  
Cynthia Kasper  
4356 Point Reyes Ct  
Carlsbad, CA 92010

**CAUTION:** *Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.*

**From:** [redistricting](#)  
**To:** [Tricia Mes](#)  
**Cc:** [redistricting](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Redistricting  
**Date:** Wednesday, February 9, 2022 10:57:12 AM

---

Good Morning,

This is to confirm that we are in receipt of your email. This will be forwarded to the demographer and provided to the commission for consideration at their meeting.

Thank you,

Faviola Medina, CMC  
City Clerk Services Manager  
Office of the City Clerk  
P: 760-434-5989

-----Original Message-----

From: City Clerk <Clerk@carlsbadca.gov>  
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2022 3:17 PM  
To: redistricting <redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov>  
Subject: FW: Redistricting

Kind regards,  
Tammy McMinn, CPMC, CMC  
Senior Deputy City Clerk  
City of Carlsbad  
760-434-2953

-----Original Message-----

From: Tricia Mes <tricia\_mes@msn.com>  
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2022 2:03 PM  
To: City Clerk <Clerk@carlsbadca.gov>  
Subject: Redistricting

Hello,

I wanted to take a moment to express my support for redistricting map NDC 101. I reside in District 2 at 5082 Lynch Ct, 92008.

Sincerely,

Tricia Mes

Sent from my iPhone

CAUTION: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

**From:** [redistricting](#)  
**To:** [Becky Sackin](#)  
**Cc:** [redistricting](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Map NDC101  
**Date:** Wednesday, February 9, 2022 10:58:04 AM

---

Good Morning,

This is to confirm that we are in receipt of your email. This will be forwarded to the demographer and provided to the commission for consideration at their meeting.

Thank you,

Faviola Medina, CMC  
City Clerk Services Manager  
Office of the City Clerk  
P: 760-434-5989

-----Original Message-----

From: City Clerk <Clerk@carlsbadca.gov>  
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2022 3:18 PM  
To: redistricting <redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov>  
Subject: FW: Map NDC101

Kind regards,  
Tammy McMinn, CPMC, CMC  
Senior Deputy City Clerk  
City of Carlsbad  
760-434-2953

-----Original Message-----

From: Becky Sackin <bsackin2013@gmail.com>  
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2022 2:30 PM  
To: City Clerk <Clerk@carlsbadca.gov>  
Subject: Map NDC101

I, Dawn Sackin, registered voter in District #2 would like to put my support behind map NDC101.

Thank you.

Dawn R. Sackin  
2418 Byron Place  
Carlsbad, CA 92008

CAUTION: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

**From:** [redistricting](#)  
**To:** [NEW GPD](#)  
**Cc:** [redistricting](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Redistricting  
**Date:** Wednesday, February 9, 2022 10:59:28 AM

---

Good Morning,

This is to confirm that we are in receipt of your email. This will be forwarded to the demographer and provided to the commission for consideration at their meeting.

Thank you,

Faviola Medina, CMC  
City Clerk Services Manager  
Office of the City Clerk  
P: 760-434-5989

-----Original Message-----

From: City Clerk <Clerk@carlsbadca.gov>  
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2022 3:18 PM  
To: redistricting <redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov>  
Subject: FW: Redistricting

Kind regards,  
Tammy McMinn, CPMC, CMC  
Senior Deputy City Clerk  
City of Carlsbad  
760-434-2953

-----Original Message-----

From: NEW GPD <nukeleuk@gmail.com>  
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2022 2:41 PM  
To: City Clerk <Clerk@carlsbadca.gov>  
Subject: Redistricting

I, Greg Dowell, registered voter in District 2, would like to put my support behind map NDC101. Thank you, Greg

Greg Dowell  
2325 Masters Rd.  
Carlsbad, CA 92008

CAUTION: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

**From:** [redistricting](#)  
**To:** [Julann Lodge](#)  
**Cc:** [redistricting](#)  
**Subject:** FW: District Map  
**Date:** Wednesday, February 9, 2022 11:00:49 AM

---

Good Morning,

This is to confirm that we are in receipt of your email. This will be forwarded to the demographer and provided to the commission for consideration at their meeting.

Thank you,

Faviola Medina, CMC  
City Clerk Services Manager  
Office of the City Clerk  
P: 760-434-5989

-----Original Message-----

From: City Clerk <Clerk@carlsbadca.gov>  
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2022 3:18 PM  
To: redistricting <redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov>  
Subject: FW: District Map

Kind regards,  
Tammy McMinn, CPMC, CMC  
Senior Deputy City Clerk  
City of Carlsbad  
760-434-2953

-----Original Message-----

From: Julann Lodge <julannemma@icloud.com>  
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2022 2:42 PM  
To: City Clerk <Clerk@carlsbadca.gov>  
Subject: District Map

I, Julann Lodge, registered voter in District #2 would like to put my support behind map NDC101. Thank you,  
Julann Lodge  
2991 Brandon Circle  
Carlsbad CA 92010

Sent from my iPhone

CAUTION: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

**From:** [redistricting](#)  
**To:** [katherine.dodge](#)  
**Cc:** [redistricting](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Support Map NDC101  
**Date:** Wednesday, February 9, 2022 11:01:49 AM

---

Good Morning,

This is to confirm that we are in receipt of your email. This will be forwarded to the demographer and provided to the commission for consideration at their meeting.

Thank you,

Faviola Medina, CMC  
City Clerk Services Manager  
Office of the City Clerk  
P: 760-434-5989

-----Original Message-----

From: City Clerk <Clerk@carlsbadca.gov>  
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2022 3:18 PM  
To: redistricting <redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov>  
Subject: FW: Support Map NDC101

Kind regards,  
Tammy McMinn, CPMC, CMC  
Senior Deputy City Clerk  
City of Carlsbad  
760-434-2953

-----Original Message-----

From: katherine dodge <katie\_dodge@icloud.com>  
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2022 2:55 PM  
To: City Clerk <Clerk@carlsbadca.gov>  
Subject: Support Map NDC101

I, Katie Dodge, registered voter in District #2 would like to put my support behind map NDC101. Thank you, Katie  
1852 High Ridge Ave.  
Carlsbad, CA 92008

CAUTION: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



**From:** [redistricting](#)  
**To:** [Mary Meyers](#)  
**Cc:** [redistricting](#)  
**Subject:** RE: new maps  
**Date:** Wednesday, February 9, 2022 11:02:11 AM

---

Good Morning,

This is to confirm that we are in receipt of your email. This will be forwarded to the demographer and provided to the commission for consideration at their meeting.

Thank you,



**Faviola Medina, CMC**  
City Clerk Services Manager  
**Office of the City Clerk**  
P: 760-434-5989

---

**From:** Mary Meyers <m\_e\_meyers@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 31, 2022 11:13 AM  
**To:** redistricting <redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov>  
**Subject:** new maps

I prefer Preferred: d69103. I identify as being from south Carlsbad, including Batiquitos Lagoon.

Thank you,  
Mary Meyers  
3009 Corte Balde  
Carlsbad CA 92009

**CAUTION:** *Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.*

**From:** [redistricting](#)  
**To:** [Robin Ferencz Kotfica](#)  
**Cc:** [redistricting](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Redistricting  
**Date:** Wednesday, February 9, 2022 11:02:52 AM

---

Good Morning,

This is to confirm that we are in receipt of your email. This will be forwarded to the demographer and provided to the commission for consideration at their meeting.

Thank you,



**Faviola Medina, CMC**  
City Clerk Services Manager  
**Office of the City Clerk**  
P: 760-434-5989

---

**From:** Robin Ferencz Kotfica <rkotfica@roadrunner.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 3, 2022 1:31 PM  
**To:** redistricting <redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov>  
**Subject:** Redistricting

I would be in favor of plan 96973, as it would group all the south Carlsbad coastal neighborhoods in one District 3. That makes sense as many of our concerns are the same.

Thanks for your consideration.

Robin Ferencz Kotfica

7015 San Carlos St

Carlsbad, CA 92011

760-431-2093

**CAUTION:** *Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.*



**From:** [redistricting](#)  
**To:** [Erin Nielsen](#)  
**Cc:** [redistricting](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Map 2 Please!!!!!!!!!!  
**Date:** Wednesday, February 9, 2022 11:03:07 AM

---

Good Morning,

This is to confirm that we are in receipt of your email. This will be forwarded to the demographer and provided to the commission for consideration at their meeting.

Thank you,

Faviola Medina, CMC  
City Clerk Services Manager  
Office of the City Clerk  
P: 760-434-5989

-----Original Message-----

From: Erin Nielsen <[erin2busy@aol.com](mailto:erin2busy@aol.com)>  
Sent: Thursday, February 3, 2022 1:42 PM  
To: [redistricting](mailto:redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov) <[redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov](mailto:redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov)>  
Subject: Map 2 Please!!!!!!!!!!

Sent from my iPhone

CAUTION: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

**From:** [redistricting](#)  
**To:** [Jeanette Cushman](#)  
**Cc:** [redistricting](#)  
**Subject:** RE: 3 maps chosen  
**Date:** Wednesday, February 9, 2022 11:03:50 AM

---

Good Morning,

This is to confirm that we are in receipt of your email. This will be forwarded to the demographer and provided to the commission for consideration at their meeting.

Thank you,

Faviola Medina, CMC  
City Clerk Services Manager  
Office of the City Clerk  
P: 760-434-5989

-----Original Message-----

From: Jeanette Cushman <jcush1942@hotmail.com>  
Sent: Thursday, February 3, 2022 5:41 PM  
To: redistricting <redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov>  
Subject: 3 maps chosen

Commissioners:

I took a look at the final 3 maps that the Commission has chosen. I would urge you to discard the one that does not give all 4 districts a portion of coastline. Carlsbad is a coastal town; any decisions, policies, plans made for the coast should be represented by all Council members. And conversely, all Council members should be forced to take an interest in what goes on along the coast.

Thank you,

Jeanette Stroh District 1

CAUTION: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

**From:** [redistricting](#)  
**To:** [rkotfica@roadrunner.com](mailto:rkotfica@roadrunner.com)  
**Cc:** [redistricting](#)  
**Subject:** RE: City Manager's Update: Feb. 3, 2022  
**Date:** Wednesday, February 9, 2022 11:25:26 AM

---

Good Morning,

This is to confirm that we are in receipt of your email. This will be forwarded to the demographer and provided to the commission for consideration at their meeting.

Thank you,



**Faviola Medina, CMC**  
City Clerk Services Manager  
**Office of the City Clerk**  
P: 760-434-5989

---

**From:** Communications <[Communications@CarlsbadCA.gov](mailto:Communications@CarlsbadCA.gov)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 3, 2022 3:38 PM  
**To:** [rkotfica@roadrunner.com](mailto:rkotfica@roadrunner.com)  
**Subject:** FW: City Manager's Update: Feb. 3, 2022

Robin,  
Thank you for your email and comment. Your email has been forwarded to redistricting.  
Stay well!  
Lisa

---

**From:** Robin Ferencz Kotfica <[rkotfica@roadrunner.com](mailto:rkotfica@roadrunner.com)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 3, 2022 1:31 PM  
**To:** Communications <[Communications@CarlsbadCA.gov](mailto:Communications@CarlsbadCA.gov)>  
**Subject:** Re: City Manager's Update: Feb. 3, 2022

Please forward to redistricting. My email could not go through.

Thanks.

I would be in favor of plan 96973, as it would group all the south Carlsbad coastal neighborhoods in one District 3. That makes sense as many of our concerns are the same.

Thanks for your consideration.

Robin Ferencz Kotfica

7015 San Carlos St

Carlsbad, CA 92011

760-431-2093

**CAUTION:** Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

**From:** [redistricting](#)  
**To:** [Arnie Cohen](#)  
**Cc:** [redistricting](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Impact of district changes  
**Date:** Wednesday, February 9, 2022 11:26:06 AM

---

Good Morning,

This is to confirm that we are in receipt of your email. This will be forwarded to the demographer and provided to the commission for consideration at their meeting.

Thank you,



**Faviola Medina, CMC**  
City Clerk Services Manager  
**Office of the City Clerk**  
P: 760-434-5989

---

**From:** Arnie Cohen <arnter@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 1, 2022 12:38 PM  
**To:** redistricting <redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov>  
**Subject:** Impact of district changes

I would like to request for both the four Coastal district map and for the two Coastal district map that in the staff report and Agenda Packet it is clearly delineated what the total number of people across the entire city who will have their assigned district changed. This is an important data point in considering which map should be adopted.

Thank you  
Arnie Cohen

**CAUTION:** *Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.*



**From:** [redistricting](#)  
**To:** [Joe Sardina](#)  
**Cc:** [redistricting](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Redistricting Process Bias  
**Date:** Wednesday, February 9, 2022 11:43:15 AM

---

Good Morning,

This is to confirm that we are in receipt of your email. This will be forwarded to the demographer and provided to the commission for consideration at their meeting.

Thank you,



**Faviola Medina, CMC**  
City Clerk Services Manager  
**Office of the City Clerk**  
P: 760-434-5989

---

**From:** Joe Sardina <Joe.Sardina@protonmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, February 4, 2022 12:16 PM  
**To:** Manager Internet Email <Manager@CarlsbadCA.gov>; redistricting <redistricting@CarlsbadCA.gov>  
**Subject:** Redistricting Process Bias

Was disappointed, and irritated to be frank, to see the the City Manager's 3 Feb. Update and now today's The Coaster News showing the City hired NDC map but NOT the other 2 maps the independent commission is considering. There is also an obvious form letter being circulated which was read pretty much verbatim at a recent redistricting public meeting pushing this type of map. Public input is great but should be from the residents not the city or the NDC or anyone trying to bias and game the process.

~ Joe Sardina

Sent with [ProtonMail](#) Secure Email.

**CAUTION:** *Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.*

**From:** [Sheila Cobian](#)  
**To:** [Gayle Cadwallader](#)  
**Cc:** [Faviola Medina](#); [Shannon Kelly](#); [redistricting](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Carlsbad Map 96786c Inquiry  
**Date:** Wednesday, February 9, 2022 2:13:39 PM

---

Good Afternoon Commissioner Cadwallader,

Thank you for your email regarding some potential adjustments to map 96786c. California Elections Code requires that any map to be considered for adoption must be published seven days prior to the Public Hearing at which it may be adopted. Unfortunately, under these time constraints we are unable to make the requested adjustments to Carlsbad map 96786c in time to meet those posting requirements. However, you may bring up the suggested adjustments at the public hearing on Feb. 17 and if the Commission, by proper motion and vote, wishes to have such a map drawn, the Commission could then vote to delay the final public hearing to consider the map at a subsequent meeting.

Lastly, I did want to mention that upon a cursory review of the suggested adjustments, our demographer does not believe that the adjustments would be advisable because they would either exceed or come perilously close to exceeding the maximum deviation.

Please feel free to contact me at 760-434-2917 if I can be of any further assistance.

Thank you,



Sheila Cobian, MMC  
Legislative & Constituent Services Director  
1200 Carlsbad Village Drive  
Carlsbad, CA 92008-1949  
760-434-2917

Confidentiality Notice: Please note that email correspondence with the City of Carlsbad, along with any attachments, may be subject to the California Public Records Act, and therefore may be subject to disclosure unless otherwise exempt.

[Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [You Tube](#) | [Flickr](#) | [Pinterest](#) | [Enews](#)

---

**From:** Gayle Cadwallader <gjadwallader@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 8, 2022 12:53 PM  
**To:** Shannon Kelly <skelly@ndcresearch.com>  
**Cc:** Faviola Medina <Faviola.Medina@carlsbadca.gov>; Sheila Cobian <Sheila.Cobian@carlsbadca.gov>  
**Subject:** Carlsbad Map 96786c Inquiry

Ms. Kelly,

If a version of 96786 turns out to be the preferred map at the Public Hearing, I plan to suggest some tweaks. Please evaluate whether these four tweaks to 96786c will still result in a compliant map (96786d?):

1. There is a neighborhood in the south tail (sliver) of Population Unit 51, east of Melrose, at the easternmost end of Alga Road, along Camino de Amigos. The neighborhood map shows it as part of Rancho LaCosta, not Rancho Carrillo. The existing (2017) map assigned that neighborhood to District 3 along with their neighbors on the west side of Melrose in Population Unit 72. Map 96786c splits the tail from the neighbors to the west: it moves that sliver neighborhood into District 2, but moves the neighbors into District 4. I will propose that the sliver neighborhood also be moved into District 4 to allow them to stay with their neighbors immediately to the west. This may involve dividing a census block, but since it was divided in 2017, I hope it can be divided again this time.
2. Population Unit 20 is assigned to District 1 in Map 96786b, but it is in District 2 in Map 96786c. I will propose that it be placed in District 1.
3. Population Units 41 and 44 lie south of Agua Hedionda. They were assigned to District 2 in the existing (2017) map. I believe they have nearly 0 people, and I will propose that they stay in District 2, as well as that part of Pop Unit 61 that extends north of Cannon..
4. In some of our maps, including 96786b, Population Unit 1 is divided between Districts 1 and 2. I will propose that we similarly divide it here, putting Quarry Creek into D2 and the apartments on Marron just east of El Camino Real into D1.

I expect that items 3 and 4 will have little impact on the population balance. Depending on whether 96786d turns out to be non-compliant with all four changes, we may need to decide between incorporating item 1 vs item 2. If incorporating all of my suggestions turn out to be too much, please be prepared to show two versions with only 3 of my suggestions, leaving off either item 1 or item 2, respectively.

Thank you for evaluating these modifications.

Gayle Cadwallader  
Commissioner, Independent Redistricting Commission

**CAUTION:** *Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.*


## Social Media



**City of Carlsbad** ✓  
@carlsbadcagov



Wow! 60 proposed City Council district maps submitted by the public to date. Great engagement by the [#Carlsbad](#) community. Follow hearing live here [ow.ly/Ygye50Huf0I](https://ow.ly/Ygye50Huf0I)



### Public Maps as of January 3rd

**Total Submitted: 60**

**Summary Shown:**

4 Coast Districts: 14  
3 Coastal Districts: 1  
2 Coastal Districts: 22  
1 Coastal District: 5

**Non Compliant/Community Only: 14**

**Withdrawn: 4**

6:07 PM · Jan 13, 2022 · Hootsuite Inc.

1 Retweet 2 Likes



**KayDee** @DK33088 · Jan 13



Replying to [@carlsbadcagov](#)

Great to hear the left doesn't have full control over everything

